

**UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION**
Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-Q

(Mark One)

- QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**

For the quarterly period ended March 28, 2015

or

- TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**

For the transition period from to

Commission file number 001-33170



NETLIST, INC.

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Delaware

State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or organization

95-4812784

(I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)

**175 Technology Drive, Suite 150
Irvine, CA 92618**

(Address of principal executive offices) (Zip Code)

(949) 435-0025

(Registrant's telephone number, including area code)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (section 232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer or a smaller reporting company. See definition of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer" and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check One):

Large accelerated filer

Accelerated filer

Non-accelerated filer

Smaller reporting company

(Do not check if a smaller reporting company)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Act). Yes No

The number of shares outstanding of the registrant's common stock as of the latest practicable date:

Common Stock, par value \$0.001 per share
50,354,363 shares outstanding at April 30, 2015



NETLIST, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
QUARTERLY REPORT ON FORM 10-Q
FOR THE THREE MONTHS ENDED MARCH 28, 2015

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>Page</u>
PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION	
Item 1. Financial Statements	
Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets at March 28, 2015 (unaudited) and December 27, 2014 (audited)	3
Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations for the Three Months Ended March 28, 2015 and March 29, 2014	4
Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the Three Months Ended March 28, 2015 and March 29, 2014	5
Notes to Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements	6
Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations	29
Item 4. Controls and Procedures	43
PART II. OTHER INFORMATION	43
Item 1. Legal Proceedings	43
Item 1A. Risk Factors	44
Item 6. Exhibits	67

PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION

Item 1. Financial Statements

NETLIST, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets
(in thousands, except par value)

	(unaudited)	(audited)
	March 28,	December 27,
	2015	2014
ASSETS		
Current Assets:		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 19,558	\$ 11,040
Restricted cash	1,600	700
Accounts receivable, net of allowance for doubtful accounts of \$61 (2015) and \$61 (2014)	877	1,091
Inventories	1,821	1,880
Prepaid expenses and other current assets	1,227	988
Total current assets	25,083	15,699
Property and equipment, net	284	393
Other assets	143	150
Total assets	<u>\$ 25,510</u>	<u>\$ 16,242</u>
LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY		
Current Liabilities:		
Accounts payable	\$ 4,846	\$ 3,957
Accrued payroll and related liabilities	801	710
Accrued expenses and other current liabilities	446	420
Accrued engineering charges	500	500
Current portion of long-term debt, net of debt discount	4,261	2,205
Total current liabilities	10,854	7,792
Long-term debt, net of current portion and debt discount	5,275	3,632
Long-term warranty liability	47	99
Total liabilities	16,176	11,523
Commitments and contingencies		
Stockholders' equity:		
Preferred stock, \$0.001 par value - 10,000 shares authorized; no shares issued and outstanding	-	-
Common stock, \$0.001 par value - 90,000 shares authorized; 50,354 (2015) and 41,498 (2014) shares issued and outstanding	50	41
Additional paid-in capital	128,610	117,546
Accumulated deficit	(119,326)	(112,868)
Total stockholders' equity	9,334	4,719
Total liabilities and stockholders' equity	<u>\$ 25,510</u>	<u>\$ 16,242</u>

See accompanying notes.

NETLIST, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations
(in thousands, except per share amounts)

	Three Months Ended	
	March 28, 2015	March 29, 2014
Net sales	\$ 2,114	\$ 7,001
Cost of sales(1)	1,415	5,016
Gross profit	<u>699</u>	<u>1,985</u>
Operating expenses:		
Research and development(1)	1,384	878
Intellectual property legal fees	3,542	1,097
Selling, general and administrative(1)	<u>1,759</u>	<u>1,622</u>
Total operating expenses	<u>6,685</u>	<u>3,597</u>
Operating loss	<u>(5,986)</u>	<u>(1,612)</u>
Other expense, net:		
Interest expense, net	(480)	(395)
Other income (expense), net	9	(11)
Total other expense, net	<u>(471)</u>	<u>(406)</u>
Loss before provision for income tax	(6,457)	(2,018)
Provision for income taxes	<u>1</u>	<u>-</u>
Net loss	<u>\$ (6,458)</u>	<u>\$ (2,018)</u>
Net loss per common share:		
Basic and diluted	<u>\$ (0.14)</u>	<u>\$ (0.05)</u>
Weighted-average common shares outstanding:		
Basic and diluted	<u>44,708</u>	<u>36,881</u>

(1) Amounts include stock-based compensation expense as follows:

Cost of sales	\$ 14	\$ 15
Research and development	190	188
Selling, general and administrative	307	328

See accompanying notes.

NETLIST, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows
(in thousands)

	Three Months Ended	
	March 28, 2015	March 29, 2014
Cash flows from operating activities:		
Net loss	\$ (6,458)	\$ (2,018)
Adjustments to reconcile net loss to net cash (used in) provided by operating activities:		
Depreciation and amortization	148	282
Amortization of debt discount and debt issuance costs	241	203
Realized (gain) loss on sale of equipment	(1)	6
Stock-based compensation	511	531
Changes in operating assets and liabilities:		
Restricted cash	(900)	-
Accounts receivable	214	647
Inventories	59	192
Prepaid expenses and other assets	167	228
Accounts payable	889	86
Accrued payroll and related liabilities	91	136
Accrued expenses and other current liabilities	(26)	14
Net cash (used in) provided by operating activities	<u>(5,065)</u>	<u>307</u>
Cash flows from investing activities:		
Acquisition of property and equipment	(40)	(39)
Proceeds from sale of equipment	<u>2</u>	<u>3</u>
Net cash used in investing activities	<u>(38)</u>	<u>(36)</u>
Cash flows from financing activities:		
Proceeds from long- term loan, net of issuance costs	3,727	-
Payments on debt	(668)	(65)
Proceeds from public offering, net	10,554	10,276
Proceeds from exercise of equity awards, net of taxes remitted for restricted stock	<u>8</u>	<u>791</u>
Net cash provided by financing activities	<u>13,621</u>	<u>11,002</u>
Net change in cash and cash equivalents	8,518	11,273
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of year	11,040	6,701
Cash and cash equivalents at end of year	<u>\$ 19,558</u>	<u>\$ 17,974</u>

See accompanying notes.

NETLIST, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO UNAUDITED CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
March 28, 2015

Note 1—Description of Business

Netlist, Inc. (the “Company” or “Netlist”) designs and manufactures a wide variety of high performance, logic-based memory subsystems for the global datacenter, storage and high-performance computing and communications markets. The Company’s memory subsystems consist of combinations of dynamic random access memory integrated circuits (“DRAM ICs” or “DRAM”), NAND flash memory (“NAND flash”), application-specific integrated circuits (“ASICs”) and other components assembled on printed circuit boards (“PCBs”). Netlist primarily markets and sells its products to leading original equipment manufacturer (“OEM”) customers, hyperscale datacenter operators and storage vendors. The Company’s solutions are targeted at applications where memory plays a key role in meeting system performance requirements. The Company leverages a portfolio of proprietary technologies and design techniques, including efficient planar design, alternative packaging techniques and custom semiconductor logic, to deliver memory subsystems with high memory density, small form factor, high signal integrity, attractive thermal characteristics, reduced power consumption and low cost per bit. Our NVvault™ product is the first to offer both DRAM and NAND flash in a standard form factor memory subsystem as a persistent DIMM in mission critical applications.

Netlist was incorporated in June 2000 and is headquartered in Irvine, California. In 2007, the Company established a manufacturing facility in the People’s Republic of China (the “PRC”), which became operational in July 2007 upon the successful qualification of certain key customers.

Liquidity

The Company incurred net losses of approximately \$ 6.5 million and \$ 2.0 million for the three months ended March 28, 2015 and March 29, 2014, respectively.

On February 24, 2015, the Company completed a registered firm commitment underwritten public offering (the “2015 Offering”) of shares of the Company’s common stock. In the 2015 Offering, the Company issued and sold to the Underwriter 8,846,154 shares of common stock pursuant to an underwriting agreement, dated as of February 19, 2015, by and between the Company and the Underwriter, at a price of \$1.209 per share, including 1,153,846 shares resulting from the Underwriter’s exercise in full of its option to purchase additional shares of Common Stock to cover over-allotments. The price per share to the public in the 2015 Offering was \$1.30 per share. The net proceeds from the 2015 Offering were approximately \$10.6 million, after deducting underwriting discounts and commissions and estimated offering expenses.

If adequate working capital is not available when needed, the Company may be required to significantly modify its business model and operations to reduce spending to a sustainable level. Insufficient working capital could cause the Company to be unable to execute its business plan, take advantage of future opportunities, respond to competitive pressures or customer requirements or defend its trade secrets and other intellectual property. It may also cause the Company to delay, scale back or eliminate some or all of its research and development programs, or to reduce or cease operations. While there is no assurance that the Company can meet its revenue forecasts, management anticipates that it can continue operations for at least the next twelve months.

Reclassifications

Intellectual property legal fees for the three months ended March 29, 2014 are now reported under their own caption, separate from research and development expenses, in the accompanying condensed consolidated statement of operations for the three months ended March 29, 2014, in order to conform to the current period presentation. In addition, other assets are now combined with prepaids and other current assets, in the accompanying condensed consolidated statement of cash flows for the three months ended March 29, 2014, in order to conform to the current period presentation.

Note 2—Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

Basis of Presentation

The interim unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements have been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America (the “U.S.”) for interim financial information and with the instructions to Securities and Exchange Commission (“SEC”) Form 10-Q and Article 8 of SEC Regulation S-X. These condensed consolidated financial statements do not include all of the information and footnotes required by accounting principles generally accepted in the U.S. for complete financial statements. Therefore, these unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements should be read in conjunction with the Company’s audited consolidated financial statements and notes thereto for the year ended December 27, 2014, included in the Company’s Annual Report on Form 10-K filed with the SEC on March 27, 2015.

The condensed consolidated financial statements included herein as of March 28, 2015 are unaudited; however, they contain all normal recurring accruals and adjustments that, in the opinion of the Company’s management, are necessary to present fairly the condensed consolidated financial position of the Company and its wholly-owned subsidiaries as of March 28, 2015 and the condensed consolidated statements of operations and cash flows for the three months ended March 28, 2015 and March 29, 2014. The results of operations for the three months ended March 28, 2015 are not necessarily indicative of the results to be expected for the full year or any future interim periods.

Principles of Consolidation

The condensed consolidated financial statements include the accounts of Netlist, Inc. and its wholly-owned subsidiaries. All intercompany balances and transactions have been eliminated in consolidation.

Fiscal Year

The Company operates under a 52/53-week fiscal year ending on the Saturday closest to December 31. For fiscal 2015, the Company’s fiscal year is scheduled to end on January 2, 2016 and will consist of 53 weeks. Each of the Company’s first three quarters in a fiscal year is comprised of 13 weeks.

Use of Estimates

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the U.S. requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the condensed consolidated financial statements, and the reported amounts of net sales and expenses during the reporting period. By their nature, these estimates and assumptions are subject to an inherent degree of uncertainty. Significant estimates made by management include, among others, provisions for uncollectible receivables and sales returns, warranty liabilities, valuation of inventories, fair value of financial instruments, recoverability of long-lived assets, stock-based transactions and realization of deferred tax assets. The Company bases its estimates on historical experience, knowledge of current conditions and our beliefs of what could occur in the future considering available information. The Company reviews its estimates on an on-going basis. The actual results experienced by the Company may differ materially and adversely from its estimates. To the extent there are material differences between the estimates and the actual results, future results of operations will be affected.

Revenue Recognition

The Company’s revenues primarily consist of product sales of high-performance memory subsystems to OEMs, hyperscale data center operators and storage vendors.

The Company recognizes revenues in accordance with the Financial Accounting Standards Board (“FASB”) Accounting Standards Codification (“ASC”) Topic 605. Accordingly, the Company recognizes revenues when there is

Table of Contents

persuasive evidence of an arrangement, product delivery and acceptance have occurred, the sales price is fixed or determinable, and collectibility of the resulting receivable is reasonably assured.

The Company generally uses customer purchase orders and/or contracts as evidence of an arrangement. Delivery occurs when goods are shipped for customers with FOB Shipping Point terms and upon receipt for customers with FOB Destination terms, at which time title and risk of loss transfer to the customer. Shipping documents are used to verify delivery and customer acceptance. The Company assesses whether the sales price is fixed or determinable based on the payment terms associated with the transaction and whether the sales price is subject to refund. Customers are generally allowed limited rights of return for up to 30 days, except for sales of excess component inventories, which contain no right-of-return privileges. Estimated returns are provided for at the time of sale based on historical experience or specific identification of an event necessitating a reserve. The Company offers a standard product warranty to its customers and has no other post-shipment obligations. The Company assesses collectibility based on the creditworthiness of the customer as determined by credit checks and evaluations, as well as the customer's payment history.

All amounts billed to customers related to shipping and handling are classified as revenues, while all costs incurred by the Company for shipping and handling are classified as cost of sales.

Cash and Cash Equivalents

Cash and cash equivalents consist of cash and short-term investments with original maturities of three months or less, other than short-term investments in securities that lack an active market.

Restricted Cash

Restricted cash of \$ 1.6 million, as of March 28, 2015 , consists of cash to secure three standby letters of credit and \$0.9 million to secure a bond associated with a lawsuit (see Note 7) .

Fair Value of Financial Instruments

The Company's financial instruments consist principally of cash and cash equivalents, restricted cash, accounts receivable, accounts payable, accrued expenses and debt instruments. The fair value of the Company's cash equivalents is determined based on quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or Level 1 inputs. The Company recognizes transfers between Levels 1 through 3 of the fair value hierarchy at the beginning of the reporting period. The Company believes that the carrying values of all other financial instruments approximate their current fair values due to their nature and respective durations.

Allowance for Doubtful Accounts

The Company evaluates the collectibility of accounts receivable based on a combination of factors. In cases where the Company is aware of circumstances that may impair a specific customer's ability to meet its financial obligations subsequent to the original sale, the Company will record an allowance against amounts due, and thereby reduce the net recognized receivable to the amount the Company reasonably believes will be collected. For all other customers, the Company records allowances for doubtful accounts based primarily on the length of time the receivables are past due based on the terms of the originating transaction, the current business environment and its historical experience. Uncollectible accounts are charged against the allowance for doubtful accounts when all cost effective commercial means of collection have been exhausted. At March 28, 2015 and December 27 , 201 4 the Company had an allowance for doubtful accounts of \$61,000 .

Concentration of Credit Risk

Financial instruments that potentially subject the Company to significant concentrations of credit risk consist principally of cash and cash equivalents, and accounts receivable.

Table of Contents

The Company invests its cash equivalents primarily in money market mutual funds. Cash equivalents are maintained with high quality institutions, the composition and maturities of which are regularly monitored by management. At times, deposits held with financial institutions may exceed the amount of insurance provided by the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation and the Securities Investor Protection Corporation.

The Company's trade accounts receivable are primarily derived from sales to OEMs in the computer industry. The Company performs credit evaluations of its customers' financial condition and limits the amount of credit extended when deemed necessary, but generally requires no collateral. The Company believes that the concentration of credit risk in its trade receivables is moderated by its credit evaluation process, relatively short collection terms, the high level of credit worthiness of its customers (see Note 3), foreign credit insurance and letters of credit issued on the Company's behalf. Reserves are maintained for potential credit losses, and such losses historically have not been significant and have been within management's expectations.

Inventories

Inventories are valued at the lower of actual cost to purchase or manufacture the inventory or the net realizable value of the inventory. Cost is determined on an average cost basis which approximates actual cost on a first-in, first-out basis and includes raw materials, labor and manufacturing overhead. At each balance sheet date, the Company evaluates its ending inventory quantities on hand and on order and records a provision for excess quantities and obsolescence. Among other factors, the Company considers historical demand and forecasted demand in relation to the inventory on hand, competitiveness of product offerings, market conditions and product life cycles when determining obsolescence and net realizable value. In addition, the Company considers changes in the market value of components in determining the net realizable value of its inventory. Once established, lower of cost or market write-downs are considered permanent adjustments to the cost basis of the excess or obsolete inventories.

Deferred Financing Costs, Debt Discount and Detachable Debt-Related Warrants

Costs incurred to issue debt are deferred and included in debt issuance costs in the accompanying condensed consolidated balance sheet. The Company amortizes debt issuance costs over the expected term of the related debt using the effective interest method. Debt discounts relate to the relative fair value of any warrants issued in conjunction with the debt are recorded as a reduction to the debt balance and accreted over the expected term of the debt to interest expense using the effective interest method.

Property and Equipment

Property and equipment are recorded at cost and depreciated on a straight-line basis over their estimated useful lives, which generally range from three to seven years. Leasehold improvements are recorded at cost and amortized on a straight-line basis over the shorter of their estimated useful lives or the remaining lease term.

Impairment of Long-Lived Assets

The Company evaluates the recoverability of the carrying value of long-lived assets held and used by the Company for impairment on at least an annual basis or whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that their carrying value may not be recoverable. When such factors and circumstances exist, the Company compares the projected undiscounted future net cash flows associated with the related asset or group of assets over their estimated useful lives against their respective carrying amount. If the carrying value is determined not to be recoverable from future operating cash flows, the asset is deemed impaired and an impairment loss is recognized to the extent the carrying value exceeds the estimated fair value of the asset. The fair value of the asset or asset group is based on market value when available, or when unavailable, on discounted expected cash flows. The Company's management believes there is no impairment of long-lived assets as of March 28, 2015. There can be no assurance, however, that market conditions will not change or demand for the Company's products will continue, which could result in future impairment of long-lived assets.

The Company offers product warranties generally ranging from one to three years, depending on the product and negotiated terms of any purchase agreements with customers. Such warranties require the Company to repair or replace defective product returned to the Company during such warranty period at no cost to the customer. Warranties are not offered on sales of excess component inventory. The Company records an estimate for warranty-related costs at the time of sale based on its historical and estimated product return rates and expected repair or replacement costs (see Note 3). While such costs have historically been within management's expectations and the provisions established, unexpected changes in failure rates could have a material adverse impact on the Company, requiring additional warranty reserves, and could adversely affect the Company's gross profit and gross margins.

Stock-Based Compensation

The Company accounts for equity issuances to non-employees in accordance with ASC Topic 505. All transactions in which goods or services are the consideration received for the issuance of equity instruments are accounted for based on the fair value of the consideration received or the fair value of the equity instrument issued, whichever is more reliably measurable. The measurement date used to determine the fair value of the equity instrument issued is the earlier of the date on which the third-party performance is complete or the date on which it is probable that performance will occur.

In accordance with ASC Topic 718, employee and director stock-based compensation expense recognized during the period is based on the value of the portion of stock-based payment awards that is ultimately expected to vest during the period. Given that stock-based compensation expense recognized in the condensed consolidated statements of operations is based on awards ultimately expected to vest, it has been reduced for estimated forfeitures. ASC Topic 718 requires forfeitures to be estimated at the time of grant and revised, if necessary, in subsequent periods if actual forfeitures differ from those estimates. The Company's estimated average forfeiture rates are based on historical forfeiture experience and estimated future forfeitures.

The fair value of common stock option awards to employees and directors is calculated using the Black-Scholes option pricing model. The Black-Scholes model requires subjective assumptions regarding future stock price volatility and expected time to exercise, along with assumptions about the risk-free interest rate and expected dividends, all of which affect the estimated fair values of the Company's common stock option awards. The expected term of options granted is calculated as the average of the weighted vesting period and the contractual expiration date of the option. This calculation is based on the safe harbor method permitted by the SEC in instances where the vesting and exercise terms of options granted meet certain conditions and where limited historical exercise data is available. The expected volatility is based on the historical volatility of the Company's common stock. The risk-free rate selected to value any particular grant is based on the U.S. Treasury rate that corresponds to the expected term of the grant effective as of the date of the grant. The expected dividend assumption is based on the Company's history and management's expectation regarding dividend payouts. Compensation expense for common stock option awards with graded vesting schedules is recognized on a straight-line basis over the requisite service period for the last separately vesting portion of the award, provided that the accumulated cost recognized as of any date at least equals the value of the vested portion of the award.

The Company recognizes the fair value of restricted stock awards issued to employees and outside directors as stock-based compensation expense on a straight-line basis over the vesting period for the last separately vesting portion of the awards. Fair value is determined as the difference between the closing price of our common stock on the grant date and the purchase price of the restricted stock award, if any, reduced by expected forfeitures.

If there are any modifications or cancellations of the underlying vested or unvested stock-based awards, the Company may be required to accelerate, increase or cancel any remaining unearned stock-based compensation expense, or record additional expense for vested stock-based awards. Future stock-based compensation expense and unearned stock-based compensation may increase to the extent that the Company grants additional common stock options or other stock-based awards.

Under ASC Topic 270, the Company is required to adjust its effective tax rate each quarter to be consistent with the estimated annual effective tax rate. The Company is also required to record the tax impact of certain discrete items, unusual or infrequently occurring, including changes in judgment about valuation allowances and effects of changes in tax laws or rates, in the interim period in which they occur. In addition, jurisdictions with a projected loss for the year or a year-to-date loss where no tax benefit can be recognized are excluded from the estimated annual effective tax rate. The impact of such an exclusion could result in a higher or lower effective tax rate during a particular quarter, based upon the mix and timing of actual earnings versus annual projections.

Deferred tax assets and liabilities are recognized to reflect the estimated future tax effects, calculated at currently effective tax rates, of future deductible or taxable amounts attributable to events that have been recognized on a cumulative basis in the condensed consolidated financial statements. A valuation allowance related to a net deferred tax asset is recorded when it is more likely than not that some portion of the deferred tax asset will not be realized.

ASC Topic 740 prescribes a recognition threshold and measurement requirement for the financial statement recognition of a tax position that has been taken or is expected to be taken on a tax return and also provides guidance on de-recognition, classification, interest and penalties, accounting in interim periods, disclosure, and transition. Under ASC Topic 740 the Company may only recognize or continue to recognize tax positions that meet a “more likely than not” threshold.

The application of tax laws and regulations is subject to legal and factual interpretation, judgment and uncertainty. Tax laws and regulations may change as a result of changes in fiscal policy, changes in legislation, the evolution of regulations and court rulings. Therefore, the actual liability for U.S. or foreign taxes may be materially different from the Company’s estimates, which could require the Company to record additional tax liabilities or to reduce previously recorded tax liabilities, as applicable.

Research and Development Expenses

Research and development expenditures are expensed in the period incurred.

Risks and Uncertainties

The Company is subject to certain risks and uncertainties including its ability to obtain profitable operations due to the Company’s history of losses and accumulated deficits, the Company’s dependence on a few customers for a significant portion of revenues, risks related to intellectual property matters, market development of and demand for the Company’s products, and the length of the sales cycle. Such risks could have a material adverse effect on the Company’s consolidated financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

The Company invested a significant portion of its research and development budget into the design of ASIC and hybrid devices, including the HyperCloud® memory subsystem, HyperVault memory systems and NVvault family of products. These designs and the products they are incorporated into are subject to increased risks as compared to the Company’s legacy products. The Company may be unable to achieve customer or market acceptance of its products, or achieve such acceptance in a timely manner. The Company experienced a longer qualification cycle than anticipated with its HyperCloud® memory subsystems, and has experienced supply chain disruption and a shortage of DRAM and flash required to create the HyperCloud® memory subsystem and NVvault products. As of March 28, 2015, Hypercloud has not generated significant revenue relative to the Company’s investment in the product.

The Company’s operations in the PRC are subject to various political, geographical and economic risks and uncertainties inherent to conducting business in the PRC. These include, but are not limited to, (i) potential changes in economic conditions in the region, (ii) managing a local workforce that may subject the Company to uncertainties or certain regulatory policies, (iii) changes in other policies of the Chinese governmental and regulatory agencies, and (iv) changes in the laws and policies of the U.S. government regarding the conduct of business in foreign countries, generally, or in the PRC, in particular. Additionally, the Chinese government controls the procedures by which its local currency, the Chinese Renminbi (“RMB”), is converted into other currencies and by which dividends may be declared or capital distributed for the purpose of repatriation of earnings and investments. If restrictions in the conversion of RMB or

Table of Contents

in the repatriation of earnings and investments through dividend and capital distribution restrictions are instituted, the Company's operations and operating results may be negatively impacted. The liabilities of the Company's subsidiaries in the PRC exceeded its assets as of March 28, 2015 and December 27, 2014.

Foreign Currency Remeasurement

The functional currency of the Company's foreign subsidiary is the U.S. dollar. Local currency financial statements are remeasured into U.S. dollars at the exchange rate in effect as of the balance sheet date for monetary assets and liabilities and the historical exchange rate for nonmonetary assets and liabilities. Expenses are remeasured using the average exchange rate for the period, except items related to nonmonetary assets and liabilities, which are remeasured using historical exchange rates. All remeasurement gains and losses are included in determining net loss. Transaction gains and losses were not significant in the three months ended March 28, 2015 or March 29, 2014.

Net Loss Per Share

Basic net loss per share is calculated by dividing net loss by the weighted-average common shares outstanding during the period, excluding unvested shares issued pursuant to restricted share awards under the Company's share-based compensation plans. Diluted net loss per share is calculated by dividing the net loss by the weighted-average shares and dilutive potential common shares outstanding during the period. Dilutive potential shares consist of dilutive shares issuable upon the exercise or vesting of outstanding stock options, warrants and restricted stock awards, respectively, computed using the treasury stock method. In periods of losses, basic and diluted loss per share are the same, as the effect of stock options and unvested restricted share awards on loss per share is anti-dilutive.

Recent Accounting Pronouncements

In May 2014, the FASB issued Accounting Standards Update ("ASU") No. 2014-09, *Revenue from Contracts with Customers* ("ASU 2014-09"). ASU 2014-09 supersedes the revenue recognition requirements in FASB Topic 605, *Revenue Recognition*. ASU 2014-9 implements a five-step process for customer contract revenue recognition that focuses on transfer of control, as opposed to transfer of risk and rewards. The amendment also requires enhanced disclosures regarding the nature, amount, timing and uncertainty of revenues and cash flows from contracts with customers. Other major provisions include the capitalization and amortization of certain contract costs, ensuring the time value of money is considered in the transaction price, and allowing estimates of variable consideration to be recognized before contingencies are resolved in certain circumstances. Entities can transition to the standard either retrospectively or as a cumulative-effect adjustment as of the date of adoption. On April 29, 2015, the FASB proposed deferring the effective date by one year to December 15, 2017 for annual reporting periods beginning after that date. The FASB also proposed permitting early adoption of the standard, but not before the original effective date or for reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2016. The Company has not yet selected a transition method and is currently assessing the impact of the adoption of ASU 2014-9 will have on its consolidated financial statements and disclosures.

In August 2014, the FASB issued ASU No. 2014-15, *Presentation of Financial Statements - Going Concern*. The amendments in this update provide guidance in accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America about management's responsibilities to evaluate whether there is substantial doubt about an entity's ability to continue as a going concern and to provide related footnote disclosures. The main provision of the amendments are for an entity's management, in connection with the preparation of financial statements, to evaluate whether there are conditions or events, considered in the aggregate, that raise substantial doubt about the entity's ability to continue as a going concern within one year after the date that the financial statements are issued. Management's evaluation should be based on relevant conditions and events that are known or reasonably knowable at the date the consolidated financial statements are issued. When management identifies conditions or events that raise substantial doubt about an entity's ability to continue as a going concern, the entity should disclose information that enables users of the consolidated financial statements to understand all of the following: (1) principal conditions or events that raised substantial doubt about the entity's ability to continue as a going concern (before consideration of management's plans); (2) management's evaluation of the significance of those conditions or events in relation to the entity's ability to meet its obligations; and (3) management's plans that alleviated substantial doubt about the entity's ability to continue as a going concern or management's plans that are intended to mitigate the conditions or events that raise substantial doubt about the entity's ability to continue as a going concern. The amendments in this update are effective for interim and annual reporting periods after December 15, 2016 and early application is permitted. The Company is currently assessing this guidance for future implementation.



Note 3—Supplemental Financial Information*Inventories*

Inventories consist of the following (in thousands):

	(unaudited) March 28, 2015	(audited) December 27, 2014
Raw materials	\$ 1,117	\$ 984
Work in process	207	23
Finished goods	497	873
	<u>\$ 1,821</u>	<u>\$ 1,880</u>

Warranty Liabilities

The following table summarizes the activity related to the warranty liabilities (in thousands):

	<u>Three Months Ended</u>	
	<u>March 28, 2015</u>	<u>March 29, 2014</u>
Beginning balance	\$ 246	\$ 249
Estimated cost of warranty claims charged to cost of sales	130	46
Cost of actual warranty claims	<u>(258)</u>	<u>(35)</u>
Ending balance	118	260
Less current portion	<u>(71)</u>	<u>(156)</u>
Long-term warranty liability	<u>\$ 47</u>	<u>\$ 104</u>

The allowance for warranty liabilities expected to be incurred within one year is included as a component of accrued expenses and other current liabilities in the accompanying condensed consolidated balance sheets.

Computation of Net Loss Per Share

The following table sets forth the computation of net loss per share, including the reconciliation of the numerator and denominator used in the calculation of basic and diluted net loss per share (in thousands, except per share data):

	<u>Three Months Ended</u>	
	<u>March 28, 2015</u>	<u>March 29, 2014</u>
Basic and diluted net loss per share:		
Numerator: Net loss	\$ (6,458)	\$ (2,018)
Denominator: Weighted-average common shares		
outstanding, basic and diluted	44,708	36,881
Basic and diluted net loss per share	<u>\$ (0.14)</u>	<u>\$ (0.05)</u>

The following table sets forth potentially dilutive common share equivalents, consisting of shares issuable upon the exercise or vesting of outstanding stock options and restricted stock awards, respectively computed using the treasury

Table of Contents

stock method. These potential common shares have been excluded from the diluted net loss per share calculations above as their effect would be anti-dilutive for the periods then ended (in thousands):

	<u>Three Months Ended</u>	
	<u>March 28,</u> <u>2015</u>	<u>March 29,</u> <u>2014</u>
Common share equivalents	366	573

The above common share equivalents would have been included in the calculation of diluted earnings per share had the Company reported net income for the periods then ended.

Major Customers

The Company's product sales have historically been concentrated in a small number of customers. The following table sets forth sales to customers comprising 10% or more of the Company's net sales as follows:

	<u>Three Months Ended</u>	
	<u>March 28,</u> <u>2015</u>	<u>March 29,</u> <u>2014</u>
Customer:		
Customer A	25 %	14 %
Customer B	*%	10 %
Customer C	*%	10 %
Customer D	*%	19 %
Customer E	*%	19 %

* less than 10% of net sales

The Company's accounts receivable as of March 28, 2015 were concentrated with two customers, representing approximately 26% and 15% of aggregate gross receivables. At December 27, 2014, three customers represented approximately 13%, 49% and 11% of aggregate gross receivables. A significant reduction in sales to, or the inability to collect receivables from, a significant customer could have a material adverse impact on the Company. The Company mitigates risk with foreign receivables by purchasing comprehensive foreign credit insurance.

Cash Flow Information

The following table sets forth supplemental disclosures of cash flow information and non-cash investing and financing activities (in thousands):

	<u>Three Months Ended</u>	
	<u>March 28,</u> <u>2015</u>	<u>March 29,</u> <u>2014</u>
Supplemental disclosure of non-cash investing and financing activities:		
Debt financing of directors and officers insurance	\$ 247	\$ 197
Debt issuance costs associated with February debt financing	\$ 273	\$ -

Note 4—Credit Agreements

Silicon Valley Credit Agreement

On October 31, 2009, the Company entered into a credit agreement with Silicon Valley Bank (“SVB”), which was most recently amended on April 23, 2015 (as amended, the “SVB Credit Agreement”). Currently, the SVB Credit Agreement provides that the Company can borrow up to the lesser of (i) 80% of eligible accounts receivable, or (ii) \$5.0 million.

On July 18, 2013, the Company and SVB entered into a loan amendment (“SVB Amendment”) to the Company’s loan and security agreement with SVB. Pursuant to the SVB Amendment, SVB allowed for the financing and security interests contemplated under the loan agreement entered into with Fortress and released certain patents and related assets relating to the NVvault™ product line from the collateral subject to SVB’s security interest under the SVB Credit Agreement. Additionally, pursuant to the SVB Amendment, advances under the revolving line now accrue interest at a rate equal to SVB’s most recently announced “prime rate” plus 2.75% . The SVB Amendment also relaxed the Company’s tangible net worth covenant under the SVB Credit Agreement. Certain reporting requirements under the SVB Credit Agreement were modified while certain reserves with respect to the borrowing base and the availability of revolving loans were removed pursuant to the SVB Amendment. Under the terms of the SVB Credit Agreement, the Company may draw revolving advances in an aggregate outstanding principal amount of up to the lesser of \$5 million or the available borrowing base, subject to reserve amounts. The Company’s borrowing base under the SVB Credit Agreement is subject to certain adjustments and up to the lesser of 80% of eligible accounts receivable.

SVB Credit Agreement requires letters of credit to be secured by cash, which is classified as restricted cash in the accompanying consolidated balance sheet. At March 28, 2015 , letters of credit in the amount of \$ 1.6 million were outstanding.

The following tables present details of interest expense related to borrowings on the line of credit with SVB, along with availability under our credit line with SVB (in thousands):

	<u>Three Months Ended</u>	
	<u>March 28,</u>	<u>March 29,</u>
	<u>2015</u>	<u>2014</u>
Interest expense	<u>\$ 13</u>	<u>\$ 24</u>

The following table presents details of the Company’s available borrowings under our line of credit with SVB:

	(unaudited)	(audited)
	<u>March 28,</u>	<u>December 27,</u>
	<u>2015</u>	<u>2014</u>
Availability under the revolving line of credit	<u>\$ 686</u>	<u>\$ 882</u>

All obligations under the SVB Credit Agreement are secured by a first priority lien on the Company’s tangible and intangible assets, other than its intellectual property, which is subject to a first priority lien held by Fortress Credit Opportunities I, LP, an affiliate of Fortress Investment Group, LLC (“Fortress”) and successor to DBD Credit Funding, LLC . The SVB Credit Agreement subjects the Company to certain affirmative and negative covenants, including financial covenants with respect to the Company’s liquidity and tangible net worth and restrictions on the payment of dividends. On April 23, 2015, the SVB Credit Agreement was amended to modify the tangible net worth covenant effective as of February 1, 2015 . As of March 28, 2015 , the Company was in compliance with its debt covenants.

On July 18, 2013, the Company, entered into a loan agreement (“Loan Agreement”) with Fortress, providing for up to \$10 million in term loans and up to \$5 million in revolving loans. The term loans are available in an initial \$6 million tranche (the “Initial Term Loan”) with a second tranche in the amount of \$4 million becoming available upon achievement of certain performance milestones relating to intellectual property matters (the “IP Monetization Milestones” and such second tranche loan, “IP Milestone Term Loan”). The \$5 million in revolving loans are available at Fortress’s discretion and subject to customary conditions precedent. The \$6 million Initial Term Loan was fully drawn at closing on July 18, 2013. Proceeds from the Initial Term Loan were used in part to repay the Company’s existing Consolidated Term Loan with SVB. The remainder of such funds will be used to fund the Company’s ongoing working capital needs. On February 17, 2015, the Loan Agreement was amended (“Loan Agreement”) to accelerate the availability of the term loan and the Company borrowed the remaining \$4 million in term loans.

The loans bear interest at a stated fixed rate of 11.0% per annum. Until the last business day of February 2015, the payments on the term loans are interest-only at a cash rate of 7.0% per annum and a payment-in-kind deferred cash interest rate of 4.0%, which payment-in-kind interest is capitalized semi-annually, beginning with December 31, 2013. Beginning with the last business day of February 2015, the term loans are amortized with 65% of the principal amount due in equal monthly installments over the following seventeen (17) months with a balloon payment equal to 35% of the remaining principal amount of the term loans, plus accrued interest, being payable on July 18, 2016 (the “Maturity Date”). Term loan payments, including the \$4 million borrowed on February 17, 2015, of approximately \$370,000 are due monthly through June 18, 2016, with the remaining amount of approximately \$4.3 million due on July 18, 2016.

The Company’s obligations under the Loan Agreement are secured by a first-priority security interest in the Company’s intellectual property assets (other than certain patents and related assets relating to the NVvault™ product line) pursuant to an intellectual property security agreement with (the “IP Security Agreement”) and a second-priority security interest in substantially all of the Company’s other assets.

In connection with the Loan Agreement, the Company paid certain facility, due diligence and legal fees of Fortress on the closing date and was obligated to pay a conditional facility fee upon satisfaction of the IP Monetization Milestones. In connection with the Loan Amendment, the Company paid a facility fee and an amendment and restructuring fee; the Company must also pay an annual management and monitoring fee. The conditional facility fee due upon satisfaction of the IP Monetization Milestone was deemed fully earned pursuant to the Loan Agreement. If the Company repays or prepays all or a portion of the term loans prior to maturity, the Company is obligated to pay Fortress a prepayment fee based on a percentage of the then outstanding principal balance being prepaid, equal to 2.0% if the prepayment occurs between July 18, 2015, or 0.0% if the prepayment occurs after July 18, 2015.

The Loan Agreement contains customary representations, warranties and indemnification provisions. The Loan Agreement also contains affirmative and negative covenants that, among other things restrict the ability of the Company to:

- incur additional indebtedness or guarantees;
- incur liens;
- make investments, loans and acquisitions;
- consolidate or merge;
- sell or exclusively license assets, including capital stock of subsidiaries;
- alter the business of the Company;
- engage in transactions with affiliates; and

- pay dividends or make distributions.

The Loan Agreement also includes events of default, including, among other things, payment defaults, breaches of representations, warranties or covenants, certain bankruptcy events, the failure to maintain the Company's listing on a nationally recognized securities exchange or alternatively for its shares to be qualified for trading on the OTC Bulletin Board and certain material adverse changes, including an impairment of the perfection or priority of the lender's lien. Upon the occurrence of an event of default and following any applicable cure periods, a default interest rate of an additional 5.0% per annum may be applied to the outstanding loan balances, and Fortress may declare all outstanding obligations immediately due and payable and take such other actions as set forth in the Loan Agreement.

Concurrently with the execution of the Loan Agreement, the Company and Drawbridge Special Opportunities Fund LP ("Drawbridge") entered into a Monetization Letter Agreement (as amended, the "Letter Agreement"). In connection with the amendment to the Loan Agreement, the Company also amended the Letter Agreement on February 17, 2015. The Letter Agreement provides, among other things, that DBD may be entitled to share in certain monetization revenues that we may derive in the future related to our patent portfolio (the "Patent Portfolio"). Monetization revenues subject to this arrangement include revenues recognized during the seven year term of the Letter Agreement from amounts (whether characterized as settlement payments, license fees, royalties, damages, or otherwise) actually paid to the Company or its subsidiaries in connection with any assertion of, agreement not to assert, or license of, the Patent Portfolio (in whole or in part) either (A) in consideration of the grant of a license or covenant not sue, or other immunity with respect to the Patent Portfolio, or (B) as a damages award with respect to such assertion of the Patent Portfolio, less certain legal fees and expenses (subject to a cap on such fees and expenses). Monetization revenues also include the value attributable to the Patent Portfolio in any sale of the Company during the seven year term, subject to a maximum amount payable to Drawbridge. The Letter Agreement also requires that the Company use commercially reasonable efforts to pursue opportunities to monetize the Patent Portfolio during the term of the Letter Agreement, provided the Company is under no obligation to pursue any such opportunities that it does not deem to be in its best interest. Notwithstanding the foregoing, there can be no assurance that the Company will be successful in these efforts, and it may expend resources in pursuit of monetization revenues that may not result in any benefit to the Company.

Concurrently with the execution of the Loan Agreement, the Company issued to an affiliate of DBD a seven-year warrant (the "Warrant") to purchase an aggregate of 1,648,351 shares of the Company's common stock at an exercise price of \$1.00 per share, of all warrants are exercisable, as amended, immediately on a cash or cashless basis in whole or in part. In connection with the amendment to the Loan Agreement on February 17, 2015, the Company cancelled the Warrant and issued a new warrant in substantially the same form other than to remove the restrictions upon exercise contained in the Warrant with respect to an aggregate of 659,340 shares of the Company's common stock thereunder relating to the achievement of the Company of the IP Monetization Milestones and the borrowing by the Company of amounts under the IP Milestone Term Loan. The Warrant was issued in a private placement transaction that was exempt from registration under Section 4(2) of the Securities Act of 1933 (the "Securities Act").

The Company accounted for the warrants as a debt discount and has valued them based on the relative fair value at approximately \$1,215,000, to be amortized over the term of the debt instrument, or three years, using the effective interest method. For the three months ended March 28, 2015 and March 29, 2014, the Company amortized approximately \$120,000 and \$123,000 respectively, as interest expense in the consolidated statements of operations.

Also in connection with the Loan Agreement, the Company agreed to pay to a consultant a consulting fee equal to (i) \$300,000 to the consultant in connection with the Company's receipt of the Initial Term Loan and (ii) 5% of any additional principal amount loaned to the Company as an IP Milestone Term Loan. The initial \$300,000 and \$485,925 of additional debt financing costs has been recorded as debt issuance cost to be amortized over the term of the debt instrument, or three years, using the effective interest method.

In connection with the Loan Agreement on February 17, 2015, the Company modified its agreement with a consultant and agreed to pay a consulting fee of 3.5% of the \$4,000,000 of additional principal loaned to the Company. The amended consulting fee was equal to \$140,000. The amended consulting fee and \$132,899 of additional debt

Table of Contents

financing costs has been recorded as debt issuance cost to be amortized over the term of the debt instrument, or seventeen months, using the effective interest method.

During the three months March 28, 2015 and March 29, 2014, the Company amortized approximately \$ 121,000 and \$ 80,000 respectively, as interest expense in the consolidated statements of operations related to the consulting and other additional debt financing costs described above.

Note 5— Debt

Debt consists of the following (in thousands):

	(unaudited) March 28, 2015	(audited) December 27, 2014
Term Loan, Fortress, net of debt discount of \$405 and \$524	\$ 9,371	\$ 5,837
Note payable to others	165	-
	<u>\$ 9,536</u>	<u>\$ 5,837</u>
Less current portion (including discount)	(4,261)	(2,205)
	<u>\$ 5,275</u>	<u>\$ 3,632</u>

Interest expense related to debt is presented in the following table (in thousands):

	Three Months Ended	
	March 28, 2015	March 29, 2014
Interest expense	<u>\$ 468</u>	<u>\$ 372</u>

Note 6—Income Taxes

The following table sets forth the Company's provision for income taxes, along with the corresponding effective tax rates (in thousands, except percentages):

	Three Months Ended	
	March 28, 2015	March 29, 2014
Provision for income taxes	<u>\$ 1</u>	<u>\$ -</u>
Effective tax rate	<u>- %</u>	<u>- %</u>

The Company evaluates whether a valuation allowance should be established against its deferred tax assets based on the consideration of all available evidence using a "more likely than not" standard. Due to uncertainty of future utilization, the Company has provided a full valuation allowance as of March 28, 2015 and December 27, 2014. Accordingly, no benefit has been recognized for net deferred tax assets.

The Company does not have any unrecognized tax benefits as of March 28, 2015 and December 27, 2014.

Facility Lease

The Company leases office space located at 175 Technology Drive, Suite 150, Irvine, California, 92618. The lease payments range from approximately \$9,000 to \$10,000 per month over the term of the lease. This lease is valid through July 31, 2016. The annual payment for this space equates to approximately \$111,000 per year.

Litigation and Patent Reexaminations

The Company owns numerous patents and continues to enlarge and strengthen its patent portfolios, which cover different aspects of the Company's technology innovations with various claim scopes. The Company plans to generate revenue by selling or licensing its technology, and intends to vigorously enforce its patent rights against infringers of such rights. The Company dedicates substantial resources in protecting its intellectual property, including its efforts to defend its patents against challenges made by way of reexamination proceedings at the United States Patent and Trademark Office ("USPTO"). These activities are likely to continue for the foreseeable future, without any guarantee that any ongoing or future patent protection and litigation activities will be successful. The Company is also subject to litigation claims that it has infringed on the intellectual property of others, against which the Company intends to defend vigorously.

Litigation, whether or not eventually decided in the Company's favor or settled, is costly and time-consuming and could divert management's attention and resources. Because of the nature and inherent uncertainties of litigation, should the outcome of any of such actions be unfavorable, the Company's business, financial condition, results of operations or cash flows could be materially and adversely affected. Additionally, the outcome of pending litigation, and the related patent reexaminations, as well as any delay in their resolution, could affect the Company's ability to license its intellectual property in the future or to protect against competition in the current and expected markets for its products.

Google Litigation

In May 2008, the Company initiated discussions with Google, Inc. ("Google") based on information and belief that Google had infringed on a U.S. patent owned by the Company, U.S. Patent No. 7,289,386 ("the '386 patent"), which relates generally to technologies to implement rank multiplication in memory modules. Preemptively, Google filed a declaratory judgment lawsuit against the Company in the U.S. District Court for the Northern District of California (the "Northern District Court"), seeking a declaration that Google did not infringe the '386 patent and that the '386 patent was invalid. The Company filed a counterclaim for infringement of the '386 patent by Google. Claim construction proceedings were held in November 2009, and the Company prevailed on every disputed claim construction issue. In June 2010, the Company filed motions for summary judgment of patent infringement and dismissal of Google's affirmative defenses. In May 2010, Google requested and was later granted an *Inter Partes* Reexamination of the '386 patent by the USPTO. The reexamination proceedings are described below. The Northern District Court granted Google's request to stay the litigation pending result of the reexamination, and therefore has not ruled on the Company's motions for summary judgment.

In December 2009, the Company filed a patent infringement lawsuit against Google in the Northern District Court, seeking damages and injunctive relief based on Google's infringement of U.S. Patent No. 7,619,912 ("the '912 patent"), which is related to the '386 patent and relates generally to technologies to implement rank multiplication. In February 2010, Google answered the Company's complaint and asserted counterclaims against the Company seeking a declaration that the patent is invalid and not infringed, and claiming that the Company committed fraud, negligent misrepresentation and breach of contract based on the Company's activities in the JEDEC standard-setting organization. The counterclaim seeks unspecified compensatory damages. Accruals have not been recorded for loss contingencies related to Google's counterclaim because it is not probable that a loss has been incurred and the amount of any such loss cannot be reasonably estimated. In October 2010, Google requested and was later granted an *Inter Partes* Reexamination of the '912 patent by the USPTO. The reexamination proceedings are described below. In connection with the

Table of Contents

reexamination request, the Northern District Court granted the Company and Google's joint request to stay the '912 patent infringement lawsuit against Google until the completion of the reexamination proceedings.

Inphi Litigation

In September 2009, the Company filed a patent infringement lawsuit against Inphi Corporation ("Inphi") in the U.S. District Court for the Central District of California (the "Central District Court"). The complaint, as amended, alleges that Inphi is contributorily infringing and actively inducing the infringement of U.S. patents owned by the Company, including the '912 patent, U.S. Patent No. 7,532,537 ("the '537 patent"), which relates generally to memory modules with load isolation and memory domain translation capabilities, and U.S. Patent No. 7,636,274 ("the '274 patent"), which is related to the '537 patent and relates generally to load isolation and memory domain translation technologies. The Company is seeking damages and injunctive relief based on Inphi's use of the Company's patented technology. Inphi denied infringement and claimed that the three patents are invalid. In April 2010, Inphi requested but was later denied *Inter Partes* Reexaminations of the '912, '537 and '274 patents by the USPTO. In June 2010, Inphi submitted new requests and was later granted *Inter Partes* Reexaminations of the '912, '537 and '274 patents by the USPTO. The reexamination proceedings are described below. In connection with the reexamination requests, Inphi filed a motion to stay the patent infringement lawsuit with the Central District Court, which was granted. The Central District Court has requested that the Company notify it within one week of any action taken by the USPTO in connection with the reexamination proceedings, at which time the Central District Court may decide to maintain or lift the stay.

SanDisk, Smart Modular, Smart Worldwide, and Diablo Litigations

In September 2012, Smart Modular, Inc. ("Smart Modular") filed a patent infringement lawsuit against the Company in the U.S. District Court for the Eastern District of California (the "Eastern District Court"). The complaint alleges that the Company willfully infringes and actively induces the infringement of six claims of a U.S. patent newly issued to Smart Modular, U.S. Patent No. 8,250,295 ("the '295 patent"), and seeks damages and injunctive relief. Smart Modular also filed a motion for preliminary injunction and a memorandum in support of the motion on the same day of the complaint. The Company promptly filed a request for reexamination of the '295 patent with the USPTO setting forth six different combinations of prior art that would render the six asserted claims of the '295 patent unpatentable. The Company also filed an answer to Smart Modular's complaint with the Eastern District Court in October 2012 to deny infringement of the '295 patent, assert that the '295 patent is invalid and unenforceable, and bring a set of counterclaims against Smart Modular. Smart Modular filed various motions on the pleadings on November 1, 2012, which were opposed by the Company in its briefs filed in late November 2012.

In December 2012, the USPTO granted the Company's request for the reexamination of the '295 patent, and issued an Office Action rejecting all of the six asserted claims over the six different combinations of prior art set forth by the Company in its request. The Company promptly moved to stay litigation pending result of reexamination. On February 19, 2013, a few days after Smart Modular filed replies in support of its motions, the Eastern District Court issued a Minute Order, in which the court on its own motion took the preliminary injunction; the motion to dismiss and the motion to stay under submission without oral argument and vacated the hearing dates.

On February 7, 2013, Smart Modular filed a response to the Office Action in the reexamination of the '295 patent. Thereafter, the Company and Smart Modular made various filings to address certain apparent defects contained in Smart Modular's response. On March 13, 2013, the USPTO issued a Notice of Defective Paper, in which the USPTO found Smart Modular's responses, both the initial filing and a supplemental filing, to be improper, and both responses were expunged from the record. The USPTO gave Smart Modular 15 days to submit another response, which Smart Modular submitted on March 26, 2013. The Company timely filed its comments on Smart Modular's corrected response on April 25, 2013. The USPTO ultimately accepted Smart Modular's corrected response on July 17, 2013. On April 29, 2014, the USPTO issued an Action Closing Prosecution ("ACP"), confirming some claims and rejecting others. Smart Modular filed a response to the ACP on May 29, 2014, and Netlist filed comments related to Smart Modular's response on June 30, 2014. Thus, the reexamination of the '295 patent remains pending and will continue in accordance with established procedures for reexamination proceedings.

Table of Contents

On May 30, 2013, the Eastern District Court issued an order granting Netlist's motion to stay pending results of the reexamination of the '295 patent and denied Smart Modular's motion for preliminary injunction.

On July 1, 2013, Netlist filed a complaint against Smart Modular in the Santa Ana Division of the U.S. District Court for the Central District of California ("Central District Court"), seeking, among other things, relief under federal antitrust laws for Smart Modular's violation of Section 2 of the Sherman Act, and damages and other equitable relief under California statutory and common law for Smart Modular's unfair competition, deceptive trade practices and fraud.

On August 23, 2013, Netlist filed an amended complaint for patent infringement, antitrust violations and trade secret misappropriation against Smart Modular, Smart Storage Systems ("Smart Storage"), Smart Worldwide Holdings ("Smart Worldwide") and Diablo Technologies ("Diablo") in the Central District Court. Smart Storage was acquired by SanDisk Corporation ("SanDisk") on August 22, 2013. Netlist's amended complaint alleges infringement of five Netlist patents by the defendants based on the manufacture and sale of the ULLtraDIMM memory module. Netlist's complaint also alleges antitrust violations by Smart Modular and Smart Worldwide, contending that Smart Modular procured the '295 patent with blatant inequitable conduct at the USPTO, withheld the patent application leading to the patent from relevant JEDEC committees for more than eight years, sought to improperly enforce that patent against Netlist's JEDEC-compliant HyperCloud® product by seeking a preliminary injunction against Netlist based on the patent, which was denied by the Eastern District Court, and made deceptive statements to the public about its lawsuit against Netlist. Netlist's complaint also alleges trade secret misappropriation and trademark infringement against Diablo, claiming that Diablo misused Netlist trade secrets to create the ULLtraDIMM product for Smart Storage (now SanDisk), and that Diablo used Netlist's HyperCloud® technology to create competing products.

On the same day Netlist filed its amended complaint, Smart Modular and Diablo each filed a complaint in the San Francisco Division of the U.S. District Court Northern District of California ("Northern District Court"), seeking declaratory judgment of non-infringement and invalidity of the patents asserted in the Netlist's amended complaint. On September 9, 2013, Netlist filed a Motion to Dismiss or Transfer these declaratory judgment complaints to the Central District Court. This motion was denied by the Northern District Court on October 10, 2013.

In the Central District Court, Smart Modular and Smart Worldwide filed motions on September 13, 2013, to dismiss or sever various counts related to the '295 patent. On September 26, 2013, Diablo filed a motion to dismiss Netlist's claims for trade secret misappropriation, breach of contract, and unfair competition. On October 29, 2013, Smart Modular and Diablo filed motions to dismiss or transfer the patent claims related to the ULLtraDIMM memory module. On November 26, 2013, the Central District Court: (i) severed and transferred the claims related to the '295 patent to the Eastern District Court, which were stayed by the Eastern District Court on March 7, 2014, along with the other '295 related claims pending results of the '295 reexamination; (ii) severed and transferred to the Northern District Court the patent claims related to the ULLtraDIMM memory module; (iii) issued an order to show cause why the remaining claims should not also be transferred to the Northern District Court; and (iv) held in abeyance Diablo's pending motion to dismiss and motion for judgment on the pleadings. The parties filed briefs in response to the order to show cause, and then on December 23, 2013, the Central District Court ordered the remaining claims to be transferred to the Northern District Court. All of the claims from the amended complaint filed on August 23, 2013, in the Central District Court have now been transferred to either the Northern District Court or the Eastern District Court.

As reported in its Form 8-K filed on December 13, 2013, Netlist received a whistleblower letter postmarked from Canada (where Diablo is based) on November 13, 2013, and obviously written by a current or former Diablo employee. The letter begins by bluntly stating that Diablo stole Netlist's architecture and design, and goes on to explain that Diablo used Netlist's HyperCloud™ product to create the ULLtraDIMM product, which it then used in demonstrations to major customers including IBM and Hewlett-Packard. The letter further states that Diablo's management conspired to hide this theft by instructing its employees not to speak to customers about the fact that Netlist's product was incorporated into ULLtraDIMM. The letter includes diagrams showing how Diablo implemented the theft of Netlist's trade secrets, as well as the names of former Diablo employees, customers and suppliers who can verify the theft. The Form 8-K included as an exhibit a partially redacted copy of the whistleblower letter. On December 13, 2013, Diablo filed an ex parte application in the Northern District Court requesting that the Court issue an order to show cause why Netlist should not be sanctioned for attaching the redacted copy of the whistleblower letter to the Form 8-K. The Northern District Court heard the parties' arguments on December 16, 2013, and on January 3, 2014,

Table of Contents

issued an order denying Diablo's application for sanctions, finding that Diablo had not established a basis for finding the information in the Form 8-K and its attachments "confidential" and therefore had not shown why it should be granted the relief sought.

On January 21, 2014, Netlist filed a motion for leave to file a second amended answer and counterclaims in the Northern District Court to assert two additional patents, bringing the total to seven patents asserted against the ULLtraDIMM. Diablo did not oppose Netlist's motion, and the parties filed a joint stipulation and proposed order on February 3, 2014, requesting an additional two months be added to the case schedule to account for the additional patents. On February 5, 2014, the Northern District Court granted Netlist's motion to add the two patents and entered a new case schedule. On February 12, 2014, the Northern District Court granted the parties' joint stipulation dismissing Smart Modular without prejudice. On April 7, 2014, the Northern District Court granted Netlist's motion for leave to file a Second Amended Complaint in the patent case.

On March 21, 2014, Netlist filed a Second Amended Complaint against Diablo in the Northern District Court, Case No. 4:13-CV-05962 (the "trade secret case"), alleging, among other things, that in stealing Netlist's proprietary HyperCloud® and DxD and LRD technologies, Diablo breached its contracts with Netlist, committed trademark violations, and misappropriated Netlist's trade secrets. Also on March 21, 2014, Netlist served Diablo with its Amended Trade Secret Disclosure, detailing approximately 60 trade secrets Netlist taught to Diablo in connection with the contracted and confidential work on the HyperCloud® project. On April 9, 2014, Diablo filed a motion to dismiss Netlist's Second Amended Trade Secret Complaint, as well as a motion for judgment on the pleadings. That motion was heard by the Northern District Court on May 13, 2014, and on September 4, 2014, denied the motion with respect to all grounds except one, which Netlist did not contest.

On April 1, 2014, the Northern District Court denied Diablo's motion to strike Netlist's infringement contentions, finding that Netlist's contentions did indeed satisfy the relevant requirements and, on April 7, 2014, granted Netlist's motion to compel defendants to produce certain discovery materials related to the ULLtraDIMM. Diablo filed a motion for relief from these two rulings, which was denied on April 8, 2014. Also on April 7, 2014, the Northern District Court granted Netlist's motion for issuance of Letters Rogatory to the Canadian courts requesting that summons be issued for two former Diablo employees living in Canada and named in the whistleblower letter to produce documents and to be deposed. These depositions occurred in late August 2014.

On April 8, 2014, the Northern District Court granted Netlist's motion to consolidate the patent related cases (Case Nos. 4:13-CV-05889-YGR and 4:13-CV-03901-YGR) and to coordinate discovery with the trade secret case (4:13-CV-05962-YGR), and denied Diablo's motion to further consolidate the patent and trade secret cases. On April 15, 2014, the Northern District Court granted the parties' joint stipulation dismissing Smart Worldwide without prejudice. On April 30, 2014, the Northern District Court denied Diablo's request that Netlist's Amended Trade Secret Disclosure and exhibits thereto be re-designated as "Confidential" from the current designation of "Highly Confidential — Attorneys' Eyes Only".

Between June 18, 2014 and June 24, 2014, SanDisk filed petitions in the USPTO requesting *Inter Partes* Review ("IPR") of the five Netlist patents asserted in the August 23, 2013 amended complaint. Diablo similarly filed petitions requesting IPR of the two Netlist patents added in the second amended answer filed on January 21, 2014. Netlist filed patent owner preliminary responses to all of the petitions associated with the seven asserted Netlist patents. The USPTO issued decisions on the petitions in December, 2014, denying the petitions in their entirety as to three patents (U.S. Patent Nos. 8,516,187; 8,301,833; 8,516,185), granting a partial institution on one patent (U.S. Patent No. 8,001,434), and instituting a review of all claims in three patents (U.S. Patent Nos. 7,881,150; 8,081,536; 8,359,501). Reviews will therefore proceed related to four Netlist patents (U.S. Patent Nos. 8,001,434; 7,881,150; 8,081,536; 8,359,501) in accordance with established procedures. On April 7, 2015, SanDisk filed additional petitions in the USPTO requesting IPR of the '150 and '536 patents that are already under review.

On August 23, 2014, Smart Modular also filed petitions in the USPTO requesting IPR of the five Netlist patents asserted in the August 23, 2013 amended complaint. Netlist filed patent owner preliminary responses to all of the Smart Modular petitions in December, 2014. On March 13, 2015, the USPTO issued decisions on the Smart Modular petitions, denying the petitions in their entirety as to the same three patents that survived the petitions filed by SanDisk in June,

Table of Contents

2014 (U.S. Patent Nos. 8,516,187; 8,301,833; 8,516,185), and instituted additional reviews of the two other patents already under review (U.S. Patent No. 8,001,434; 8,359,501) that will proceed in accordance with established procedures.

SanDisk filed a motion on June 24, 2014, to stay the Northern District patent cases pending completion of the IPRs (Diablo later joined this motion). Netlist filed its opposition to the motion to stay on July 10, 2014. The Northern District Court heard oral arguments on the motion to stay in early August 2014, and issued an order on August 21, 2014, denying the motion without prejudice. SanDisk renewed its motion to stay on January 20, 2015 and on April 9, 2015, granted the motion for a stay pending resolution of the IPRs.

On October 6, 2014, Netlist filed a Motion for Preliminary Injunction in the Northern District Court trade secret suit, asking that Diablo and its partner SanDisk be immediately enjoined from any further manufacture or sale of the ULLtraDIMM module. The Court granted in part Netlist's motion on January 6, 2015, and entered a preliminary injunction halting the manufacture, use, sale, or distribution of the Diablo Rush and Bolt chips and any ULLtraDIMM module containing those chips, and advanced the trial date to March 9, 2015 on the trade secret misappropriation, breach of contract, and other related claims (4:13-CV-05962-YGR). SanDisk and Diablo filed motions with the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit appealing the January 6, 2015, preliminary injunction and asking for expedited briefing and a stay of the preliminary injunction during the pendency of the appeals. The Federal Circuit denied both requests for expedited briefing, denied Diablo's request for a stay, but granted SanDisk's narrower request for a stay of the preliminary injunction as to SanDisk's existing inventory of enjoined products.

The trial commenced on schedule and continued for two weeks, with closing arguments on March 23, 2015. On March 25, 2015, the jury came back with a verdict finding for the defendant on the breach of contract, misappropriation of trade secret and inventorship counts, while finding for Netlist on the trademark and false advertising counts. As a result, Netlist may forfeit the \$900,000 bond posted earlier in the litigation. After the verdict, the court ordered briefing to determine the effect of the jury verdict on the preliminary injunction entered on January 6, 2015, and following oral argument on April 24, 2015, issued an order dissolving the preliminary injunction. The court further issued Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law on Netlist's Unfair Competition claims granting no relief under the statute based on the jury's verdict. The parties now have the opportunity to file post-trial motions in accordance with established procedures.

'386 Patent Reexamination

As noted above, in May 2010, Google requested and was later granted an *Inter Partes* Reexamination of the '386 patent by the USPTO. In October 2010, Smart Modular requested and was later granted an *Inter Partes* Reexamination of the '386 patent. The reexaminations requested by Google and Smart Modular were merged by the USPTO into a single proceeding. In April 2011, a Non-Final Action was issued by the USPTO, rejecting all claims in the patent. In July 2011, the Company responded by amending or canceling some of the claims, adding new claims, and making arguments as to the validity of the rejected claims in view of cited references. Both Google and Smart Modular filed their comments to the Company's response in October 2011. In October 2012, the USPTO issued an ACP rejecting all 60 claims. The Company filed a response to the ACP on December 3, 2012. On June 21, 2013, the USPTO issued a Right of Appeal Notice ("RAN") in which the examiner maintained his rejection of the claims. Netlist filed a notice of appeal on July 19, 2013. Google filed a notice of cross-appeal on August 2, 2013, and a cross-appeal brief on October 1, 2013. The Company filed an appeal brief and an amendment canceling some of the remaining claims on October 2, 2013 to further focus the issues on appeal. On February 24, 2014, the examiner entered the amendment canceling claims, withdrew the rejections related to those claims, but otherwise maintained the positions previously set forth in the RAN. On September 24, 2014, the USPTO set a hearing date of November 19, 2014. After the hearing, on February 25, 2015, the PTAB issued a decision affirming the Examiner's rejections of the pending claims. The Company has requested rehearing of the PTAB's decision and can thereafter appeal to the Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit. Thus, the reexamination of the '386 patent remains pending and will continue in accordance with established procedures for merged reexamination proceedings and judicial appeals therefrom.

'912 Patent Reexamination

As noted above, in April 2010, Inphi requested but was later denied an *Inter Partes* Reexamination of the '912 patent by the USPTO. In June 2010, Inphi submitted a new request and was later granted an *Inter Partes* Reexamination of the '912 patent by the USPTO. In September 2010, the USPTO confirmed the patentability of all fifty-one claims of the '912 patent. In October 2010, Google and Smart Modular each filed and were later granted requests for reexamination of the '912 patent. In February 2011, the USPTO merged the Inphi, Google and Smart Modular '912 reexaminations into a single proceeding. In an April 2011 Non-Final Action in the merged reexamination proceeding, the USPTO rejected claims 1-20 and 22-51 and confirmed the patentability of claim 21 of the '912 patent. In July 2011, the Company responded by amending or canceling some of the claims, adding new claims, and making arguments as to the validity of the rejected claims. Inphi, Google, and Smart Modular filed their comments on the Company's response in August 2011. In October 2011, the USPTO mailed a second Non-Final Action confirming the patentability of twenty claims of the '912 patent, including claims that were added in the reexamination process. In January 2012, the Company responded by amending or canceling some of the claims, adding new claims, and making arguments as to the validity of the rejected claims. Google, Inphi and Smart Modular filed their comments to the Company's response in February 2012. The USPTO determined that Smart Modular's comments were defective, and issued a notice to Smart Modular to rectify and resubmit its comments. Smart Modular filed corrected comments and a petition for the USPTO to withdraw the notice in March 2012. The USPTO issued a non-final Office Action on November 13, 2012 maintaining the patentability of many key claims while rejecting some claims that were previously determined to be patentable. The Company filed a response to the Office Action on January 14, 2013. The requesters filed their comments on February 13, 2013. On March 21, 2014, the USPTO issued an ACP, confirming the patentability of 92 claims and maintaining the rejection of 11 other claims. On June 18, 2014, the USPTO issued a RAN, maintaining the substantive positions taken by the examiner in the ACP. Smart Modular, Inphi and Google filed notices of appeal on July 16, July 18 and July 18, 2014, respectively. Netlist filed a notice of cross-appeal on July 30, 2014. Smart Modular, Inphi and Google filed their respective appeal briefs on September 16, September 30 and September 30, 2014. Netlist filed its cross-appeal brief on September 30, 2014. On January 14, 2015, the examiner maintained his positions previously set forth in the RAN. The parties filed respective rebuttal briefs in February 2015. The reexamination of the '912 patent remains pending and will continue in accordance with established procedures for merged reexamination proceedings.

'627 Patent Reexamination

In September 2011, Smart Modular filed a request for reexamination of U.S. Patent No. 7,864,627 ("the '627 patent") issued to the Company on January 4, 2011. The '627 patent is related to the '912 patent. In November 2011, the USPTO granted Smart Modular's request for reexamination of the '627 patent and concurrently issued a Non-Final Action confirming the patentability of three claims. In February 2012, the Company responded by amending or canceling some of the claims, adding new claims, and making arguments as to the validity of the rejected claims. Smart Modular filed its comments to the Company's response in March 2012. The USPTO determined that Smart Modular's comments were defective and issued a notice in April 2012 to Smart Modular to rectify and resubmit its comments. Smart Modular filed corrected comments and a petition for the USPTO to withdraw the notice in April 2012. The USPTO posted an Office Action on December 19, 2012, confirming one claim and rejecting the rest of the claims in the '627 patent. The Company filed a response to the Office Action on March 19, 2013. Smart Modular filed its comments on the Office Action on April 24, 2013. The USPTO issued another Non-Final Office Action on September 26, 2013, withdrawing certain rejections while adopting new rejections for certain of the pending claims. The Company responded to the Non-Final Office Action on November 26, 2013, by amending some of the claims and making arguments as to the validity of the rejected claims. On March 27, 2014, the USPTO issued an ACP, maintaining the claim rejections. On June 27, 2014, the USPTO issued a RAN, maintaining the substantive positions taken by the examiner in the ACP. Netlist filed a notice of appeal on July 28, 2014. On October 14, 2014, the Company filed its appeal brief and, on November 13, 2014, Smart Modular filed its respondent's brief. The reexamination of the '627 patent remains pending and will continue in accordance with established *Inter Partes* Reexamination procedures.

'537 Patent Reexamination

As noted above, in April 2010, Inphi requested and was later denied an *Inter Partes* Reexamination of the '537 patent by the USPTO. In June 2010, Inphi submitted a new request and was later granted an *Inter Partes* Reexamination

Table of Contents

of the '537 patent by the USPTO. In September 2010, the USPTO issued a Non-Final Action confirming the patentability of four claims. In October 2010, the Company responded by amending or canceling some of the claims, adding new claims, and making arguments as to the validity of the rejected claims. Inphi filed its comments on the Company's response in January 2011. In June 2011, the USPTO issued an ACP, which reconfirmed the patentability of the four claims. In August 2010, the Company responded by amending some of the claims and making arguments as to the validity of the rejected claims. Inphi filed its comments to the Company's response in September 2011. The USPTO issued a Right of Appeal Notice in February 2012, in which the claim rejections were withdrawn, thus confirming the patentability of all sixty (60) claims in view of all the previously submitted comments by both Inphi and the Company. Inphi filed a notice of appeal in March 2012 followed by an appeal brief in May 2012. In response, the USPTO issued a Notice of Defective Appeal Brief. Inphi filed a corrective appeal brief in late May 2012, and the Company filed its reply brief to the corrected Inphi appeal brief in early July 2012. The examiner responded to Inphi's corrected appeal brief as well as the Company's reply brief by Examiner's Answer on April 16, 2013, in which he maintained his position confirming all sixty (60) claims. Inphi filed a rebuttal brief on May 16, 2013. Netlist filed a request for oral hearing on June 14, 2013. The Company and the examiner jointly defended the '537 patent in a hearing on November 20, 2013 before the Patent Trial and Appeal Board ("PTAB") at the USPTO. On January 16, 2014, the PTAB issued a decision upholding the validity of all 60 claims, dismissing every single validity challenge raised by Inphi and affirming the examiner's decision to allow the claims. On August 13, 2014, the PTAB denied Inphi's request for rehearing and made its decision final for judicial review to Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit ("CAFC"). On October 15, 2014, Inphi filed a Notice of Appeal to the CAFC. On February 3, 2015, Inphi filed an appellant's brief in its appeal to the CAFC. The reexamination of the '537 patent remains pending and will continue in accordance with established procedures for *Inter Partes* Reexamination and judicial appeals therefrom.

'274 Patent Reexamination

As noted above, in April 2010, Inphi requested and was later denied an *Inter Partes* Reexamination of the '274 patent by the USPTO. In June 2010, Inphi submitted a new request and was later granted an *Inter Partes* Reexamination of the '274 patent by the USPTO. In September 2011, the USPTO issued a Non-Final Action, confirming the patentability of six claims. The Company has responded by amending or canceling some of the claims, adding new claims, and making arguments as to the validity of the rejected claims. Inphi filed its comments on the Company's response in November 2011. The USPTO issued an ACP in March 2012, which confirmed the patentability of one hundred and four (104) claims in view of all the previously submitted comments by both Inphi and the Company. The USPTO subsequently issued a RAN in June 2012. This RAN triggered Inphi's right as the losing party to file a notice of appeal and corresponding appeal brief, which Inphi filed when due. The Company responded to Inphi's appeal brief by filing a reply brief in October 2012. The examiner responded to Inphi's appeal brief and the reply brief by Examiner's Answer on April 16, 2013, in which he maintained his position confirming the one hundred and four (104) claims. Inphi filed a rebuttal brief on May 16, 2013. Netlist filed a request for oral hearing on June 14, 2013. The Company and the USPTO examiner jointly defended the '274 patent in a hearing on November 20, 2013 before the PTAB, in accordance with established procedures for *Inter Partes* Reexamination. On January 16, 2014, the PTAB issued a decision affirming the examiner in part, but reversing the examiner on new grounds and rejecting the one hundred and four (104) claims. On March 28, 2014, Netlist filed a Patent Owner's Response Requesting to Reopen Prosecution along with certain claim amendments and arguments. On June 26, 2014, the PTAB issued a decision granting-in-part Inphi's request to modify the January 16, 2014, decision as to two of the rejected claims. The reexamination of the '274 patent remains pending and will continue in accordance with established procedures for *Inter Partes* Reexamination.

Other Contingent Obligations

During its normal course of business, the Company has made certain indemnities, commitments and guarantees pursuant to which it may be required to make payments in relation to certain transactions. These include (i) intellectual property indemnities to the Company's customers and licensees in connection with the use, sales and/or license of Company products; (ii) indemnities to vendors and service providers pertaining to claims based on the Company's negligence or willful misconduct; (iii) indemnities involving the accuracy of representations and warranties in certain contracts; (iv) indemnities to directors and officers of the Company to the maximum extent permitted under the laws of the State of Delaware; (v) indemnities to Fortress Credit Opportunities I LP , successor to DBD Credit Funding LLC, and SVB pertaining to all obligations, demands, claims, and liabilities claimed or asserted by any other party in connection

Table of Contents

with transactions contemplated by the loan documents; and (vi) certain real estate leases, under which the Company may be required to indemnify property owners for environmental and other liabilities, and other claims arising from the Company's use of the applicable premises. The duration of these indemnities, commitments and guarantees varies and, in certain cases, may be indefinite. The majority of these indemnities, commitments and guarantees do not provide for any limitation of the maximum potential for future payments the Company could be obligated to make. Historically, the Company has not been obligated to make significant payments for these obligations, and no liabilities have been recorded for these indemnities, commitments and guarantees in the accompanying consolidated balance sheets.

Note 8—Stockholders' Equity

Serial Preferred Stock

The Company's authorized capital includes 10,000,000 shares of Serial Preferred Stock, with a par value of \$0.001 per share. No shares of Serial Preferred Stock were outstanding at March 28, 2015 or December 27, 2014.

Common Stock

On February 24, 2015, the Company completed the 2015 Offering of shares of the Company's common stock. In the 2015 Offering, the Company issued and sold to the Underwriter 8,846,154 shares of common stock pursuant to an underwriting agreement, dated as of February 19, 2015, by and between the Company and the Underwriter, at a price of \$1.209 per share, including 1,153,846 shares resulting from the Underwriter's exercise in full of its option to purchase additional shares of Common Stock to cover over-allotments. The price per share to the public in the 2015 Offering was \$1.30 per share. The net proceeds from the 2015 Offering were approximately \$10.6 million, after deducting underwriting discounts and commissions and estimated offering expenses.

Stock-Based Compensation

The Company has stock-based compensation awards outstanding pursuant to the Amended and Restated 2000 Equity Incentive Plan (the "2000 Plan") and the Amended and Restated 2006 Equity Incentive Plan (the "2006 Plan"), under which a variety of option and direct stock-based awards may be granted to employees and nonemployees of the Company. Further grants under the 2000 Plan were suspended upon the adoption of the 2006 Plan. In addition to awards made pursuant to the 2006 Plan, the Company periodically issues inducement grants outside the 2006 Plan to certain new hires.

Subject to certain adjustments, as of March 28, 2015, the Company was authorized to issue a maximum of 9,005,556 shares of common stock pursuant to awards under the 2006 Plan. That maximum number will automatically increase on the first day of each subsequent calendar year by the lesser of (i) 5.0% of the number of shares of common stock that are issued and outstanding as of the first day of the calendar year, and (ii) 1,200,000 shares of common stock, subject to adjustment for certain corporate actions. At March 28, 2015, the Company had 710,636 shares available for grant under the 2006 Plan. Options granted under the 2000 Plan and the 2006 Plan primarily vest at a rate of at least 25% per year over four years and expire 10 years from the date of grant. Restricted stock awards vest in eight equal increments at intervals of approximately six months from the date of grant.

Table of Contents

A summary of the Company's common stock option activity for the three months ended March 28, 2015 is presented below (shares in thousands):

	<u>Options Outstanding</u>	
	<u>Number of Shares</u>	<u>Weighted- Average Exercise Price</u>
Options outstanding at December 27, 2014	7,234	\$ 2.40
Options granted	965	1.18
Options exercised	(10)	0.73
Options expired/forfeited	(276)	1.94
Options outstanding at March 28, 2015	<u>7,913</u>	<u>\$ 2.27</u>

The intrinsic value of options exercised in the three months ended March 28, 2015 was \$ 4,895 .

A summary of the Company's restricted stock awards as of and for the three months ended March 28, 2015 is presented below (shares in thousands):

	<u>Restricted Stock Outstanding</u>	
	<u>Number of Shares</u>	<u>Weighted- Average Grant-Date Fair Value per Share</u>
Balance outstanding at December 27, 2014	2	\$ 1.51
Restricted stock vested	(1)	1.51
Balance outstanding at March 28, 2015	<u>1</u>	<u>\$ 1.51</u>

The following table presents details of the assumptions used to calculate the weighted-average grant date fair value of common stock options granted by the Company:

	<u>Three months ended</u>	
	<u>March 28, 2015</u>	<u>March 29, 2014</u>
Expected term (in years)	6.2	6.4
Expected volatility	131 %	121 %
Risk-free interest rate	1.52 %	1.91 %
Expected dividends	-	-
Weighted-average grant date fair value per share	\$ 1.06	\$ 1.59

The fair value per share of restricted stock grants is calculated based on the fair value of the Company's common stock on the respective grant dates. The grant date fair value of restricted stock vested was \$ 1,000 and \$ 97,000 in the three months ended March 28, 2015 and March 29, 2014, respectively.

At March 28, 2015, the amount of unearned stock-based compensation currently estimated to be expensed from fiscal 2015 through fiscal 2018 related to unvested common stock options and restricted stock awards is approximately \$ 3.1 million, net of estimated forfeitures. The weighted-average period over which the unearned stock-based compensation is expected to be recognized is approximately 2.8 years. If there are any modifications or cancellations of

the underlying unvested awards, the Company may be required to accelerate, increase or cancel any remaining unearned stock-based compensation expense.

Note 9—Segment and Geographic Information

The Company operates in one reportable segment, which is the design and manufacture of high-performance memory subsystems for the server, high-performance computing and communications markets. The Company evaluates financial performance on a Company-wide basis.

At March 28, 2015 and December 27, 2014, approximately \$0.1 million and \$0.2 million, respectively, of the Company's long-lived assets, net of depreciation and amortization, respectively, were located in the PRC. Substantially all other long-lived assets were located in the U.S.

Note 10—Subsequent Events

We have evaluated subsequent events through the filing date of this Form 10-Q, and have determined that no subsequent events have occurred that would require recognition in the condensed consolidated financial statements or disclosure in the notes thereto, other than as discussed in the accompanying notes.

Cautionary Statement

The following discussion and analysis of our financial condition and results of operations should be read in conjunction with our Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements and the related notes thereto contained in Part I, Item 1 of this Report. The information contained in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q is not a complete description of our business or the risks associated with an investment in our common stock. We urge you to carefully review and consider the various disclosures made by us in this Report and in our other reports filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission, or SEC, including our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 27, 2014 and subsequent reports on Form 10-Q and 8-K, which discuss our business in greater detail.

This report contains forward-looking statements regarding future events and our future performance. These forward-looking statements involve risks and uncertainties that could cause actual results to differ materially from those expected or projected. These risks and uncertainties include, but are not limited to risks associated with the launch and commercial success of our products, programs and technologies; the success of product partnerships; continuing development, qualification and volume production of HyperVault, EXPRESSvault™, NVvault™, HyperCloud® and VLP Planar-X RDIMM; the timing and magnitude of the continued decrease in sales to one of our key customers; our ability to leverage our NVvault™ and EXPRESSvault™ technology into a more diverse customer base; our need to raise additional capital and our ability to obtain financing when necessary; the rapidly-changing nature of technology; risks associated with intellectual property, including patent infringement litigation against us as well as the costs and unpredictability of litigation over infringement of our intellectual property and the possibility of our patents being reexamined or reviewed by the USPTO and PTAB; volatility in the pricing of DRAM ICs and NAND flash; changes in and uncertainty of customer acceptance of, and demand for, our existing products and products under development, including uncertainty of and/or delays in product orders and product qualifications; delays in our and our customers' product releases and development; introductions of new products by competitors; changes in end-user demand for technology solutions; our ability to attract and retain skilled personnel; our reliance on suppliers of critical components and vendors in the supply chain; fluctuations in the market price of critical components; evolving industry standards; and the political and regulatory environment in the PRC. Other risks and uncertainties are described under the heading "Risk Factors" in Part II, Item IA of this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q, and similar discussions in our other SEC filings. Given these risks, uncertainties and other important factors, you should not place undue reliance on these forward-looking statements. These forward-looking statements represent our estimates and assumptions only as of the date made. Except as required by law, we undertake no obligation to revise or update publicly any forward-looking statements for any reason.

Overview

We design, manufacture and sell a wide variety of high performance, logic-based memory subsystems for the global datacenter, storage and high-performance computing markets. Our memory subsystems consist of combinations of dynamic random access memory integrated circuits ("DRAM ICs" or "DRAM"), NAND flash memory ("NAND flash"), application-specific integrated circuits ("ASICs") and other components assembled on printed circuit boards ("PCBs"). We primarily market and sell our products to leading original equipment manufacturer ("OEM") customers, hyperscale datacenter operators and storage vendors. Our solutions are targeted at applications where memory plays a key role in meeting system performance requirements. We leverage a portfolio of proprietary technologies and design techniques, including combining discrete semiconductor technologies from third parties such as DRAM and NAND flash to function as one, efficient planar design, and alternative packaging techniques to deliver memory subsystems with persistence, high density, small form factor, high signal integrity, attractive thermal characteristics, reduced power consumption and low cost per bit. Our NVvault™ product is the first to offer both DRAM and NAND flash in a standard form factor memory subsystem as a persistent dual-in line memory module ("DIMM") in mission critical applications. Our HyperCloud® technology incorporates our patented rank multiplication and load reduction technologies. We also have pending and issued patents covering fundamental aspects of hybrid memory DIMM designs that incorporate combinations of DRAM and/or NAND flash, such as our NVvault™ product. We are focused on monetizing our patent portfolio through our products business and, where appropriate, through licensing arrangements with third parties that wish to incorporate our patented technologies in their products.

Table of Contents

Our high performance memory subsystems are developed in part using our proprietary technologies, and we believe that the strength of our intellectual property rights will be important to the success of our business. We utilize patent and trade secret protection, confidentiality agreements with customers and partners, disclosure and invention assignment agreements with employees and consultants and other contractual provisions to protect our intellectual property and other proprietary information. We intend to vigorously defend and monetize our intellectual property through licensing arrangements and, where necessary, enforcement actions against those entities using our patented solutions in their products. We may seek injunctive relief in the course of enforcing our intellectual property rights in certain instances, and in other instances we may enter into settlement or license agreements, which can be structured in a variety of ways, including one-time paid up licenses or on-going royalty arrangements. However, our efforts may not result in significant revenues from these monetization efforts.

As of March 28, 2015, we had 55 U.S. and foreign patents issued and 30 U.S. and foreign patents applications pending. Assuming that they are properly maintained, our patents will expire at various dates between 2022 and 2029. Our issued patents and patent applications relate to the use of custom logic in high performance memory subsystems, PCB design, layout and packaging techniques. We intend to actively pursue the filing of additional patent applications related to our technology advancements. While we believe that our patent and other intellectual property rights are important to our success, our technical expertise and ability to introduce new products in a timely manner are also important factors in developing and maintaining our competitive position. Accordingly, we believe that our business is not materially dependent upon any one claim in any of our existing patents or pending patent applications.

Our Products

NVvault™ Family

We were the first to develop and market memory subsystems that incorporate both DRAM and NAND flash in a single NVvault™ persistent DIMM solution. NVvault™ was originally used for mission critical backups during power interruption in Redundant Array of Independent Disks (“RAID”) and main memory. NVvault™ has moved beyond its original application to a variety of other applications, including hyperscale computing for cloud, big data, on-line banking and other real time applications where NVvault™ is also used as a data accelerator. We are working to further enhance the capabilities of our NVvault™ technology in these new applications, and we are also seeking to expand our customer base through the integration of NVvault™ into leading storage motherboards. NVvault™ is incorporated in our EXPRESSvault™ PCIe solution for both acceleration and backup in storage applications. Our NVvault™ product line consists primarily of battery-free and battery-powered flash backed cache memory subsystems targeting RAID storage, application acceleration and mission critical data integrity. NVvault™ battery-free provides server and storage OEMs a solution for enhanced datacenter fault recovery. We continue to pursue qualifications with potential significant customers within the industry and we are currently working to remedy our ongoing supply chain disruptions, however, our efforts to expand our qualifications and manage our supply chain may not result in significant revenues from the sale of NVvault™ family products.

For the three months ended March 28, 2015 and March 29, 2014, our NVvault™ non-volatile RDIMM used in cache-protection and data logging applications, including our NVvault™ battery-free, the flash-based cache system, accounted for approximately 44% and 55% of total net sales, respectively.

HyperCloud®

Our HyperCloud® technology incorporates our patented rank multiplication technology, which increases memory capacity and our patented load reduction technology, which increases memory bandwidth. We expect that these patented technologies will make possible improved levels of performance for memory intensive datacenter applications and workloads, including enterprise virtualization, cloud computing infrastructure, business intelligence real-time data analytics, and high performance computing.

Specialty Memory Modules and Flash-Based Products

The remainder of our revenues are primarily from OEM sales of specialty memory modules and flash-based products, the majority of which are utilized in data center and industrial applications. When developing custom modules for an equipment product launch, we engage with our OEM customers from the earliest stages of new product definition,

Table of Contents

providing us unique insight into their full range of system architecture and performance requirements. This close collaboration has also allowed us to develop a significant level of systems expertise. We leverage a portfolio of proprietary technologies and design techniques, including efficient planar design, alternative packaging techniques and custom semiconductor logic, to deliver memory subsystems with high speed, capacity and signal integrity, small form factor, attractive thermal characteristics and low cost per bit. Revenues from our specialty modules and flash-based products are subject to fluctuation as a result of the life cycles of the products into which our modules are incorporated. Our ability to continue to generate revenues from specialty memory modules and flash-based products is dependent on our ability to qualify our products on new platforms as current platforms reach the end of their lifecycles, and on the state of the global economy.

Technology

We have a portfolio of proprietary technologies and design techniques and have assembled an engineering team with expertise in semiconductors, printed circuit boards, memory subsystem and system design. Our technology competencies include:

IC Design Expertise. We have designed special algorithms that can be implemented in stand-alone integrated circuits or integrated into other functional blocks in ASICs. We utilize these algorithms in the HyperCloud[®] chipset to incorporate rank multiplication and load reduction functionality. We also incorporate these algorithms in our NVvault[™] product line of RDIMMS.

NVvault[™]. We were the first to develop and market memory subsystems that incorporate both DRAM and NAND flash in a single NVvault[™] persistent DIMM solution. NVvault[™] combines the best attributes of DRAM, including speed, durability and reliability with high densities, lower power and lowest costs provided by NAND flash. This combination enables us to provide application acceleration and mission critical backup during power interruption for cloud infrastructure, virtualization, analytics and database applications. NVvault[™] is incorporated in our EXPRESSvault[™] PCIe solution for both acceleration and backup in storage applications.

Proprietary PCB Designs. We utilize advanced techniques to optimize electronic signal strength and integrity within a PCB. These techniques include the use of 8-layer or 10-layer boards, matching conductive trace lengths, a minimized number of conductive connectors, or vias, and precise load balancing to, among other benefits, help reduce noise and crosstalk between adjacent traces. In addition, our proprietary designs for the precise placement of intra-substrate components allow us to assemble memory subsystems with significantly smaller physical size, enabling OEMs to develop products with smaller footprints for their customers.

Very Low Profile Designs. We were the first company to create memory subsystems in a form factor of less than one inch in height. We believe our proprietary board design technology is particularly useful in the blade server market, where efficient use of motherboard space is critical. Our technology has allowed us to decrease the system board space required for memory, and improve thermal performance and operating speeds, by enabling our customers to use alternative methods of component layout.

Thermal Management Designs. We design our memory subsystems to ensure effective heat dissipation. We use thermal cameras to obtain thermal profiles of the memory subsystem during the design phase, allowing us to rearrange components to enhance thermal characteristics and, if necessary, replace components that do not meet specifications. We also develop and use proprietary heat spreaders to enhance the thermal management characteristics of our memory subsystems.

Key Business Metrics

The following describes certain line items in our condensed consolidated statements of operations that are important to management's assessment of our financial performance:

Net Sales. Net sales consist primarily of sales of our high performance memory subsystems, net of a provision for estimated returns under our right of return policies, which generally range up to 30 days. We generally do not have long-term sales agreements with our customers. Although OEM customers typically provide us with non-binding

Table of Contents

forecasts of future product demand over specific periods of time, they generally place orders with us approximately two weeks in advance of scheduled delivery. Selling prices are typically negotiated monthly, based on competitive market conditions and the current price of DRAM ICs and NAND flash. Purchase orders generally have no cancellation or rescheduling penalty provisions. We often ship our products to our customers' international manufacturing sites. All of our sales to date, however, are denominated in U.S. dollars. We also sell excess component inventory of DRAM ICs and NAND flash to distributors and other users of memory integrated circuits. Component inventory sales are a relatively small percentage of net sales as a result of our efforts to diversify both our customer and product line bases. This diversification effort has also allowed us to use components in a wider range of memory subsystems. We expect that component inventory sales will continue to represent a minimal portion of our net sales in future periods.

Cost of Sales. Our cost of sales includes the cost of materials, labor and other manufacturing costs, depreciation and amortization of equipment, inventory valuation provisions, stock-based compensation, and occupancy costs and other allocated fixed costs. The DRAM ICs and NAND flash incorporated into our products constitute a significant portion of our cost of sales, and thus our cost of sales will fluctuate based on the current price of DRAM ICs and NAND flash. We attempt to pass through such DRAM IC and NAND flash memory cost fluctuations to our customers by frequently renegotiating pricing prior to the placement of their purchase orders. However, the sales prices of our memory subsystems can also fluctuate due to competitive situations unrelated to the pricing of DRAM ICs and NAND flash, which affects gross margins. In addition, we have experienced shortages of DRAM and flash required for our HyperCloud® and NVvault products from time to time, which can cause disruptions in our revenues and gross profits. In addition, the gross margin on our sales of any excess component DRAM IC and NAND flash inventory is much lower than the gross margin on our sales of our memory subsystems. As a result, fluctuations in DRAM IC and NAND flash inventory sales as a percentage of our overall sales could impact our overall gross margin. We assess the valuation of our inventories on a quarterly basis and record a provision to cost of sales as necessary to reduce inventories to the lower of cost or net realizable value.

Research and Development. Research and development expense consists primarily of employee and independent contractor compensation and related costs, stock-based compensation, non-recurring engineering fees, computer-aided design software licenses, reference design development costs, depreciation or rental of evaluation equipment, and occupancy and other allocated overhead costs. Also included in research and development expense are the costs of material and overhead related to the production of engineering samples of new products under development or products used solely in the research and development process. Our customers typically do not separately compensate us for design and engineering work involved in developing application-specific products for them. All research and development costs are expensed as incurred. We anticipate that research and development expenditures will increase in future periods as we seek to expand new product opportunities, increase our activities related to new and emerging markets and continue to develop additional proprietary technologies.

Intellectual Property Legal Fees. Intellectual Property Legal Fees consists of legal fees incurred for patent filings and protection, including litigation. We anticipate that intellectual property legal fees will increase in future periods as we seek to protect our patent portfolio.

Selling, General and Administrative. Selling, general and administrative expenses consist primarily of employee salaries and related costs, stock-based compensation, independent sales representative commissions, professional services, promotional and other selling and marketing expenses, and occupancy and other allocated overhead costs. A significant portion of our selling effort is directed at building relationships with OEMs and other customers and working through the product approval and qualification process with them. Therefore, the cost of material and overhead related to products manufactured for qualification is included in selling expenses. In order to conserve capital resources in light of the year-over-year revenue decline, we have reduced our selling, general and administrative expenditures by eliminating headcount and other related expenses.

Provision for Income Taxes. The federal statutory rate was 35% for the period ended March 28, 2015 and March 29, 2014. Our effective tax rate differs from the statutory rate due to the company providing a full valuation allowance against net deferred tax assets, and accordingly did not recognize an income tax benefit related to losses incurred.

Critical Accounting Policies

The preparation of our condensed consolidated financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the U.S. requires us to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosures of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the condensed consolidated financial statements and the reported amounts of net sales and expenses during the reporting period. By their nature, these estimates and assumptions are subject to an inherent degree of uncertainty. We base our estimates on our historical experience, knowledge of current conditions and our beliefs of what could occur in the future considering available information. We review our estimates on an on-going basis. Actual results may differ from these estimates, which may result in material adverse effects on our operating results and financial position. We believe the following critical accounting policies involve our more significant assumptions and estimates used in the preparation of our condensed consolidated financial statements:

Revenue Recognition. We recognize revenues in accordance with the Financial Accounting Standards Board (“FASB”) Accounting Standards Codification (“ASC”) Topic 605. Accordingly, we recognize revenues when there is persuasive evidence that an arrangement exists, product delivery and acceptance have occurred, the sales price is fixed or determinable, and collectibility of the resulting receivable is reasonably assured.

We generally use customer purchase orders and/or contracts as evidence of an arrangement. Delivery occurs when goods are shipped for customers with FOB Shipping Point terms and upon receipt for customers with FOB Destination terms, at which time title and risk of loss transfer to the customer. Shipping documents are used to verify delivery and customer acceptance. We assess whether the sales price is fixed or determinable based on the payment terms associated with the transaction and whether the sales price is subject to refund. Customers are generally allowed limited rights of return for up to 30 days, except for sales of excess component inventories, which contain no right-of-return privileges. Estimated returns are provided for at the time of sale based on historical experience or specific identification of an event necessitating a reserve. We offer a standard product warranty to our customers and have no other post-shipment obligations. We assess collectability based on the creditworthiness of the customer as determined by credit checks and evaluations, as well as the customer’s payment history.

All amounts billed to customers related to shipping and handling are classified as net sales, while all costs incurred by us for shipping and handling are classified as cost of sales.

Fair Value of Financial Instruments. Our financial instruments consist principally of cash and cash equivalents, restricted cash, accounts receivable, accounts payable, accrued expenses and debt instruments. The fair value of our cash equivalents is determined based on quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or Level 1 inputs. We recognize transfers between Levels 1 through 3 of the fair value hierarchy at the beginning of the reporting period. We believe that the carrying values of all other financial instruments approximate their current fair values due to their nature and respective durations.

Allowance for Doubtful Accounts. We perform credit evaluations of our customers’ financial condition and limit the amount of credit extended to our customers as deemed necessary, but generally require no collateral. We evaluate the collectibility of accounts receivable based on a combination of factors. In cases where we are aware of circumstances that may impair a specific customer’s ability to meet its financial obligations subsequent to the original sale, we will record an allowance against amounts due, and thereby reduce the net recognized receivable to the amount that we reasonably believe will be collected. For all other customers, we record allowances for doubtful accounts based primarily on the length of time the receivables are past due based on the terms of the originating transaction, the current business environment and our historical experience. Uncollectible accounts are charged against the allowance for doubtful accounts when all cost effective commercial means of collection have been exhausted. Generally, our credit losses have been within our expectations and the provisions established. However, we cannot guarantee that we will continue to experience credit loss rates similar to those we have experienced in the past.

Our accounts receivable are highly concentrated among a small number of customers, and a significant change in the liquidity or financial position of one of these customers could have a material adverse effect on the collectibility of our accounts receivable, our liquidity and our future operating results.

Table of Contents

Inventories. We value our inventories at the lower of the actual cost to purchase or manufacture the inventory or the net realizable value of the inventory. Cost is determined on an average cost basis which approximates actual cost on a first-in, first-out basis and includes raw materials, labor and manufacturing overhead. At each balance sheet date, we evaluate ending inventory quantities on hand and record a provision for excess quantities and obsolescence. Among other factors, we consider historical demand and forecasted demand in relation to the inventory on hand, competitiveness of product offerings, market conditions and product life cycles when determining obsolescence and net realizable value. In addition, we consider changes in the market value of DRAM ICs and NAND flash in determining the net realizable value of our raw material inventory. Once established, any write downs are considered permanent adjustments to the cost basis of our excess or obsolete inventories.

A significant decrease in demand for our products could result in an increase in the amount of excess inventory quantities on hand. In addition, our estimates of future product demand may prove to be inaccurate, in which case we may have understated or overstated the provision required for excess and obsolete inventory. In the future, if our inventories are determined to be overvalued, we would be required to recognize additional expense in our cost of sales at the time of such determination. Likewise, if our inventories are determined to be undervalued, we may have over-reported our costs of sales in previous periods and would be required to recognize additional gross profit at the time such inventories are sold. In addition, should the market value of DRAM ICs or NAND flash decrease significantly, we may be required to lower our selling prices to reflect the lower current cost of our raw materials. If such price decreases reduce the net realizable value of our inventories to less than our cost, we would be required to recognize additional expense in our cost of sales in the same period. Although we make every reasonable effort to ensure the accuracy of our forecasts of future product demand, any significant unanticipated changes in demand, technological developments or the market value of DRAM ICs or NAND flash could have a material effect on the value of our inventories and our reported operating results.

Deferred Financing Costs, Debt Discount and Detachable Debt-Related Warrants. Costs incurred to issue debt are deferred and included in debt issuance costs in the accompanying consolidated balance sheet. We amortize debt issuance costs over the expected term of the related debt using the effective interest method. Debt discounts related to the relative fair value of any warrants issued in conjunction with the debt are recorded as a reduction to the debt balance and accreted over the expected term of the debt to interest expense using the effective interest method.

Impairment of Long-Lived Assets. We evaluate the recoverability of the carrying value of long-lived assets held and used in our operations for impairment on at least an annual basis or whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that their carrying value may not be recoverable. When such factors and circumstances exist, we compare the projected undiscounted future net cash flows associated with the related asset or group of assets over their estimated useful lives against their respective carrying amount. These projected future cash flows may vary significantly over time as a result of increased competition, changes in technology, fluctuations in demand, consolidation of our customers and reductions in average selling prices. If the carrying value is determined not to be recoverable from future operating cash flows, the asset is deemed impaired and an impairment loss is recognized to the extent the carrying value exceeds the estimated fair value of the asset. The fair value of the asset or asset group is based on market value when available, or when unavailable, on discounted expected cash flows.

Warranty Reserve. We offer product warranties generally ranging from one to three years, depending on the product and negotiated terms of purchase agreements with our customers. Such warranties require us to repair or replace defective product returned to us during the warranty period at no cost to the customer. Warranties are not offered on sales of excess inventory. Our estimates for warranty-related costs are recorded at the time of sale based on historical and estimated future product return rates and expected repair or replacement costs. While such costs have historically been consistent between periods and within our expectations and the provisions established, unexpected changes in failure rates could have a material adverse impact on us, requiring additional warranty reserves, and adversely affecting our gross profit and gross margins.

Stock-Based Compensation. We account for equity issuances to non-employees in accordance with ASC Topic 505. All transactions in which goods or services are the consideration received for the issuance of equity instruments are accounted for based on the fair value of the consideration received or the fair value of the equity instrument issued, whichever is more reliably measurable. The measurement date used to determine the fair value of the equity instrument

Table of Contents

issued is the earlier of the date on which the third-party performance is complete or the date on which it is probable that performance will occur.

In accordance with ASC Topic 718, employee and director stock-based compensation expense recognized during the period is based on the value of the portion of stock-based payment awards that is ultimately expected to vest during the period. Given that stock-based compensation expense recognized in the condensed consolidated statements of operations is based on awards ultimately expected to vest, it has been reduced for estimated forfeitures. ASC Topic 718 requires forfeitures to be estimated at the time of grant and revised, if necessary, in subsequent periods if actual forfeitures differ from those estimates. Our estimated average forfeiture rates are based on historical forfeiture experience and estimated future forfeitures.

The fair value of common stock option awards to employees and directors is calculated using the Black-Scholes option pricing model. The Black-Scholes model requires subjective assumptions regarding future stock price volatility and expected time to exercise, along with assumptions about the risk-free interest rate and expected dividends, all of which affect the estimated fair values of our common stock option awards. The expected term of options granted is calculated as the average of the weighted vesting period and the contractual expiration date of the option. This calculation is based on the safe harbor method permitted by the SEC in instances where the vesting and exercise terms of options granted meet certain conditions and where limited historical exercise data is available. The expected volatility is based on the historical volatility of our common stock. The risk-free rate selected to value any particular grant is based on the U.S. Treasury rate that corresponds to the expected term of the grant effective as of the date of the grant. The expected dividends assumption is based on our history and our expectations regarding dividend payouts. We evaluate the assumptions used to value our common stock option awards on a quarterly basis. If factors change and we employ different assumptions, stock-based compensation expense may differ significantly from what we have recorded in prior periods. Compensation expense for common stock option awards with graded vesting schedules is recognized on a straight-line basis over the requisite service period for the last separately vesting portion of the award, provided that the accumulated cost recognized as of any date at least equals the value of the vested portion of the award.

We recognize the fair value of restricted stock awards issued to employees and outside directors as stock-based compensation expense on a straight-line basis over the vesting period for the last separately vesting portion of the awards. Fair value is determined as the difference between the closing price of our common stock on the grant date and the purchase price of the restricted stock award, if any, reduced by expected forfeitures.

If there are any modifications or cancellations of the underlying vested or unvested stock-based awards, we may be required to accelerate, increase or cancel any remaining unearned stock-based compensation expense, or record additional expense for vested stock-based awards. Future stock-based compensation expense and unearned stock-based compensation may increase to the extent that we grant additional common stock options or other stock-based awards.

Income Taxes. Deferred tax assets and liabilities are recognized to reflect the estimated future tax effects of future deductible or taxable amounts attributable to events that have been recognized on a cumulative basis in the condensed consolidated financial statements, calculated at enacted tax rates for expected periods of realization. We regularly review our deferred tax assets for recoverability and establish a valuation allowance, when determined necessary, based on historical taxable income, projected future taxable income, and the expected timing of the reversals of existing temporary differences. Because we have operated at a loss for an extended period of time, we have not recognized deferred tax assets related to losses incurred since 2010. In the future, if we realize a deferred tax asset that currently carries a valuation allowance, we may record an income tax benefit or a reduction to income tax expense in the period of such realization.

ASC Topic 740 prescribes a recognition threshold and measurement requirement for the financial statement recognition of a tax position that has been taken or is expected to be taken on a tax return and also provides guidance on de-recognition, classification, interest and penalties, accounting in interim periods, disclosure, and transition. Under ASC Topic 740, we may only recognize or continue to recognize tax positions that meet a “more likely than not” threshold.

The application of tax laws and regulations is subject to legal and factual interpretation, judgment and uncertainty. Tax laws and regulations themselves are subject to change as a result of changes in fiscal policy, changes in

Table of Contents

legislation, the evolution of regulations and court rulings. Therefore, the actual liability for U.S. or foreign taxes may be materially different from our estimates, which could result in the need to record additional tax liabilities or potentially reverse previously recorded tax liabilities.

Interest expense . Interest expense consists primarily of interest associated with our loan agreement with Fortress, an affiliate of Fortress Investment Group LLC and successor to DBD Credit Funding, LLC, including fees related to the term loans, accretion of debt discount and amortization of debt issuance costs. We recognize accretion of debt discount and amortization of interest costs using the effective interest method.

Recent Accounting Pronouncements . In May 2014, the FASB issued Accounting Standards Update (“ASU”) No. 2014-09, *Revenue from Contracts with Customers* (“ASU 2014-09”). ASU 2014-09 supersedes the revenue recognition requirements in FASB Topic 605, *Revenue Recognition* . ASU 2014-09 implements a five-step process for customer contract revenue recognition that focuses on transfer of control, as opposed to transfer of risk and rewards. The amendment also requires enhanced disclosures regarding the nature, amount, timing and uncertainty of revenues and cash flows from contracts with customers. Other major provisions include the capitalization and amortization of certain contract costs, ensuring the time value of money is considered in the transaction price, and allowing estimates of variable consideration to be recognized before contingencies are resolved in certain circumstances. Entities can transition to the standard either retrospectively or as a cumulative-effect adjustment as of the date of adoption. On April 29, 2015, the FASB proposed deferring the effective date by one year to December 15, 2017 for annual reporting periods beginning after that date. The FASB also proposed permitting early adoption of the standard, but not before the original effective date or for reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2016. Entities can transition to the standard either retrospectively or as a cumulative-effect adjustment as of the date of adoption. We have not yet selected a transition method and we are currently assessing the impact of the adoption of ASU 2014-9 on our consolidated financial statements and disclosure.

In August 2014, the FASB issued ASU No. 2014-15, *Presentation of Financial Statements - Going Concern*. The amendments in this update provide guidance in accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America about management’s responsibilities to evaluate whether there is substantial doubt about an entity’s ability to continue as a going concern and to provide related footnote disclosures. The main provision of the amendments are for an entity’s management, in connection with the preparation of financial statements, to evaluate whether there are conditions or events, considered in the aggregate, that raise substantial doubt about the entity’s ability to continue as a going concern within one year after the date that the financial statements are issued. Management’s evaluation should be based on relevant conditions and events that are known or reasonably knowable at the date the consolidated financial statements are issued. When management identifies conditions or events that raise substantial doubt about an entity’s ability to continue as a going concern, the entity should disclose information that enables users of the consolidated financial statements to understand all of the following: (1) principal conditions or events that raised substantial doubt about the entity’s ability to continue as a going concern (before consideration of management’s plans); (2) management’s evaluation of the significance of those conditions or events in relation to the entity’s ability to meet its obligations; and (3) management’s plans that alleviated substantial doubt about the entity’s ability to continue as a going concern or management’s plans that are intended to mitigate the conditions or events that raise substantial doubt about the entity’s ability to continue as a going concern. The amendments in this update are effective for interim and annual reporting periods after December 15, 2016 and early application is permitted. The Company is currently assessing this guidance for future implementation.

[Table of Contents](#)
Results of Operations

The following table sets forth certain condensed consolidated statements of operations data as a percentage of net sales for the periods indicated:

	<u>Three Months Ended</u>	
	<u>March 28,</u> <u>2015</u>	<u>March 29,</u> <u>2014</u>
Net sales	100 %	100 %
Cost of sales	67	72
Gross profit	<u>33</u>	<u>28</u>
Operating expenses:		
Research and development	65	13
Intellectual property legal fees	168	16
Selling, general and administrative	<u>83</u>	<u>23</u>
Total operating expenses	<u>316</u>	<u>51</u>
Operating loss	<u>(283)</u>	<u>(23)</u>
Other expense, net:		
Interest expense, net	(22)	(6)
Other income, net	-	-
Total other expense, net	<u>(22)</u>	<u>1</u>
Loss before provision for income tax	(305)	(29)
Provision for income taxes	-	-
Net loss	<u>(305)%</u>	<u>(29)%</u>

Three Months Ended March 28, 2015 Compared to Three Months Ended March 29, 2014

Net Sales, Cost of Sales and Gross Profit

The following tables present net sales, cost of sales and gross profit for the three months ended March 28, 2015 and March 29, 2014 (in thousands, except percentages):

	<u>Three Months Ended</u>			%
	<u>March 28,</u> <u>2015</u>	<u>March 29,</u> <u>2014</u>	<u>Change</u>	
Net sales	\$ 2,114	\$ 7,001	\$(4,887)	(70)%
Cost of sales	1,415	5,016	(3,601)	(72)%
Gross profit	<u>\$ 699</u>	<u>\$ 1,985</u>	<u>\$(1,286)</u>	(65)%
Gross margin	<u>33.1%</u>	<u>28.4%</u>	<u>4.7 %</u>	

Net Sales. The decrease in net sales for the three months ended March 28, 2015 as compared with the three months ended March 29, 2014 resulted primarily from a decrease in sales of approximately (i) \$2.9 million of NVvault™, (ii) \$0.3 million of HyperCloud® sales, (iii) \$0.4 million in flash sales, (iv) \$0.5 million of Planar X VLP and (v) \$0.6 million in other VLP sales.

Gross Profit and Gross Margin. The increase in gross profit and margin for the three months ended March 28, 2015 as compared with the three months ended March 29, 2014 is primarily the result of a change in our product mix and a higher margin on our NVvault™ sales in three months ended March 28, 2015.

Research and Development .

The following tables present research and development expenses for three months ended March 28, 2015 and March 29, 2014 (in thousands, except percentages):

	<u>Three Months Ended</u>			<u>% Change</u>
	<u>March 28, 2015</u>	<u>March 29, 2014</u>	<u>Change</u>	
Research and development	\$ 1,384	\$ 878	\$ 506	58 %

The increase in research and development expense in the three months ended March 28, 2015, as compared with the three months ended March 29, 2014 is primarily attributable to an increase of \$0.5 million in engineering headcount and overhead expenses.

Intellectual Property Legal Fees.

The following table presents intellectual property legal fees for three months ended March 28, 2015 and March 29, 2014 (in thousands, except percentages):

	<u>Three Months Ended</u>			<u>% Change</u>
	<u>March 28, 2015</u>	<u>March 29, 2014</u>	<u>Change</u>	
Intellectual property legal fees	\$ 3,542	\$ 1,097	\$ 2,445	223 %

The increase in intellectual property legal fees during the three months ended March 28, 2015, as compared with the three months ended March 29, 2014 resulted from an increase in legal fees incurred for trade secret litigation to protect our intellectual property.

Selling, General and Administrative .

The following tables present selling, general and administrative expenses for the three months ended March 28, 2015 and March 29, 2014 (in thousands, except percentages):

	<u>Three Months Ended</u>			<u>% Change</u>
	<u>March 28, 2015</u>	<u>March 29, 2014</u>	<u>Change</u>	
Selling, general and administrative	\$ 1,759	\$ 1,622	\$ 137	8 %

Selling, general and administrative expense increased by approximately \$0.1 million for the three months ended March 28, 2015, as compared to the three months ended March 29, 2014. This increase was primarily due to an increase of \$0.2 million in legal and outside consulting services, partially offset by a decrease of approximately \$0.1 million in sales commission expense.

Other Income (Expense).

The following table presents other income (expense) for the three months ended March 28, 2015 and March 29, 2014 (in thousands, except percentages):

	<u>Three Months Ended</u>			<u>% Change</u>
	<u>March 28, 2015</u>	<u>March 29, 2014</u>	<u>Change</u>	
Interest expense, net	\$ (480)	\$ (395)	\$ (85)	22 %
Other income, net	9	(11)	20	(182)%
Total other expense, net	\$ (471)	\$ (406)	\$ (65)	16 %

The increase in interest expense for the three months ended March 28, 2015 compared with the three months ended March 29, 2014 is primarily due to amortization of the additional debt issuance costs associated with the new term loan funded in February 2015 with Fortress Credit Opportunities I LP (“Fortress”) as successor to DBD Credit Funding, LLC .

Other expense, net, for the three months ended March 28, 2015 and March 29, 2014 was not material .

Provision for Income Taxes .

The following table presents the provision for income taxes for the three months ended March 28, 2015 and March 29, 2014 (in thousands, except percentages):

	<u>Three Months Ended</u>			<u>% Change</u>
	<u>March 28, 2015</u>	<u>March 29, 2014</u>	<u>Change</u>	
Provision for income taxes	\$ 1	\$ -	\$ 1	100 %

We did not record a benefit for income taxes for the three months ended March 28, 2015 and March 29, 2014 , as tax benefits resulting from operating losses generated were fully reserved.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

We have historically financed our operations primarily through issuances of equity securities, debt instruments and cash generated from operations. We have also funded our operations with a revolving line of credit and term loans under bank credit facilities and capitalized lease obligations .

Working Capital and Cash and Cash Equivalents

The following table presents working capital and cash and cash equivalents (in thousands) as of March 28, 2015 and December 27, 2014 :

	<u>March 28, 2015</u>	<u>December 27, 2014</u>
Working capital	\$ 14,229	\$ 7,907
Cash and cash equivalents(1)	\$ 19,558	\$ 11,040

(1) Included in working capital

Table of Contents

Our working capital and cash and cash equivalents increased in the three months ended March 28, 2015 primarily as a result of our 2015 Offering, in which we raised net proceeds of approximately \$10.6 million offset by an increase in the current portion of long term debt from our loan with Fortress.

Cash Provided by (Used in) in the Three Months Ended March 28, 2015 and March 29, 2014.

The following table summarizes our cash flows for the periods indicated (in thousands):

	Three Months Ended	
	March 28, 2015	March 29, 2014
Net cash provided by (used in):		
Operating activities	\$ (5,065)	\$ 307
Investing activities	(38)	(36)
Financing activities	13,621	11,002
Net change in cash and cash equivalents	<u>\$ 8,518</u>	<u>\$ 11,273</u>

Operating Activities. Net cash used in operating activities for the three months ended March 28, 2015 was primarily the result of a net loss of approximately \$6.5 million, partially offset by (i) approximately \$0.5 million in net cash provided by changes in operating assets and liabilities, which were primarily from changes in restricted cash, inventories, accounts receivable, prepaid expenses and other assets and accounts payable (ii) approximately \$0.9 million in net non-cash operating expenses, which were primarily comprised of depreciation and amortization, amortization of debt discount and debt issuance costs and stock based compensation. Net cash provided by operating activities for the three months ended March 29, 2014 was primarily the result of a net loss of approximately \$2.0 million, offset by (i) approximately \$1.3 million in net cash provided by changes in operating assets and liabilities, which were primarily from changes in inventories, accounts receivable, prepaid expenses and other assets (ii) approximately \$1.0 million in net non-cash operating expenses, which were primarily comprised of depreciation and amortization, amortization of debt discount and debt issuance costs and stock based compensation.

Accounts receivable decreased by approximately \$0.2 million during the three months ended March 28, 2015, which we attribute primarily to a decrease in sales in the first three months of 2015.

Inventories decreased by approximately \$0.6 million during the three months ended March 28, 2015 as we utilized inventory on hand to support our sales during the first three months of 2015.

Investing Activities. Net cash used in investing activities for three months ended March 28, 2015 and March 29, 2014 was primarily the result our purchase of property and equipment, partially offset by proceeds we received from the sale of property and equipment.

Financing Activities. Net cash provided by financing activities for three months ended March 28, 2015 was primarily the result of our February 2015 Offering, where we raised net proceeds of approximately \$10.6 million and, from a new term loan of approximately \$3.7 million, net of debt issuance costs, with Fortress.

Net cash provided by financing activities for the three months ended March 29, 2014 was primarily the result of our 2014 Offering, where we raised approximately \$10.3 million and, to a lesser extent, our receipt of \$0.7 million in proceeds from the partial exercise of an outstanding warrant to purchase our common stock.

Silicon Valley Bank Credit Agreement

On October 31, 2009, we entered into a credit agreement with Silicon Valley Bank, which was amended most recently on April 23, 2015 (as amended, the “SVB Credit Agreement”). Currently, the SVB Credit Agreement provides that we can borrow up to the lesser of (i) 80% of eligible accounts receivable, or (ii) \$5.0 million.

The SVB Credit Agreement requires letters of credit to be secured by cash. At March 28, 2015, letters of credit in the amount of \$1.6 million were outstanding.

Following its amendment on July 18, 2013, the SVB Credit Agreement permits the debt financing and security interests contemplated under our Loan Agreement with DBD (described below) and releases certain patents and related assets from the collateral subject to SVB’s security interest under the SVB Credit Agreement. Additionally, pursuant to the SVB Credit Agreement, advances under the revolving line now accrue interest at a rate equal to SVB’s most recently announced “prime rate” plus 2.75%. The SVB Credit Agreement also relaxed our tangible net worth covenant. Certain reporting requirements under the SVB Credit Agreement were modified while certain reserves with respect to the borrowing base and the availability of revolving loans were removed. On September 30, 2014, the SVB Credit Agreement was amended again to further modify our tangible net worth covenant and to extend the maturity date of our revolving line under the SVB Credit Agreement to September 29, 2015. On April 23, 2015, the SVB Credit Agreement was amended again to modify the tangible net worth covenant.

We made no borrowings under the SVB Credit Agreement in the three months ended March 28, 2015 or the year ended December 27, 2014. At March 28, 2015 and December 27, 2014, we had borrowing availability of approximately \$0.7 million and \$0.9 million, respectively.

Loan Agreement with Fortress Credit Opportunities I LP

Concurrent with our amendment of the SVB Credit Agreement, on July 18, 2013, we entered into a loan and security agreement (as amended, the “Loan Agreement”), with Fortress Credit Opportunities I LP, a Delaware limited liability company (“Fortress”), as successor to DBD Credit Funding LLC, providing for up to \$10 million in term loans and up to \$5 million in revolving loans. The term loans are available in an initial \$6 million tranche (the “Initial Term Loan”) with a second tranche in the amount of \$4 million becoming available upon achievement of certain performance milestones relating to intellectual property matters (the “IP Monetization Milestones” and such second tranche loan, “IP Milestone Term Loan”). The \$5 million in revolving loans are available at Fortress’s discretion and subject to customary conditions precedent. The \$6 million Initial Term Loan was fully drawn at closing on July 18, 2013. Proceeds from the Initial Term Loan were used in part to repay our existing consolidated term loan with Silicon Valley Bank. The remainder of such funds are available to fund our ongoing working capital needs. On February 17, 2015, we amended the Loan Agreement (“Loan Amendment”) to accelerate the availability of the term loan and we borrowed the remaining \$4 million in term loans. In connection with the Loan Agreement, we paid a facility fee and an amendment and restructuring fee; the Company must also pay an annual management and monitoring fee. The conditional facility fee due upon satisfaction of the IP Monetization Milestone was deemed fully earned pursuant to the Loan Amendment.

The loans bear interest at a stated fixed rate of 11.0% per annum. Until the last business day of February 2015, the payments on the term loans are interest-only at a cash rate of 7.0% per annum and a payment-in-kind deferred cash interest rate of 4.0%, which payment-in-kind interest is capitalized semi-annually, beginning with December 31, 2013. Beginning with the last business day of February 2015, the term loans are amortized with 65% of the principal amount due in equal monthly installments over the following seventeen (17) months with a balloon payment equal to 35% of the remaining principal amount of the term loans, plus accrued interest, being payable on July 18, 2016 (the “Maturity Date”). Term loan payments, including the \$4 million borrowed on February 17, 2015, of approximately \$370,000 are due monthly through June 18, 2016, with the remaining amount of approximately \$4.3 million due on July 18, 2016.

Concurrently with the execution of the Loan Agreement, the Company and Drawbridge Special Opportunities Fund LP (“Drawbridge”) entered into a Monetization Letter Agreement (as amended, the “Letter Agreement”). In connection with the Loan Amendment, we also amended the Letter Agreement on February 17, 2015. The Letter Agreement provides, among other things, that DBD may be entitled to share in certain monetization revenues that we may derive in the future related to our patent portfolio (the “Patent Portfolio”). The Patent Portfolio does not include certain patents relating to the NVvault™ product line. Monetization revenues subject to this arrangement include revenues recognized during the seven year term of the Letter Agreement from amounts (whether characterized as settlement payments, license fees, royalties, damages, or otherwise) actually paid to us or our subsidiaries in connection with any assertion of, agreement not to assert, or license of, the Patent Portfolio (in whole or in part) either (A) in consideration of the grant of a license or covenant not sue, or other immunity with respect to the Patent Portfolio, or (B) as a damages award with respect to such assertion of the Patent Portfolio, less certain legal fees and expenses (subject to a cap on such fees and expenses). Monetization revenues also include the value attributable to the Patent Portfolio in any sale of the Company during the seven year term, subject to a maximum amount payable to Drawbridge. The Letter Agreement also requires that we use commercially reasonable efforts to pursue opportunities to monetize the Patent Portfolio during the term of the Letter Agreement, provided that we are under no obligation to pursue any such opportunities that we do not deem to be in our best interest. Notwithstanding the foregoing, there can be no assurance that we will be successful in these efforts, and we may expend resources in pursuit of monetization revenues that may not result in any benefit to us.

February 2015 Public Offering of Common Stock

On February 24, 2015, we completed a registered firm commitment underwritten public offering of shares of our common stock (the “2015 Offering”). In the 2015 Offering, we issued and sold to the Underwriter 8,846,154 shares of common stock pursuant to an underwriting agreement, dated as of February 19, 2015, by and between the Company and the Underwriter, at a price of \$1.209 per share, including 1,153,846 shares resulting from the Underwriter’s exercise in full of its option to purchase additional shares of common stock to cover over-allotments. The price per share to the public in the 2015 Offering was \$1.30 per share. The net proceeds from the 2015 Offering were approximately \$10.6 million, after deducting underwriting discounts and commissions and estimated offering expenses.

We have in the past utilized equipment leasing arrangements to finance certain capital expenditures. Equipment leases continue to be a financing alternative that we expect to pursue in the future.

We believe our existing cash balances, borrowing availability under our new bank credit facility, borrowing availability under the SVB Credit Agreement, net of cash expected to be used in operations, will be sufficient to meet our anticipated cash needs for at least the next 12 months. Should we need additional capital, we may seek to raise capital through, among other things, public and private equity offerings and debt financings. Our future capital requirements will depend on many factors, including our levels of net sales, the timing and extent of expenditures to support research and development activities, the expansion of manufacturing capacity both domestically and internationally and the continued market acceptance of our products. Additional funds may not be available on terms acceptable to us, or at all. If adequate working capital is not available when needed, we may be required to significantly modify our business model and operations to reduce spending to a sustainable level. It could cause us to be unable to execute our business plan, take advantage of future opportunities, or respond to competitive pressures or customer requirements. It may also cause us to delay, scale back or eliminate some or all of our research and development programs, or to reduce or cease operations.

Liquidity

We incurred net losses of approximately \$6.5 million and \$2.0 million for the three months ended March 28, 2015 and March 29, 2014, respectively.

On February 24, 2015, we completed the 2015 Offering of shares of our common stock. In the 2015 Offering, we issued and sold to the Underwriter 8,846,154 shares of common stock pursuant to an underwriting agreement, dated as of February 19, 2015, by and between the us and the Underwriter, at a price of \$1.209 per share, including 1,153,846

Table of Contents

shares resulting from the Underwriter's exercise in full of its option to purchase additional shares of Common Stock to cover over-allotments. The price per share to the public in the 2015 Offering was \$1.30 per share. The net proceeds from the 2015 Offering were approximately \$10.6 million, after deducting underwriting discounts and commissions and estimated offering expenses.

If adequate working capital is not available when needed, we may be required to significantly modify our business model and operations to reduce spending to a sustainable level. Insufficient working capital could cause us to be unable to execute our business plan, take advantage of future opportunities, or respond to competitive pressures or customer requirements. It may also cause us to delay, scale back or eliminate some or all of our research and development programs, or to reduce or cease operations. While there is no assurance that we can meet our revenue forecasts, we anticipate that we can continue operations for at least the next twelve months.

Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements

We do not have any relationships with unconsolidated entities or financial partnerships, such as entities often referred to as structured finance or special purpose entities, which would have been established for the purpose of facilitating off-balance sheet arrangements or other contractually narrow or limited purposes. In addition, we do not have any undisclosed borrowings or debt, and we have not entered into any synthetic leases. We are, therefore, not materially exposed to financing, liquidity, market or credit risk that could arise if we had engaged in such relationships.

Item 4. Controls and Procedures

(a) *Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures.* We carried out an evaluation, under the supervision and with the participation of our management, including our principal executive officer and principal financial officer, of the effectiveness of the design and operation of our disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, ("Exchange Act")) as of the end of our fiscal quarter ended March 28, 2015. Based upon that evaluation, our principal executive officer and principal financial officer concluded that our disclosure controls and procedures are effective to provide reasonable assurance that information required to be disclosed by us in reports that we file or submit under the Exchange Act (i) is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the SEC's rules and forms and (ii) is accumulated and communicated to our management, including our principal executive officer and principal financial officer as appropriate to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure.

(b) *Change in internal controls over financial reporting.* During the fiscal quarter that ended March 28, 2015, there were no changes in our internal controls over financial reporting (as defined in Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f) under the Exchange Act) that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal controls over financial reporting.

PART II. OTHER INFORMATION

Item 1. Legal Proceedings

The information set forth in the sections entitled Litigation and Patent Reexaminations under Note 7 of Notes to Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements, included in Part I, Item I of this Report, is incorporated herein by reference.

Item 1A. Risk Factors

You should consider each of the following factors as well as the other information in this Report in evaluating our business and our prospects. The risks described below are not the only ones we face. Additional risks we are not presently aware of or that we currently believe are immaterial may also impair our business operations. If any of the events described below were to occur, our financial condition, our ability to access capital resources, our results of operations and/or our future growth prospects could be materially and adversely affected and the market price of our common stock could decline. In assessing these risks, you should also refer to the other information contained or incorporated by reference in this Report, including our consolidated financial statements and related notes.

Risks related to our business

Our operating results have varied significantly in the past and will continue to fluctuate from quarter –to –quarter or year –to –year in the future due to a variety of factors, many of which are beyond our control. Factors relating to our business that may contribute to these quarterly and annual fluctuations include the following factors, as well as other factors described elsewhere in this prospectus supplement:

- adverse developments in litigation we are pursuing for infringement of our intellectual property and potential forfeiture of bonds relating to such developments;
- disputes regarding intellectual property rights and the possibility of our U.S. patents being reexamined or reviewed by the USPTO and PTAB or our foreign patents being subjected to invalidation proceedings with their respective authorities;
- the costs and management attention diversion associated with litigation and any appeals we may pursue;
- general economic conditions, including the possibility of a prolonged period of limited economic growth in the U.S. and Europe; disruptions to the credit and financial markets in the U.S., Europe and elsewhere;
- our inability to develop new or enhanced products that achieve customer or market acceptance in a timely manner, including our HyperCloud[®] memory module, our NVvault[™] and Hypervault family of products and our flash – based memory products;
- our failure to maintain the qualification of our products with our current customers or to qualify current and future products with our current or prospective customers in a timely manner or at all;
- the timing of actual or anticipated introductions of competing products or technologies by us or our competitors, customers or suppliers;
- our ability to procure an adequate supply of key components, particularly DRAM ICs and NAND flash;
- the loss of, or a significant reduction in sales to, a key customer;
- the cyclical nature of the industry in which we operate;
- a reduction in the demand for our high performance memory subsystems or the systems into which they are incorporated;
- our customers' failure to pay us on a timely basis;
- costs, inefficiencies and supply risks associated with outsourcing portions of the design and the manufacture of integrated circuits;

Table of Contents

- our ability to absorb manufacturing overhead if our revenues decline or vary from our projections;
- delays in fulfilling orders for our products or a failure to fulfill orders;
- dependence on large suppliers who are also competitors and whose manufacturing priorities may not support our production schedules;
- changes in the prices of our products or in the cost of the materials that we use to build our products, including fluctuations in the market price of DRAM ICs and NAND flash;
- our ability to effectively operate our manufacturing facility in the PRC;
- manufacturing inefficiencies associated with the start-up of new manufacturing operations, new products and initiation of volume production or disruption due to power outages, natural disasters or other factors;
- our failure to produce products that meet the quality requirements of our customers;
- the loss of any of our key personnel;
- changes in regulatory policies or accounting principles;
- our ability to adequately manage or finance internal growth or growth through acquisitions;
- the effect of our investments and financing arrangements on our liquidity; and
- the other factors described in this “Risk Factors” section and elsewhere in this quarterly report.

Due to the various factors mentioned above, and others, the results of any prior quarterly or annual periods should not be relied upon as an indication of our future operating performance. In one or more future periods, our results of operations may fall below the expectations of securities analysts and investors. In that event, the market price of our common stock would likely decline. In addition, the market price of our common stock may fluctuate or decline regardless of our operating performance.

We have historically incurred losses and may continue to incur losses.

Since the inception of our business in 2000, we have only experienced one fiscal year (2006) with profitable results. In order to regain profitability, or to achieve and sustain positive cash flows from operations in the future, we must further reduce operating expenses and/or increase our revenues and gross margins. Although we have in the past engaged in a series of cost reduction actions, and believe that we could reduce our current level of expenses through elimination or reduction of strategic initiatives, such expense reductions alone may not make us profitable or allow us to sustain profitability if it is achieved. Our ability to achieve profitability will depend on increased revenue growth from, among other things, our ability to monetize our intellectual property, increased demand for our memory subsystems and related product offerings, as well as our ability to expand into new and emerging markets. We may not be successful in achieving the necessary revenue growth or the expected expense reductions. Moreover, we may be unable to sustain past or expected future expense reductions in subsequent periods. We may not achieve profitability or sustain such profitability, if achieved, on a quarterly or annual basis in the future.

Any failure to achieve profitability could result in increased capital requirements and pressure on our liquidity position. We believe our future capital requirements will depend on many factors, including our levels of net sales, the timing and extent of expenditures to support sales, marketing, research and development activities, the expansion of manufacturing capacity both domestically and internationally and the continued market acceptance of our products. Our capital requirements could result in our having to, or otherwise choosing to, seek additional funding through public or private equity offerings or debt financings. Such funding may not be available on terms acceptable to us, or at all, either of which could result in our inability to meet certain of our financial obligations and other related commitments.

Our future capital needs are uncertain, and we may need to raise additional funds, which may not be available on acceptable terms or at all.

We believe our existing cash balances, borrowing availability under our bank credit facility with Silicon Valley Bank (“SVB”), borrowing availability under our loan agreement with Fortress Credit Opportunities I LP (“Fortress”), an affiliate of Fortress Investment Group LLC and successor to DBD Credit Funding LLC, net of cash expected to be used in operations, will be sufficient to meet our anticipated cash needs for at least the next 12 months. However, we may need significant additional capital, which we may seek to raise through, among other things, public and private equity offerings and debt financings. Our future capital requirements will depend on many factors, including our levels of net sales, the timing and extent of expenditures to support research and development activities and patent infringement litigation, the expansion of manufacturing capacity both domestically and internationally and the continued market acceptance of our products. Additional funds may not be available on terms acceptable to us, or at all. Furthermore, if we issue equity or convertible debt securities to raise additional funds, our existing stockholders may experience dilution, and the new equity or debt securities may have rights, preferences, and privileges senior to those of our existing stockholders. If we incur additional debt, it may increase our leverage relative to our earnings or to our equity capitalization. If adequate working capital is not available when needed, we may be required to significantly modify our business model and operations to reduce spending to a sustainable level. It could cause us to be unable to execute our business plan, take advantage of future opportunities, or respond to competitive pressures or customer requirements. It may also cause us to delay, scale back or eliminate some or all of our research and development programs, or to reduce or cease operations.

We do not currently intend to pay dividends on our common stock, and any return to investors is expected to come, if at all, only from potential increases in the price of our common stock.

At the present time, we intend to use available funds to finance our operations. Accordingly, while payment of dividends rests within the discretion of our board of directors, no cash dividends on our common shares have been declared or paid by us and we have no intention of paying any such dividends in the foreseeable future. Any return to investors is expected to come, if at all, only from potential increases in the price of our common stock.

We have incurred a material amount of indebtedness to fund our operations, the terms of which require that we pledge substantially all of our assets as security and that we agree to share certain patent monetization revenues that may accrue in the future. Our level of indebtedness and the terms of such indebtedness, could adversely affect our operations and liquidity.

We have incurred debt secured by all of our assets under our credit facilities and term loans with Fortress and SVB. Our credit facility with Fortress is secured by a first-priority security interest in our intellectual property assets (other than certain patents and related assets relating to the NVvault™ product line) and a second priority security interest in substantially all of our other assets. Our credit facility with SVB is secured by a first priority security interest in all of our assets other than our intellectual property assets, to which SVB has a second priority security interest. The credit facility with Fortress contains customary representations, warranties and indemnification provisions, as well as affirmative and negative covenants that, among other things restrict our ability to:

- incur additional indebtedness or guarantees;
- incur liens;
- make investments, loans and acquisitions;
- consolidate or merge
- sell or exclusively license assets, including capital stock of subsidiaries;
- alter our business;

- engage in transactions with affiliates; and
- pay dividends or make distributions.

The credit facilities also include events of default, including, among other things, payment defaults, breaches of representations, warranties or covenants, certain bankruptcy events, and certain material adverse changes. If we were to default under either credit facility and were unable to obtain a waiver for such a default, interest on the obligations would accrue at an increased rate. In the case of a default, the lenders could accelerate our obligations under the credit agreements and exercise their rights to foreclose on their security interests, which would cause substantial harm to our business and prospects.

Incurrence and maintenance of this debt could have material consequences, such as:

- requiring us to dedicate a portion of our cash flow from operations and other capital resources to debt service, thereby reducing our ability to fund working capital, capital expenditures, and other cash requirements;
- increasing our vulnerability to adverse economic and industry conditions;
- limiting our flexibility in planning for, or reacting to, changes and opportunities in, our business and industry, which may place us at a competitive disadvantage; and
- limiting our ability to incur additional debt on acceptable terms, if at all.

Concurrently with the execution of the credit facility with Fortress, we entered into a Monetization Letter Agreement (as amended, the “Letter Agreement”), which provides, among other things, that Drawbridge Special Opportunities Fund LP (“Drawbridge”) may be entitled to share in certain monetization revenues that we may derive in the future related to our patent portfolio. We amended the Letter Agreement on February 17, 2015. Monetization revenues subject to this arrangement include revenues recognized during the seven year term of the Letter Agreement from net amounts actually paid to us or our subsidiaries in connection with any assertion of, agreement not to assert, or license of, our patent portfolio, including revenues arising from litigation. Monetization revenues subject to the arrangement also include the value attributable to our patent portfolio in any sale of the Company during the seven year term, subject to a maximum amount. The Letter Agreement also requires that we use commercially reasonable efforts to pursue opportunities to monetize our patent portfolio during the term of the Letter Agreement, provided that we are under no obligation to pursue any such opportunities that we do not deem to be in our best interest in our reasonable business judgment. Notwithstanding the foregoing, there can be no assurance that we will be successful in these efforts, and we may expend resources in pursuit of monetization revenues that may not result in any benefit to us. Moreover, the revenue sharing obligation will reduce the benefit we receive from any monetization transactions, which could adversely affect our operating results and would reduce the amounts payable to our stockholders in the event of a sale transaction.

Our revenues and results of operations have been substantially dependent on NVvault™ and we may be unable to replace revenue lost from the rapid decline in prior generation NVvault™ sales to Dell.

For the three months ended March 28, 2015 and March 29, 2014, our NVvault™ non –volatile RDIMM used in cache –protection and data logging applications, including our NVvault™ battery –free, the flash –based cache system, accounted for approximately 44% and 55% of total net sales, respectively. Following Intel’s launch of its Romley platform in the first quarter of 2012, we have experienced a rapid decline in NVvault™ sales to Dell, and we recognized no NVvault™ sales to Dell in the three months ended March 28, 2015 and March 29, 2014. We expect no future demand from Dell for our DDR2 NVvault™. In order to leverage our NVvault™ technology and diversify our customer base, and to secure one or more new key customers other than Dell, we continue to pursue additional qualifications of NVvault™ with other OEMs and to target customer applications such as online transaction processing (“OLTP”), virtualization, big data analytics, high speed transaction processing, high performance database, and in –memory database applications. We also introduced EXPRESSvault™ in March 2011, and we continue to pursue qualification of next

Table of Contents

generation DDR3 NVvault™ with customers. Our future operating results will depend on our ability to commercialize these NVvault™ product extensions, as well as other products such as HyperVault and other high-density and high-performance solutions. HyperVault is still under development and may require substantial additional investment and the services and attention of key employees who have competing demands on their available time. We may not be successful in expanding our qualifications or in marketing any new or enhanced products.

We are subject to risks relating to our focus on developing our HyperCloud® and NVvault™ products and lack of market diversification.

We have historically derived a substantial portion of our net sales from sales of our high performance memory subsystems for use in the server market. We expect these memory subsystems to continue to account for a portion of our net sales in the near term, although we may be unable to meet customer demand for our HyperCloud® or NVvault™ products in future periods if we experience disruptions in the supply of raw materials. We believe that continued market acceptance of these products or derivative products that incorporate our core memory subsystem technology for use in servers is critical to our success.

We have invested a significant portion of our research and development budget into the design of ASIC and hybrid devices, including the HyperCloud® memory subsystem, introduced in November 2009, as well as our NVvault family of products. These designs and the products they are incorporated into are subject to increased risks as compared to our legacy products. For example:

- we are dependent on a limited number of suppliers for both the DRAM ICs and the ASIC devices that are essential to the functionality of the HyperCloud® memory subsystem, and we have experienced supply chain disruptions and shortages of DRAM and flash required to create our HyperCloud®, NVvault and Planar X VLP products as a result of business issues that are specific to our suppliers or the industry as a whole;
- we may be unable to achieve new qualifications or customer or market acceptance of the HyperCloud® memory subsystem or other new products, or achieve such acceptance in a timely manner;
- the HyperCloud® memory subsystem or other new products may contain currently undiscovered flaws, the correction of which would result in increased costs and time to market; and
- we are required to demonstrate the quality and reliability of the HyperCloud® memory subsystem or other new products to our customers, and are required to qualify these new products with our customers, which requires a significant investment of time and resources prior to the receipt of any revenue from such customers.

We experienced a longer qualification cycle than anticipated with our HyperCloud® memory subsystems, and as a result, we have not generated significant HyperCloud® product revenues to date relative to our investment in the product. We entered into collaborative agreements with both IBM and HP pursuant to which these OEMs qualified the 16GB and 32GB versions of HyperCloud® for use with their products. While we and each of the OEMs committed financial and other resources toward the collaboration, the efforts undertaken with each of these collaborative agreements have not resulted in significant revenues or product margins for us to date relative to our investment in developing and marketing these products. We must secure an adequate supply of DRAM in order to continue to sell our HyperCloud® product in future periods and, even assuming we are successful in maintaining an adequate supply, we cannot provide any assurances that we will achieve sufficient revenues or margins from our HyperCloud® products.

Additionally, if the demand for servers deteriorates or if the demand for our products to be incorporated in servers declines, our operating results would be adversely affected, and we would be forced to diversify our product portfolio and our target markets. We may not be able to achieve this diversification, and our inability to do so may adversely affect our business.

We use a small number of custom ASIC, DRAM ICs and NAND flash suppliers and are subject to risks of disruption in the supply of custom ASIC, DRAM ICs and NAND flash.

Our ability to fulfill customer orders or produce qualification samples is dependent on a sufficient supply of DRAM ICs and NAND flash, which are essential components of our memory subsystems. We are also dependent on a sufficient supply of custom ASIC devices to produce our HyperCloud[®] memory modules. There are a relatively small number of suppliers of DRAM ICs and NAND flash, and we purchase from only a subset of these suppliers. We have no long-term DRAM or NAND flash supply contracts.

From time to time, shortages in DRAM ICs and NAND flash have required some suppliers to limit the supply of their DRAM ICs and NAND flash. We have experienced supply chain disruptions and shortages of DRAM and flash required to create our HyperCloud[®], NVvault and Planar X VLP products, and we are continually working to secure adequate supplies of DRAM and flash necessary to fill customers' orders for our products in a timely manner. If we are unable to obtain a sufficient supply of DRAM ICs or NAND flash to meet our customers' requirements, these customers may reduce future orders for our products or not purchase our products at all, which would cause our net sales to decline and harm our operating results. In addition, our reputation could be harmed and, even assuming we are successful in resolving supply chain disruptions, we may not be able to replace any lost business with new customers, and we may lose market share to our competitors.

Additionally, we could face obstacles in moving production of our ASIC components away from our current design and production partners. Our dependence on a small number of suppliers and the lack of any guaranteed sources of ASIC components, DRAM and NAND flash supply expose us to several risks, including the inability to obtain an adequate supply of these important components, price increases, delivery delays and poor quality.

Historical declines in customer demand and our revenues caused us to reduce our purchases of DRAM ICs and NAND flash. Such fluctuations could occur in the future. Should we not maintain sufficient purchase levels with some suppliers, our ability to obtain supplies of raw materials may be impaired due to the practice of some suppliers to allocate their products to customers with the highest regular demand.

Our customers qualify the ASIC components, DRAM ICs and NAND flash of our suppliers for use in their systems. If one of our suppliers should experience quality control problems, it may be disqualified by one or more of our customers. This would disrupt our supplies of ASIC components, DRAM ICs and NAND flash and reduce the number of suppliers available to us, and may require that we qualify a new supplier. If our suppliers are unable to produce qualification samples on a timely basis or at all, we could experience delays in the qualification process, which could have a significant impact on our ability to sell that product.

We may lose our competitive position if we are unable to timely and cost-effectively develop new or enhanced products that meet our customers' requirements and achieve market acceptance.

Our industry is characterized by intense competition, rapid technological change, evolving industry standards and rapid product obsolescence. Evolving industry standards and technological change or new, competitive technologies could render our existing products obsolete. Accordingly, our ability to compete in the future will depend in large part on our ability to identify and develop new or enhanced products on a timely and cost-effective basis, and to respond to changing customer requirements. In order to develop and introduce new or enhanced products, we need to:

- identify and adjust to the changing requirements of our current and potential customers;
- identify and adapt to emerging technological trends and evolving industry standards in our markets;
- design and introduce cost-effective, innovative and performance-enhancing features that differentiate our products from those of our competitors;
- develop relationships with potential suppliers of components required for these new or enhanced products;

Table of Contents

- qualify these products for use in our customers' products; and
- develop and maintain effective marketing strategies.

Our product development efforts are costly and inherently risky. It is difficult to foresee changes or developments in technology or anticipate the adoption of new standards. Moreover, once these things are identified, if at all, we will need to hire the appropriate technical personnel or retain third party designers, develop the product, identify and eliminate design flaws, and manufacture the product in production quantities either in-house or through third-party manufacturers. As a result, we may not be able to successfully develop new or enhanced products or we may experience delays in the development and introduction of new or enhanced products. Delays in product development and introduction could result in the loss of, or delays in generating, net sales and the loss of market share, as well as damage to our reputation. Even if we develop new or enhanced products, they may not meet our customers' requirements or gain market acceptance.

Our customers require that our products undergo a lengthy and expensive qualification process without any assurance of net sales.

Our prospective customers generally make a significant commitment of resources to test and evaluate our memory subsystems prior to purchasing our products and integrating them into their systems. This extensive qualification process involves rigorous reliability testing and evaluation of our products, which may continue for nine months or longer and is often subject to delays. In addition to qualification of specific products, some of our customers may also require us to undergo a technology qualification if our product designs incorporate innovative technologies that the customer has not previously encountered. Such technology qualifications often take substantially longer than product qualifications and can take over a year to complete. Qualification by a prospective customer does not ensure any sales to that prospective customer. Even after successful qualification and sales of our products to a customer, changes in our products, our manufacturing facilities, our production processes or our component suppliers may require a new qualification process, which may result in additional delays.

In addition, because the qualification process is both product specific and platform specific, our existing customers sometimes require us to re-qualify our products, or to qualify our new products, for use in new platforms or applications. For example, as our OEM customers transition from prior generation architectures to current generation architectures, we must design and qualify new products for use by those customers. In the past, the process of design and qualification has taken up to nine months to complete, during which time our net sales to those customers declined significantly. After our products are qualified, it can take several months before the customer begins production and we begin to generate net sales from such customer.

Likewise, when our memory and NAND flash component vendors discontinue production of components, it may be necessary for us to design and qualify new products for our customers. Such customers may require of us or we may decide to purchase an estimated quantity of discontinued memory components necessary to ensure a steady supply of existing products until products with new components can be qualified. Purchases of this nature may affect our liquidity. Additionally, our estimation of quantities required during the transition may be incorrect, which could adversely impact our results of operations through lost revenue opportunities or charges related to excess and obsolete inventory.

We must devote substantial resources, including design, engineering, sales, marketing and management efforts, to qualify our products with prospective customers in anticipation of sales. Significant delays in the qualification process, such as those experienced with our HyperCloud ® product, could result in an inability to keep up with rapid technology change or new, competitive technologies. If we delay or do not succeed in qualifying a product with an existing or prospective customer, we will not be able to sell that product to that customer, which may result in our holding excess and obsolete inventory and harm our operating results and business.

Sales to a limited number of customers represent a significant portion of our net sales and the loss of, or a significant reduction in sales to, any one of these customers could materially harm our business.

Sales to certain of our OEM customers have historically represented a substantial majority of our net sales. Approximately 25% of our net sales in the three months ended March 28, 2015 were to one customer. Approximately 14%, 10%, 10%, 19% and 19% of our net sales in the three months ended March 29, 2014 were to five of our customers. The composition of major customers and their respective contributions to our net sales have varied and will likely continue to vary from period to period as our OEMs progress through the life cycle of the products they produce and sell. We do not have long-term agreements with our OEM customers, or with any other customer. Any one of these customers could decide at any time to discontinue, decrease or delay their purchase of our products. In addition, the prices that these customers pay for our products could change at any time. The loss of any of our OEM customers, or a significant reduction in sales to any of them, could significantly reduce our net sales and adversely affect our operating results.

Our ability to maintain or increase our net sales to our key customers depends on a variety of factors, many of which are beyond our control. These factors include our customers' continued sales of servers and other computing systems that incorporate our memory subsystems and our customers' continued incorporation of our products into their systems. Because of these and other factors, net sales to these customers may not continue and the amount of such net sales may not reach or exceed historical levels in any future period. Because these customers account for a substantial portion of our net sales, the failure of any one of these customers to pay on a timely basis would negatively impact our cash flow. In addition, while we may not be contractually obligated to accept returned products, we may determine that it is in our best interest to accept returns in order to maintain good relations with our customers.

A limited number of relatively large potential customers dominate the markets for our products.

Our target markets are characterized by a limited number of large companies. Consolidation in one or more of our target markets may further increase this industry concentration. As a result, we anticipate that sales of our products will continue to be concentrated among a limited number of large customers in the foreseeable future. We believe that our financial results will depend in significant part on our success in establishing and maintaining relationships with, and effecting substantial sales to, these potential customers. Even if we establish and successfully maintain these relationships, our financial results will be largely dependent on these customers' sales and business results.

If a standardized memory solution which addresses the demands of our customers is developed, our net sales and market share may decline.

Many of our memory subsystems are specifically designed for our OEM customers' high performance systems. In a drive to reduce costs and assure supply of their memory module demand, our OEM customers may endeavor to design JEDEC standard DRAM modules into their new products. Although we also manufacture JEDEC modules, this trend could reduce the demand for our higher priced customized memory solutions which in turn would have a negative impact on our financial results. In addition, customers deploying custom memory solutions today may in the future choose to adopt a JEDEC standard, and the adoption of a JEDEC standard module instead of a previously custom module might allow new competitors to participate in a share of our customers' memory module business that previously belonged to us.

If our OEM customers were to adopt JEDEC standard modules, our future business may be limited to identifying the next generation of high performance memory demands of OEM customers and developing solutions that addresses such demands. Until fully implemented, this next generation of products may constitute a much smaller market, which may reduce our net sales and market share.

We may not be able to maintain our competitive position because of the intense competition in our targeted markets.

We participate in a highly competitive market, and we expect competition to intensify. Many of our competitors have longer operating histories, significantly greater resources and name recognition, a larger base of customers and longer –standing relationships with customers and suppliers than we have. As a result, some of these competitors are able

Table of Contents

to devote greater resources to the development, promotion and sale of products and are better positioned than we are to influence customer acceptance of their products over our products. These competitors also may be able to respond better to new or emerging technologies or standards and may be able to deliver products with comparable or superior performance at a lower price. For these reasons, we may not be able to compete successfully against these competitors. We also expect to face competition from new and emerging companies that may enter our existing or future markets. These potential competitors may have similar or alternative products which may be less costly or provide additional features.

In addition to the competition we face from DRAM and logic suppliers such as SK Hynix, Samsung, Micron, Inphi and IDT, some of our OEM customers have their own internal design groups that may develop solutions that compete with ours. These design groups have some advantages over us, including direct access to their respective companies' technical information and technology roadmaps. Our OEM customers also have substantially greater resources, financial and otherwise, than we do, and may have lower cost structures than ours. As a result, they may be able to design and manufacture competitive products more efficiently or inexpensively. If any of these OEM customers are successful in competing against us, our sales could decline, our margins could be negatively impacted and we could lose market share, any or all of which could harm our business and results of operations. Further, some of our significant suppliers are also competitors, many of whom have the ability to manufacture competitive products at lower costs as a result of their higher levels of integration.

We also face competition from manufacturers of DIMMs operating on the memory channel that employ NAND flash either alone or in combination with DRAM. For example, manufacturers such as Micron, AgigA Tech, Smart Modular, Viking, and SK Hynix offer NVDIMM products that compete with our NVvault™ NVDIMM. The ULLtraDIMM product manufactured by SanDisk also uses NAND flash on the memory channel and competes with NVDIMMs from Netlist and other manufacturers. NVDIMMs and the ULLtraDIMM will also compete with our future products that combine DRAM and NAND flash on the memory channel, such as our HyperVault™ product.

We expect our competitors to continue to improve the performance of their current products, reduce their prices and introduce new or enhanced technologies that may offer greater performance and improved pricing. If we are unable to match or exceed the improvements made by our competitors, our market position would deteriorate and our net sales would decline. In addition, our competitors may develop future generations and enhancements of competitive products that may render our technologies obsolete or uncompetitive.

If we fail to protect our proprietary rights, our customers or our competitors might gain access to our proprietary designs, processes and technologies, which could adversely affect our operating results.

We rely on a combination of patent protection, trade secret laws and restrictions on disclosure to protect our intellectual property rights. We have submitted a number of patent applications regarding our proprietary processes and technology. It is not certain when or if any of the claims in the remaining applications will be allowed. As of March 28, 2015, we had 55 U.S. and foreign patents issued and over 30 pending applications worldwide. We intend to continue filing patent applications with respect to most of the new processes and technologies that we develop. However, patent protection may not be available for some of these processes or technologies.

It is possible that our efforts to protect our intellectual property rights may not:

- prevent challenges to, or the invalidation or circumvention of, our existing intellectual property rights;
- prevent our competitors from independently developing similar products, duplicating our products or designing around any patents that may be issued to us;
- prevent disputes with third parties regarding ownership of our intellectual property rights;
- prevent disclosure of our trade secrets and know-how to third parties or into the public domain;
- result in valid patents, including international patents, from any of our pending or future applications; or

- otherwise adequately protect our intellectual property rights.

Others may attempt to reverse engineer, copy or otherwise obtain and use our proprietary technologies without our consent. Monitoring the unauthorized use of our technologies is difficult. We cannot be certain that the steps we have taken will prevent the unauthorized use of our technologies. This is particularly true in foreign countries, such as the PRC, where we have established a manufacturing facility and where the laws may not protect our proprietary rights to the same extent as applicable U.S. laws.

If some or all of the claims in our patent applications are not allowed, or if any of our intellectual property protections are limited in scope by the USPTO or our foreign patents being subjected to invalidation proceedings with their respective authorities, or by a court or circumvented by others, we could face increased competition with regard to our products and be unable to execute on our strategy of monetizing our intellectual property. Increased competition or an inability to monetize our intellectual property could significantly harm our business, our operating results and prospects. Currently five of our patents are the subject of inter partes reexamination proceedings with the USPTO, or appeals therefrom, and we cannot assure you that any of these proceedings will result in an outcome favorable to us.

We are involved in and expect to continue to be involved in costly legal and administrative proceedings to defend against claims that we infringe the intellectual property rights of others or to enforce or protect our intellectual property rights.

As is common to the semiconductor industry, we have experienced substantial litigation regarding patent and other intellectual property rights. Lawsuits claiming that we are infringing others' intellectual property rights have been and may in the future be brought against us, and we are currently defending against claims of invalidity in the USPTO.

The process of obtaining and protecting patents is inherently uncertain. In addition to the patent issuance process established by law and the procedures of the USPTO, we must comply with JEDEC administrative procedures in protecting our intellectual property within its industry standard setting process. These procedures evolve over time, are subject to variability in their application, and may be inconsistent with each other. Failure to comply with JEDEC's administrative procedures could jeopardize our ability to claim that our patents have been infringed.

By making use of new technologies and entering new markets there is an increased likelihood that others might allege that our products infringe on their intellectual property rights. Litigation is inherently uncertain, and an adverse outcome in existing or any future litigation could subject us to significant liability for damages or invalidate our proprietary rights. An adverse outcome also could force us to take specific actions, including causing us to:

- cease manufacturing and/or selling products, or using certain processes, that are claimed to be infringing a third party's intellectual property;
- pay damages (which in some instances may be three times actual damages), including royalties on past or future sales;
- seek a license from the third party intellectual property owner to use their technology in our products, which license may not be available on reasonable terms, or at all; or
- redesign those products that are claimed to be infringing a third party's intellectual property.

If any adverse ruling in any such matter occurs, any resulting limitations in our ability to market our products, or delays and costs associated with redesigning our products or payments of license fees to third parties, or any failure by us to develop or license a substitute technology on commercially reasonable terms could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

There is a limited pool of experienced technical personnel that we can draw upon to meet our hiring needs. As a result, a number of our existing employees have worked for our existing or potential competitors at some point during

Table of Contents

their careers, and we anticipate that a number of our future employees will have similar work histories. In the past, some of these competitors have claimed that our employees misappropriated their trade secrets or violated non-competition or non-solicitation agreements. Some of our competitors may threaten or bring legal action involving similar claims against us or our existing employees or make such claims in the future to prevent us from hiring qualified candidates. Lawsuits of this type may be brought, even if there is no merit to the claim, simply as a strategy to drain our financial resources and divert management's attention away from our business.

Our business strategy also includes litigating claims against others, including our competitors, customers and former employees, to enforce our intellectual property, contractual and commercial rights including, in particular, our trade secrets, as well as to challenge the validity and scope of the proprietary rights of others. We could become subject to counterclaims or countersuits against us as a result of this litigation. Moreover, any legal disputes with customers could cause them to cease buying or using our products or delay their purchase of our products and could substantially damage our relationship with them.

Any litigation, regardless of its outcome, would be time consuming and costly to resolve, divert our management's time and attention and negatively impact our results of operations. We cannot assure you that current or future infringement claims by or against third parties or claims for indemnification by customers or end users of our products resulting from infringement claims will not be asserted in the future or that such assertions or claims will not materially adversely affect our business, financial condition or results of operations.

As a result of the unfavorable outcome in connection with the litigation against Diablo Technologies, Inc., for controller chips used by SanDisk Corporation in its high-speed ULLtraDIMM SSD product line, we may expend significant resources to pursue an appeal in the case, which may not be resolved in a timely manner nor yield a more favorable outcome. Moreover, the expenses associated with the matter, including the bond that may now be subject to forfeiture, may materially adversely affect our financial condition and operating results.

We may become involved in non-patent related litigation and administrative proceedings that may materially adversely affect us.

From time to time, we may become involved in various legal proceedings relating to matters incidental to the ordinary course of our business, including commercial, product liability, employment, class action, whistleblower and other litigation and claims, and governmental and other regulatory investigations and proceedings. Such matters can be time-consuming, divert management's attention and resources and cause us to incur significant expenses. Furthermore, because litigation is inherently unpredictable, the results of these actions could have a material adverse effect on our business, results of operations and financial condition.

Our operating results may be adversely impacted by worldwide economic and political uncertainties and specific conditions in the markets we address, including the cyclical nature of and volatility in the memory market and semiconductor industry.

Adverse changes in domestic and global economic and political conditions have made it extremely difficult for our customers, our vendors and us to accurately forecast and plan future business activities, and they have caused and could continue to cause U.S. and foreign businesses to slow spending on our products and services, which would further delay and lengthen sales cycles. In addition, sales of our products are dependent upon demand in the computing, networking, communications, printer, storage and industrial markets. These markets have been cyclical and are characterized by wide fluctuations in product supply and demand. These markets have experienced significant downturns, often connected with, or in anticipation of, maturing product cycles, reductions in technology spending and declines in general economic conditions. These downturns have been characterized by diminished product demand, production overcapacity, high inventory levels and the erosion of average selling prices and may result in reduced willingness of potential licensees to enter into license agreement with us.

We may experience substantial period-to-period fluctuations in future operating results due to factors affecting the computing, networking, communications, printers, storage and industrial markets. A decline or significant shortfall in demand in any one of these markets could have a material adverse effect on the demand for our products and as a result,

Table of Contents

our sales will likely decline during these periods. In addition, because many of our costs and operating expenses are relatively fixed, if we are unable to control our expenses adequately in response to reduced sales, our gross margins, operating income and cash flow would be negatively impacted.

During challenging economic times our customers may face issues gaining timely access to sufficient credit, which could impair their ability to make timely payments to us. If that were to occur, we may be required to increase our allowance for doubtful accounts and our days sales outstanding would be negatively impacted. Furthermore, our vendors may face similar issues gaining access to credit, which may limit their ability to supply components or provide trade credit to us. We cannot predict the timing, strength or duration of any economic slowdown or subsequent economic recovery, worldwide, or in the memory market and related semiconductor industry. If the economy or markets in which we operate do not continue to improve or if conditions worsen, our business, financial condition and results of operations will likely be materially and adversely affected. Additionally, the combination of our lengthy sales cycle coupled with challenging macroeconomic conditions could compound the negative impact on the results of our operations.

Our lack of a significant backlog of unfilled orders, and the difficulty inherent in forecasting customer demand, makes it difficult to forecast our short-term production requirements to meet that demand, and any failure to optimally calibrate our production capacity and inventory levels to meet customer demand could adversely affect our revenues, gross margins and earnings.

We make significant decisions regarding the levels of business that we will seek and accept, production schedules, component procurement commitments, personnel needs and other resource requirements, based on our estimates of customer requirements. We do not have long-term purchase agreements with our customers. Instead, our customers often place purchase orders no more than two weeks in advance of their desired delivery date, and these purchase orders generally have no cancellation or rescheduling penalty provisions. The short-term nature of commitments by many of our customers, the fact that our customers may cancel or defer purchase orders for any reason, and the possibility of unexpected changes in demand for our customers' products each reduce our ability to accurately estimate future customer requirements for our products. This fact, combined with the quick turn-around times that apply to each order, makes it difficult to forecast our production needs and allocate production capacity efficiently. We attempt to forecast the demand for the DRAM ICs, NAND flash, and other components needed to manufacture our products. Lead times for components vary significantly and depend on various factors, such as the specific supplier and the demand and supply for a component at a given time.

Our production expense and component purchase levels are based in part on our forecasts of our customers' future product requirements and to a large extent are fixed in the short term. As a result, we likely will be unable to adjust spending on a timely basis to compensate for any unexpected shortfall in those orders. If we overestimate customer demand, we may have excess raw material inventory of DRAM ICs and NAND flash. If there is a subsequent decline in the prices of DRAM ICs or NAND flash, the value of our inventory will fall. As a result, we may need to write-down the value of our DRAM IC or NAND flash inventory, which may result in a significant decrease in our gross margin and financial condition. Also, to the extent that we manufacture products in anticipation of future demand that does not materialize, or in the event a customer cancels or reduces outstanding orders, we could experience an unanticipated increase in our finished goods inventory. In the past, we have had to write-down inventory due to obsolescence, excess quantities and declines in market value below our costs. Any significant shortfall of customer orders in relation to our expectations could hurt our operating results, cash flows and financial condition.

Also, any rapid increases in production required by our customers could strain our resources and reduce our margins. If we underestimate customer demand, we may not have sufficient inventory of DRAM ICs and NAND flash on hand to manufacture enough product to meet that demand. We also may not have sufficient manufacturing capacity at any given time to meet our customers' demands for rapid increases in production. These shortages of inventory and capacity will lead to delays in the delivery of our products, and we could forego sales opportunities, lose market share and damage our customer relationships.

Declines in our average sales prices, driven by volatile prices for DRAM ICs and NAND flash, among other factors, may result in declines in our revenues and gross profit.

Our industry is competitive and historically has been characterized by declines in average sales price, based in part on the market price of DRAM ICs and NAND flash, which have historically constituted a substantial portion of the total cost of our memory subsystems. Our average sales prices may decline due to several factors, including overcapacity in the worldwide supply of DRAM and NAND flash memory components as a result of worldwide economic conditions, increased manufacturing efficiencies, implementation of new manufacturing processes and expansion of manufacturing capacity by component suppliers.

Once our prices with a customer are negotiated, we are generally unable to revise pricing with that customer until our next regularly scheduled price adjustment. Consequently, we are exposed to the risks associated with the volatility of the price of DRAM ICs and NAND flash during that period. If the market prices for DRAM ICs and NAND flash increase, we generally cannot pass the price increases on to our customers for products purchased under an existing purchase order. As a result, our cost of sales could increase and our gross margins could decrease. Alternatively, if there are declines in the price of DRAM ICs and NAND flash, we may need to reduce our selling prices for subsequent purchase orders, which may result in a decline in our expected net sales.

In addition, since a large percentage of our sales are to a small number of customers that are primarily distributors and large OEMs, these customers have exerted, and we expect they will continue to exert, pressure on us to make price concessions. If not offset by increases in volume of sales or the sales of newly-developed products with higher margins, decreases in average sales prices would likely have a material adverse effect on our business and operating results.

Our production expense and component purchase levels are based in part on our forecasts of our customers' future product requirements and to a large extent are fixed in the short term. As a result, we likely will be unable to adjust spending on a timely basis to compensate for any unexpected shortfall in those orders. If we overestimate customer demand, we may have excess raw material inventory of DRAM ICs and NAND flash. If there is a subsequent decline in the prices of DRAM ICs or NAND flash, the value of our inventory will fall. As a result, we may need to write-down the value of our DRAM IC or NAND flash inventory, which may result in a significant decrease in our gross margin and financial condition. Also, to the extent that we manufacture products in anticipation of future demand that does not materialize, or in the event a customer cancels or reduces outstanding orders, we could experience an unanticipated increase in our finished goods inventory. In the past, we have had to write-down inventory due to obsolescence, excess quantities and declines in market value below our costs. Any significant shortfall of customer orders in relation to our expectations could hurt our operating results, cash flows and financial condition.

Also, any rapid increases in production required by our customers could strain our resources and reduce our margins. If we underestimate customer demand, we may not have sufficient inventory of DRAM ICs and NAND flash on hand to manufacture enough product to meet that demand. We also may not have sufficient manufacturing capacity at any given time to meet our customers' demands for rapid increases in production. These shortages of inventory and capacity will lead to delays in the delivery of our products, and we could forego sales opportunities, lose market share and damage our customer relationships.

If the supply of other component materials used to manufacture our products is interrupted, or if our inventory becomes obsolete, our results of operations and financial condition could be adversely affected.

We use consumables and other components, including PCBs, to manufacture our memory subsystems. We sometimes procure PCBs and other components from single or limited sources to take advantage of volume pricing discounts. Material shortages or transportation problems could interrupt the manufacture of our products from time to time in the future. These delays in manufacturing could adversely affect our results of operations.

Frequent technology changes and the introduction of next-generation products also may result in the obsolescence of other items of inventory, such as our custom-built PCBs, which could reduce our gross margin and adversely affect our operating performance and financial condition. We may not be able to sell some products developed

Table of Contents

for one customer to another customer because our products are often designed to address specific customer requirements, and even if we are able to sell these products to another customer, our margin on such products may be reduced.

A prolonged disruption of our manufacturing facility could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

We maintain a manufacturing facility in the PRC for producing most of our products, which allows us to utilize our materials and processes, protect our intellectual property and develop the technology for manufacturing. A prolonged disruption or material malfunction of, interruption in or the loss of operations at our manufacturing facility, or the failure to maintain a sufficient labor force at such facility, could require us to rely on third parties for our manufacturing needs, which generally increases our manufacturing costs and decreases our profit margins, and could limit our capacity to meet customer demand and delay new product development until a replacement facility and equipment, if necessary, were found. The replacement of the manufacturing facility could take an extended amount of time before manufacturing operations could restart. The potential delays and costs resulting from these steps could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations. In July 2014, our PRC facility suffered water damage as a result of heavy rain and floods, which forced us to temporarily halt manufacturing at our PRC facility while necessary repairs or replacements were made to our PRC facility and to certain of our manufacturing equipment. This incident caused us to incur additional expenses as we shifted our manufacturing activities to a third-party manufacturing facility in the PRC to enable us to mitigate the disruption in shipments to our customers. While we believe we have contained the disruptions we expect that our relationships with our key customers could be materially harmed if we incur additional manufacturing disruptions in the future. We are currently processing this incident as a claim with our insurer but there can be no assurance that we will recover our losses from our insurer. We are unable to provide assurances that similar events will not occur in the future or that we will be able to secure alternative manufacturing capabilities if manufacturing at our PRC facility is disrupted.

If we are unable to manufacture our products efficiently, our operating results could suffer.

We must continuously review and improve our manufacturing processes in an effort to maintain satisfactory manufacturing yields and product performance, to lower our costs and to otherwise remain competitive. As we manufacture more complex products, the risk of encountering delays or difficulties increases. The start-up costs associated with implementing new manufacturing technologies, methods and processes, including the purchase of new equipment, and any resulting manufacturing delays and inefficiencies, could negatively impact our results of operations.

If we need to add manufacturing capacity, an expansion of our existing manufacturing facility or establishment of a new facility could be subject to factory audits by our customers. Any delays or unexpected costs resulting from this audit process could adversely affect our net sales and results of operations. In addition, we cannot be certain that we will be able to increase our manufacturing capacity on a timely basis or meet the standards of any applicable factory audits.

We depend on third-parties to design and manufacture custom components for some of our products.

Significant customized components, such as ASICs, that are used in some of our products such as HyperCloud ® are designed and manufactured by third parties. The ability and willingness of such third parties to perform in accordance with their agreements with us is largely outside of our control. If one or more of our design or manufacturing partners fails to perform its obligations in a timely manner or at satisfactory quality levels, our ability to bring products to market or deliver products to our customers, as well as our reputation, could suffer. In the event of any such failures, we may have no readily available alternative source of supply for such products, since, in our experience, the lead time needed to establish a relationship with a new design and/or manufacturing partner is at least 12 months, and the estimated time for our OEM customers to re-qualify our product with components from a new vendor ranges from four to nine months. We cannot assure you that we can redesign, or cause to have redesigned, our customized components to be manufactured by a new manufacturer in a timely manner, nor can we assure you that we will not infringe on the intellectual property of our current design or manufacture partner when we redesign the custom components, or cause such components to be redesigned by a new manufacturer. A manufacturing disruption experienced by our manufacturing partners, the failure of our manufacturing partners to dedicate adequate resources to

Table of Contents

the production of our products, the financial instability of our manufacturing or design partners, or any other failure of our design or manufacturing partners to perform according to their agreements with us, would have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

We have many other risks due to our dependence on third-party manufacturers, including: reduced control over delivery schedules, quality, manufacturing yields and cost; the potential lack of adequate capacity during periods of excess demand; limited warranties on products supplied to us; and potential misappropriation of our intellectual property. We are dependent on our manufacturing partners to manufacture products with acceptable quality and manufacturing yields, to deliver those products to us on a timely basis and to allocate a portion of their manufacturing capacity sufficient to meet our needs. Although our products are designed using the process design rules of the particular manufacturers, we cannot assure you that our manufacturing partners will be able to achieve or maintain acceptable yields or deliver sufficient quantities of components on a timely basis or at an acceptable cost. Additionally, we cannot assure you that our manufacturing partners will continue to devote adequate resources to produce our products or continue to advance the process design technologies on which the qualification and manufacturing of our products are based.

If our products do not meet the quality standards of our customers, we may be forced to stop shipments of products until the quality issues are resolved.

Our customers require our products to meet strict quality standards. Should our products not meet such standards, our customers may discontinue purchases from us until we are able to resolve the quality issues that are causing us to not meet the standards. Such “quality holds” could have a significant adverse impact on our revenues and operating results.

If our products are defective or are used in defective systems, we may be subject to warranty, product recalls or product liability claims.

If our products are defectively manufactured, contain defective components or are used in defective or malfunctioning systems, we could be subject to warranty and product liability claims and product recalls, safety alerts or advisory notices. While we have product liability insurance coverage, it may not be adequate to satisfy claims made against us. We also may be unable to obtain insurance in the future at satisfactory rates or in adequate amounts.

Although we generally attempt to contractually limit our exposure to incidental and consequential damages, if these contract provisions are not enforced or are unenforceable or if liabilities arise that are not effectively limited, we could incur substantial costs in defending or settling product liability claims.

Warranty and product liability claims or product recalls, regardless of their ultimate outcome, could have an adverse effect on our business, financial condition and reputation, and on our ability to attract and retain customers. In addition, we may determine that it is in our best interest to accept product returns in circumstances where we are not contractually obligated to do so in order to maintain good relations with our customers. Accepting product returns may negatively impact our operating results.

If we are required to obtain licenses to use third party intellectual property and we fail to do so, our business could be harmed.

Although some of the components used in our final products contain the intellectual property of third parties, we believe that our suppliers bear the sole responsibility to obtain any rights and licenses to such third party intellectual property. While we have no knowledge that any third party licensor disputes our belief, we cannot assure you that disputes will not arise in the future. The operation of our business and our ability to compete successfully depends significantly on our continued operation without claims of infringement or demands resulting from such claims, including demands for payments of money in the form of, for example, ongoing licensing fees.

Table of Contents

We are also developing products to enter new markets. Similar to our current products, we may use components in these new products that contain the intellectual property of third parties. While we plan to exercise precautions to avoid infringing on the intellectual property rights of third parties, we cannot assure you that disputes will not arise.

If it is determined that we are required to obtain inbound licenses and we fail to obtain licenses, or if such licenses are not available on economically feasible terms, our business, operating results and financial condition could be significantly harmed.

The flash memory market is constantly evolving and competitive, and we may not have rights to manufacture and sell certain types of products utilizing emerging flash formats, or we may be required to pay a royalty to sell products utilizing these formats.

The flash-based storage market is constantly undergoing rapid technological change and evolving industry standards. Many consumer devices, such as digital cameras, PDAs and smartphones, are transitioning to emerging flash memory formats, such as the Memory Stick, and xD Picture Card formats, which we do not currently manufacture and do not have rights to manufacture. Although we do not currently serve the consumer flash market, it is possible that certain OEMs may choose to adopt these higher-volume, lower-cost formats. This could result in a decline in demand, on a relative basis, for other products that we manufacture such as CompactFlash, SD and embedded USB drives. If we decide to manufacture flash memory products utilizing emerging formats such as those mentioned, we will be required to secure licenses to give us the right to manufacture such products that may not be available at reasonable rates or at all. If we are not able to supply flash card formats at competitive prices or if we were to have product shortages, our net sales could be adversely impacted and our customers would likely cancel orders or seek other suppliers to replace us.

Our indemnification obligations for the infringement by our products of the intellectual property rights of others could require us to pay substantial damages.

As is common in the industry, we currently have in effect a number of agreements in which we have agreed to defend, indemnify and hold harmless our customers and suppliers from damages and costs which may arise from the infringement by our products of third-party patents, trademarks or other proprietary rights. The scope of such indemnity varies, but may, in some instances, include indemnification for damages and expenses, including attorneys' fees. Our insurance does not cover intellectual property infringement. The term of these indemnification agreements is generally perpetual any time after execution of the agreement. The maximum potential amount of future payments we could be required to make under these indemnification agreements is unlimited. We may periodically have to respond to claims and litigate these types of indemnification obligations. Although our suppliers may bear responsibility for the intellectual property inherent in the components they sell to us, they may lack the financial ability to stand behind such indemnities. Additionally, it may be costly to enforce any indemnifications that they have granted to us. Accordingly, any indemnification claims by customers could require us to incur significant legal fees and could potentially result in the payment of substantial damages, both of which could result in a material adverse effect on our business and results of operations.

We depend on a few key employees, and if we lose the services of any of those employees or are unable to hire additional personnel, our business could be harmed.

To date, we have been highly dependent on the experience, relationships and technical knowledge of certain key employees. We believe that our future success will be dependent on our ability to retain the services of these key employees, develop their successors, reduce our reliance on them, and properly manage the transition of their roles should departures occur. The loss of these key employees or their inability to provide their services could delay the development and introduction of, and negatively impact our ability to sell, our products and otherwise harm our business. We do not have employment agreements with any of these key employees other than Chun K. Hong, our President, Chief Executive Officer and Chairman of the Board. We maintain "Key Man" life insurance on Chun K. Hong; however, we do not carry "Key Man" life insurance on any of our other key employees.

Our future success also depends on our ability to attract, retain and motivate highly skilled engineering, manufacturing, and other technical and sales personnel. Competition for experienced personnel is intense. We may not

Table of Contents

be successful in attracting new engineers or other technical personnel, or in retaining or motivating our existing personnel. If we are unable to hire and retain engineers with the skills necessary to keep pace with the evolving technologies in our markets, our ability to continue to provide our current products and to develop new or enhanced products will be negatively impacted, which would harm our business. In addition, the shortage of experienced engineers, and other factors, may lead to increased recruiting, relocation and compensation costs for such engineers, which may exceed our expectations and resources. These increased costs may make hiring new engineers difficult, or may increase our operating expenses.

Historically, a significant portion of our workforce has consisted of contract personnel. We invest considerable time and expense in training these contract employees. We may experience high turnover rates in our contract employee workforce, which may require us to expend additional resources in the future. If we convert any of these contract employees into permanent employees, we may have to pay finder's fees to the contract agency.

We rely on third-party manufacturers' representatives and the failure of these manufacturers' representatives to perform as expected could reduce our future sales.

We sell some of our products to customers through manufacturers' representatives. We are unable to predict the extent to which our manufacturers' representatives will be successful in marketing and selling our products. Moreover, many of our manufacturers' representatives also market and sell other, potentially competing products. Our representatives may terminate their relationships with us at any time. Our future performance will also depend, in part, on our ability to attract additional manufacturers' representatives that will be able to market and support our products effectively, especially in markets in which we have not previously distributed our products. If we cannot retain our current manufacturers' representatives or recruit additional or replacement manufacturers' representatives, our sales and operating results will be harmed.

The operation of our manufacturing facility in the PRC could expose us to significant risks.

Since 2009, most of our world-wide manufacturing production has been performed at our manufacturing facility in the People's Republic of China, or PRC. Language and cultural differences, as well as the geographic distance from our headquarters in Irvine, California, further compound the difficulties of running a manufacturing operation in the PRC. Our management has limited experience in creating or overseeing foreign operations, and the ongoing management of our PRC facility may require our management team to divert substantial amounts of their time, particularly if we encounter operational difficulties or manufacturing disruptions at our PRC facility. We may not be able to maintain control over product quality, delivery schedules, manufacturing yields and costs. Furthermore, the costs related to having excess capacity have in the past and may in the future continue to have an adverse impact on our gross margins and operating results.

We manage a local workforce that may subject us to regulatory uncertainties. Changes in the labor laws of the PRC could increase the cost of employing the local workforce. The increased industrialization of the PRC, as well as general economic and political conditions in the PRC, could also increase the price of local labor. Any or all combination of these factors could negatively impact the cost savings we currently enjoy from having our manufacturing facility in the PRC.

Economic, political and other risks associated with international sales and operations could adversely affect our net sales.

Part of our growth strategy involves making sales to foreign corporations and delivering our products to facilities located in foreign countries. To facilitate this process and to meet the long-term projected demand for our products, we have set up a manufacturing facility in the PRC. Selling and manufacturing in foreign countries subjects us to additional risks not present with our domestic operations. We are operating in business and regulatory environments in which we have limited previous experience. We will need to continue to overcome language and cultural barriers to effectively conduct our operations in these environments. In addition, the economies of the PRC and other countries have been highly volatile in the past, resulting in significant fluctuations in local currencies and other instabilities. These

Table of Contents

instabilities affect a number of our customers and suppliers in addition to our foreign operations and continue to exist or may occur again in the future.

In the future, some of our net sales may be denominated in Chinese Renminbi (“RMB”). The Chinese government controls the procedures by which RMB is converted into other currencies, and conversion of RMB generally requires government consent. As a result, RMB may not be freely convertible into other currencies at all times. If the Chinese government institutes changes in currency conversion procedures, or imposes restrictions on currency conversion, those actions may negatively impact our operations and could reduce our operating results. In addition, fluctuations in the exchange rate between RMB and U.S. dollars may adversely affect our expenses and results of operations as well as the value of our assets and liabilities. These fluctuations may also adversely affect the comparability of our period-to-period results. If we decide to declare dividends and repatriate funds from our Chinese operations, we will be required to comply with the procedures and regulations of applicable Chinese law. Any changes to these procedures and regulations, or our failure to comply with those procedures and regulations, could prevent us from making dividends and repatriating funds from our Chinese operations, which could adversely affect our financial condition. If we are able to make dividends and repatriate funds from our Chinese operations, these dividends would be subject to U.S. corporate income tax.

International turmoil and the threat of future terrorist attacks, both domestically and internationally, have contributed to an uncertain political and economic climate, both in the U.S. and globally, and have negatively impacted the worldwide economy. The occurrence of one or more of these instabilities could adversely affect our foreign operations and some of our customers or suppliers, each of which could adversely affect our net sales. In addition, our failure to meet applicable regulatory requirements or overcome cultural barriers could result in production delays and increased turn-around times, which would adversely affect our business.

Our international sales are subject to other risks, including regulatory risks, tariffs and other trade barriers, timing and availability of export licenses, political and economic instability, difficulties in accounts receivable collections, difficulties in managing distributors, lack of a significant local sales presence, difficulties in obtaining governmental approvals, compliance with a wide variety of complex foreign laws and treaties and potentially adverse tax consequences. In addition, the U.S. or foreign countries may implement quotas, duties, taxes or other charges or restrictions upon the importation or exportation of our products, leading to a reduction in sales and profitability in that country.

Our operations could be disrupted by power outages, natural disasters or other factors.

Due to the geographic concentration of our manufacturing operations in our PRC facility, and the operations of certain of our suppliers, a disruption resulting from equipment failure, power failures, quality control issues, human error, government intervention or natural disasters, including earthquakes and floods like those that have struck Japan and Thailand, respectively, could interrupt or interfere with our manufacturing operations and consequently harm our business, financial condition and results of operations. Such disruptions would cause significant delays in shipments of our products and adversely affect our operating results. In July 2014, our PRC facility suffered water damage as a result of heavy rain and floods, which forced us to temporarily halt manufacturing at our PRC facility while necessary repairs or replacements were made to our PRC facility and to certain of our manufacturing equipment. This incident caused us to incur additional expenses as we shifted our manufacturing activities to a third-party manufacturing facility in the PRC to enable us to mitigate the disruption in shipments to our customers. While we believe we have contained the disruptions we expect that our relationships with our key customers could be materially harmed if we incur additional manufacturing disruptions in the future. We are currently processing this incident as a claim with our insurer. We are unable to provide assurances that similar events will not occur in the future or that we will be able to secure alternative manufacturing capabilities if manufacturing at our PRC facility is disrupted.

Difficulties with our global information technology systems, and/or unauthorized access to such systems, could harm our business.

Any failure or malfunctioning of our global information technology system, errors or misuse by system users, difficulties in migrating standalone systems to our centralized systems, or inadequacy of the system in addressing the needs

Table of Contents

of our operations, could disrupt our ability to timely and accurately manufacture and ship products, which could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations. Any such failure, errors, misuse or inadequacy could also disrupt our ability to timely and accurately process, report and evaluate key operations metrics and key components of our results of operations, financial position and cash flows. Any such disruptions would likely divert our management and key employees' attention away from other business matters. Any disruptions or difficulties that may occur in connection with our global information technology system could also adversely affect our ability to complete important business process, such as the evaluation of our internal control over financial reporting and attestation activities pursuant to Section 404 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.

In connection with our daily business transactions, we store data about our business, including certain customer data, on our global information technology systems. While our systems are designed with security measures to prevent unauthorized access, third parties may gain unauthorized access to our systems. This unauthorized access could take the form of intentional misconduct by computer hackers, employee error, employee malfeasance or otherwise. Additionally, third parties may attempt to fraudulently induce employees or customers into disclosing sensitive information such as user names, passwords or other information, in order to gain access to our information technology system for the purpose of sabotage, or to access our data, including our and our customers' intellectual property and other confidential business information. Because the techniques used to obtain unauthorized access to information technology systems evolve frequently and generally are not recognized until successful, we may be unable to anticipate these techniques or to implement adequate preventative measures. Any security breach could result in disruption to our business, misappropriation or loss of data, loss of confidence in us by our customers, damage to our reputation, legal liability and a negative impact on our sales.

Our failure to comply with environmental laws and regulations could subject us to significant fines and liabilities or cause us to incur significant costs.

We are subject to various and frequently changing U.S. federal, state and local and foreign governmental laws and regulations relating to the protection of the environment, including those governing the discharge of pollutants into the air and water, the management and disposal of hazardous substances and wastes, the cleanup of contaminated sites and the maintenance of a safe workplace. In particular, some of our manufacturing processes may require us to handle and dispose of hazardous materials from time to time. For example, in the past our manufacturing operations have used lead-based solder in the assembly of our products. Today, we use lead-free soldering technologies in our manufacturing processes, as this is required for products entering the European Union. We could incur substantial costs, including clean-up costs, civil or criminal fines or sanctions and third-party claims for property damage or personal injury, as a result of violations of, or noncompliance with, environmental laws and regulations. These laws and regulations also could require us to incur significant costs to remain in compliance.

Regulations related to "conflict minerals" may cause us to incur additional expenses and could limit the supply and increase the cost of certain metals used in manufacturing our products.

In August 2012, the SEC adopted a rule requiring disclosures of specified minerals, known as conflict materials, that are necessary to the functionality or production of products manufactured or contracted to be manufactured by public companies. The rule requires companies to verify and disclose whether or not such minerals originate from the Democratic Republic of Congo or an adjoining country. To comply with this rule, we are required to conduct a reasonable country of origin each year and, depending on the results of that inquiry, we may be required to exercise due diligence on the source and chain of custody of conflict minerals contained in our products. Such due diligence must conform to a nationally or internationally recognized due diligence framework. We are required to file a disclosure report with the SEC in May of each year relating to the preceding calendar year. In addition, commencing with the disclosure report relating to the 2015 calendar year, to the extent that we are required to exercise due diligence on the source and chain of custody of conflict minerals, we will be required to obtain an independent private sector audit of our disclosure report and underlying due diligence measures.

The due diligence activities required to determine the source and chain of custody of minerals contained in our products are time consuming and may result in significant costs. Due to the size and complexity of our supply chain, we face significant challenges in verifying the origins of the minerals used in our products. Further, this rule could affect the

Table of Contents

availability in sufficient quantities and at competitive prices of certain minerals used in the manufacture of our products, including tantalum, tin, gold and tungsten. There may be only limited number of sources of “conflict-free” minerals, which could result in increased material and component costs, as well as additional costs associated with potential changes to our products, processes or sources of supply.

If we are unable to sufficiently verify the origin of the minerals used in our products through the due diligence measures that we implement, or if we are unable to obtain an audit report each year that concludes that our due diligence measures are in conformity with the criteria set forth in the relevant due diligence framework. Our reputation could be harmed. In addition, we may not be able to satisfy customers who require that our products be certified as “conflict-free” which could place us at a competitive disadvantage.

Our internal controls over financial reporting may not be effective, which could have a significant and adverse effect on our business.

Section 404 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 and the related rules and regulations of the SEC, which we collectively refer to as Section 404, require us to evaluate our internal controls over financial reporting to allow management to report on those internal controls as of the end of each year. Effective internal controls are necessary for us to produce reliable financial reports and are important in our effort to prevent financial fraud. In the course of our Section 404 evaluations, we may identify conditions that may result in significant deficiencies or material weaknesses and we may conclude that enhancements, modifications or changes to our internal controls are necessary or desirable. Implementing any such matters would divert the attention of our management, could involve significant costs, and may negatively impact our results of operations.

We note that there are inherent limitations on the effectiveness of internal controls, as they cannot prevent collusion, management override or failure of human judgment. If we fail to maintain an effective system of internal controls or if management or our independent registered public accounting firm were to discover material weaknesses in our internal controls, we may be unable to produce reliable financial reports or prevent fraud, and it could harm our financial condition and results of operations, result in a loss of investor confidence and negatively impact our stock price.

If we do not effectively manage future growth, our resources, systems and controls may be strained and our results of operations may suffer.

Any future growth may strain our resources, management information and telecommunication systems, and operational and financial controls. To manage future growth effectively, including any expansion of volume in our manufacturing facility in the PRC, we must be able to improve and expand our systems and controls. We may not be able to do this in a timely or cost-effective manner, and our current systems and controls may not be adequate to support our future operations. In addition, our officers have relatively limited experience in managing a rapidly growing business. As a result, they may not be able to provide the guidance necessary to manage future growth or maintain future market position. Any failure to manage our growth or improve or expand our existing systems and controls, or unexpected difficulties in doing so, could harm our business.

We may be unsuccessful in establishing and operating an intellectual property based business.

We do not at this time have an intellectual property (IP)-based licensing business and may never succeed in developing such a business. As we are currently in a products-based business model, we may be unsuccessful in developing an IP-based licensing business. The establishment of this new business may be more difficult or costly than expected and require additional personnel, investments and may be a significant distraction for management. In connection with our IP-based licensing business, our licenses and royalties revenue may be uncertain from period to period, and we may be unable to attract new licensing customers which would materially and adversely affect our results of operations. Our ability to increase our license revenue will depend on a variety of factors, including the performance, quality, breadth and depth of our current and future IP, as well as our sales and marketing capabilities. Once secured, license revenue may be negatively affected by factors within and outside our control, including reductions in our customers’ sales prices, sales volumes and the terms of such licenses.

If we acquire other businesses or technologies in the future, these acquisitions could disrupt our business and harm our operating results and financial condition.

We will evaluate opportunities to acquire businesses or technologies that might complement our current product offerings or enhance our technical capabilities. We have no experience in acquiring other businesses or technologies. Acquisitions entail a number of risks that could adversely affect our business and operating results, including, but not limited to:

- difficulties in integrating the operations, technologies or products of the acquired companies;
- the diversion of management's time and attention from the normal daily operations of the business;
- insufficient increases in net sales to offset increased expenses associated with acquisitions or acquired companies;
- difficulties in retaining business relationships with suppliers and customers of the acquired companies;
- the overestimation of potential synergies or a delay in realizing those synergies;
- entering markets in which we have no or limited experience and in which competitors have stronger market positions; and
- the potential loss of key employees of the acquired companies.

Future acquisitions also could cause us to incur debt or be subject to contingent liabilities. In addition, acquisitions could cause us to issue equity securities that could dilute the ownership percentages of our existing stockholders. Furthermore, acquisitions may result in material charges or adverse tax consequences, substantial depreciation, deferred compensation charges, in-process research and development charges, the amortization of amounts related to deferred stock-based compensation expense and identifiable purchased intangible assets or impairment of goodwill, any or all of which could negatively affect our results of operations.

Our principal stockholders have significant voting power and may take actions that may not be in the best interest of our other stockholders.

As of April 30, 2015, approximately 12.6% of our outstanding common stock was held by affiliates, including 11.3% held by Chun K. Hong, our chief executive officer and chairman of our board of directors. As a result, Mr. Hong has the ability to exert substantial influence over all matters requiring approval by our stockholders, including the election and removal of directors and any proposed merger, consolidation or sale of all or substantially all of our assets and other corporate transactions. This concentration of control could be disadvantageous to other stockholders with interests different from those of Mr. Hong.

Anti-takeover provisions under our charter documents and Delaware law could delay or prevent a change of control and could also limit the market price of our stock.

Our certificate of incorporation and bylaws contain provisions that could delay or prevent a change of control of our company or changes in our board of directors that our stockholders might consider favorable. In addition, these provisions could limit the price that investors would be willing to pay in the future for shares of our common stock. The following are examples of provisions which are included in our certificate of incorporation and bylaws, each as amended:

- our board of directors is authorized, without prior stockholder approval, to designate and issue preferred stock, commonly referred to as "blank check" preferred stock, with rights senior to those of our common stock;

Table of Contents

- stockholder action by written consent is prohibited;
- nominations for election to our board of directors and the submission of matters to be acted upon by stockholders at a meeting are subject to advance notice requirements; and
- our board of directors is expressly authorized to make, alter or repeal our bylaws.

In addition, we are governed by the provisions of Section 203 of the Delaware General Corporation Law, which may prohibit certain business combinations with stockholders owning 15% or more of our outstanding voting stock. These and other provisions in our certificate of incorporation and bylaws, and of Delaware law, could make it more difficult for stockholders or potential acquirers to obtain control of our board of directors or initiate actions that are opposed by the then-current board of directors, including delaying or impeding a merger, tender offer, or proxy contest or other change of control transaction involving our company. Any delay or prevention of a change of control transaction or changes in our board of directors could prevent the consummation of a transaction in which our stockholders could receive a substantial premium over the then-current market price for their shares.

The price of and volume in trading of our common stock has and may continue to fluctuate significantly.

Our common stock has been publicly traded since November 2006. The price of our common stock and the trading volume of our shares are volatile and have in the past fluctuated significantly. There can be no assurance as to the prices at which our common stock will trade in the future or that an active trading market in our common stock will be sustained in the future. The market price at which our common stock trades may be influenced by many factors, including but not limited to, the following:

- our operating and financial performance and prospects, including our ability to achieve and sustain profitability in the future;
- investor perception of us and the industry in which we operate;
- the availability and level of research coverage of and market making in our common stock;
- results of litigation;
- changes in earnings estimates or buy/sell recommendations by analysts;
- sales of our newly issued common stock or other securities associated with our shelf registration statement declared effective by the SEC on October 18, 2011 and our new registration statement on Form S-3 (Registration No. 333-199446) which we have filed but which has not yet been declared effective by the SEC, or the perception that such sales may occur;
- general financial and other market conditions; and
- changing and recently volatile domestic and international economic conditions.

In addition, shares of our common stock and the public stock markets in general, have experienced, and may continue to experience, extreme price and trading volume volatility. These fluctuations may adversely affect the market price of our common stock and a stockholder's ability to sell their shares into the market at the desired time or at the desired price.

In 2007, following a drop in the market price of our common stock, securities litigation was initiated against us. Given the historic volatility of our industry, we may become engaged in this type of litigation in the future. Securities litigation is expensive and time-consuming.

On May 7, 2015, we received a deficiency letter (the “Bid Price Notification Letter”) from NASDAQ notifying us that we no longer meet NASDAQ’s requirements for continued listing on the NASDAQ Global Market under NASDAQ Listing Rule 5450(a)(1) (the “Bid Price Rule”) because the minimum bid price of our common stock did not equal or exceed \$1.00 at least once over a period of 30 consecutive trading days. The notification letter does not impact the Company’s listing on the NASDAQ Global Market at this time and the Company’s common stock remains listed on the NASDAQ Global Market under the symbol NLST. NASDAQ explained in the Bid Price Notification Letter that under NASDAQ Listing Rule 5810(c)(3)(A), we will be provided 180 calendar days, or until November 3, 2015, to regain compliance with the Bid Price Rule. To regain compliance, the closing bid price of our common stock must meet or exceed \$1.00 per share for at least 10 consecutive business days. If we have not met the requirements of the Bid Price Rule by November 3, 2015, but meet the continued listing requirement for market value of publicly held shares and all other applicable standards for initial listing on The Nasdaq Capital Market (other than the Bid Price Rule), then we may be eligible for an additional 180 day compliance period by submitting an application to transfer our securities to The Nasdaq Capital Market. In order to qualify, we will need to provide written notice of our intention to cure the deficiency during the second compliance period by effecting a reverse stock split, if necessary, which would need to be approved by our stockholders. If it appears to NASDAQ staff that we will not be able to cure the deficiency during this second compliance period, or if we are otherwise not eligible, the staff will provide notice that our securities will be subject to delisting. We may also appeal NASDAQ’s delisting determination to a NASDAQ Hearings Panel.

On May 7, 2015, we received a deficiency letter (the “Market Value Notification Letter”) from NASDAQ notifying us that we no longer meet NASDAQ’s requirements for continued listing on the NASDAQ Global Market under NASDAQ Listing Rule 5450(b)(2)(A) (the “Market Value Rule”) because we did not maintain a minimum Market Value of Listed Securities (“MVLS”) of \$50,000,000 at least once over a period of 30 consecutive trading days. MVLS is calculated by multiplying the total shares outstanding by the daily closing bid price. The notification letter does not impact the Company’s listing on the NASDAQ Global Market at this time and the Company’s common stock remains listed on the NASDAQ Global Market under the symbol NLST. NASDAQ explained in the Market Value Notification Letter that under NASDAQ Listing Rule 5810(c)(3)(C), we will be afforded 180 calendar days, or until November 3, 2015, to regain compliance with the Market Value Rule. To regain compliance, our MVLS must meet or exceed \$50,000,000 for at least 10 consecutive business days. If we have not met the requirements of the Market Value Rule by November 3, 2015, the staff will provide notice that our securities will be subject to delisting. At that time we may appeal NASDAQ’s delisting determination to a NASDAQ Hearings Panel. Alternatively, we may submit an application to transfer our securities to The Nasdaq Capital Market.

We are evaluating various actions to pursue to ensure compliance with NASDAQ’s continued listing requirements, and there can be no assurance that we will be able to regain compliance with NASDAQ’s continued listing requirements. If we fail to regain compliance with the Bid Price Rule, the Market Value Rule or otherwise maintain the standards required now or in future by NASDAQ, our common stock could be delisted. Such delisting could cause our stock to be classified as “penny stock,” among other potentially detrimental consequences, any of which could significantly impact our stockholders’ ability to sell shares of our common stock or to sell shares at a price that a stockholder may deem to be acceptable.

Item 6. Exhibits

(a)(2) Exhibits

- 3.1 Restated Certificate of Incorporation of Netlist, Inc. (incorporated by reference to exhibit 3.1 of the registration statement on Form S-1 of the registrant (No. 333-136735) filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission (the “SEC”) on October 23, 2006).
- 3.2 Amended and Restated Bylaws of Netlist, Inc. (incorporated by reference to exhibit number 3.1 of the registrant’s Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the SEC on December 20, 2012).
- 10.1+ Second Amendment to Loan and Security Agreement, dated February 17, 2015, among Netlist, Inc., Fortress Credit Opportunities I LP as successor to DBD Credit Funding LLC and Drawbridge Special Opportunity Fund LP.
- 10.2* First Amendment to Monetization Letter Agreement, dated February 17, 2015, between Netlist, Inc. and Drawbridge Special Opportunity Fund LP.
- 10.3+ Stock Purchase Warrant, issued by Netlist, Inc. on February 17, 2015 to Drawbridge Special Opportunity Fund LP.
- 31.1+ Certification of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a) or Rule 15d-14(a) of the Securities and Exchange Act of 1934, as adopted pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
- 31.2+ Certification of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a) or Rule 15d-14(a) of the Securities and Exchange Act of 1934, as adopted pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
- 32+ Certification by Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
- 101.INS+ XBRL Instance Document
- 101.SCH+ XBRL Taxonomy Extension Schema Document
- 101.CAL+ XBRL Taxonomy Extension Calculation Linkbase Document
- 101.LAB+ XBRL Taxonomy Extension Label Linkbase Document
- 101.PRE+ XBRL Taxonomy Extension Presentation Linkbase Document
- 101.DEF+ XBRL Taxonomy Extension Definition Linkbase Document

+ Filed herewith.

*Filed herewith. Confidential treatment has been requested with respect to portions of this exhibit pursuant to Rule 24b-2 of the Exchange Act and these confidential portions have been redacted from the document filed as an exhibit to this report. A complete copy of the agreement, including the redacted terms, has been separately filed with the SEC.

SECOND AMENDMENT TO LOAN AND SECURITY AGREEMENT

THIS SECOND AMENDMENT TO LOAN AND SECURITY AGREEMENT (this “**Amendment**”) is entered into as of February 17, 2015 (the “**Amendment Effective Date**”), by and among Netlist, Inc., a Delaware corporation (“**Borrower**”), Fortress Credit Opportunities I LP (the “**Lender**”), as successor to DBD Credit Funding LLC, a Delaware limited liability company (the “**Initial Lender**”) and Drawbridge Special Opportunities Fund LP, a Delaware limited partnership (“**Drawbridge**”).

WITNESSETH:

WHEREAS, (i) the Borrower and the Initial Lender have entered into that certain Loan and Security Agreement dated as of July 18, 2013 (as, amended pursuant to that certain First Amendment to Loan and Security Agreement dated July 18, 2014 (the “**First Amendment**”) and as may be further amended, restated, supplemented or otherwise modified from time to time, the “**Loan Agreement**”); and (ii) the Borrower and Drawbridge have entered into that certain Monetization Letter Agreement dated as of July 18, 2013 (as amended pursuant to that certain amendment to Monetization Side Letter dated as of the date hereof, and as may be further amended, restated, supplemented or otherwise modified from time to time, the “**Monetization Side Letter**”).

WHEREAS, the parties hereto desire to (i) amend the Loan Agreement on the terms and subject to the conditions set forth herein and (ii) acknowledge and confirm the effect of this Amendment with respect to the Monetization Side Letter.

NOW, THEREFORE, in consideration of the mutual agreements, provisions and covenants contained herein, the parties agree as follows:

1. **Defined Terms**. Capitalized terms used but not defined herein shall have the meanings ascribed to them in the Loan Agreement.

2. **Amendments**.

(I) Effective as of the Amendment Effective Date, Section 13.1 of the Loan Agreement is hereby amended by adding the following new definitions in their appropriate alphabetical order:

““SanDisk/Diablo Litigation” means (i) the case captioned Netlist, Inc. vs. Diablo Technologies, Inc., No. 4: 13-CV- 05962 -YGR, in the United States District Court for the Northern District of California, (ii) the case captioned Netlist, Inc. vs. Smart Storage Systems , Diablo Technologies, Inc. and Sandisk Corporation , No. 4: 13-CV-05889-YGR, in the United States District Court for the Northern District of California, and (iii) the same matters if transferred to any other jurisdiction or forum (arbitral, judicial or otherwise).”

““Second Amendment” means the Second Amendment to Loan and Security Agreement dated as of the Second Amendment Effective Date.”

““Second Amendment Effective Date” means February 17, 2015.”

(II) Effective as of the Amendment Effective Date, the terms “IP Monetization Milestones” and “IP Monetization Milestone Term Loan Tranche” are hereby deleted and the term “IP Monetization Milestone Term Loan Amount” is hereby amended and restated in its entirety as follows:

“IP Monetization Milestone Term Loan Amount” means \$4,000,000.”

- (III) Effective as of the Amendment Effective Date, Section 2.1.2(a)(iii) of the Loan Agreement is hereby amended and restated in its entirety as follows:

“(iii) Commencing with the month that is nineteen (19) months after the Effective Date, Borrower shall, on the last business day of the applicable month, repay the Closing Date Term Loan in (i) eighteen (18) equal installments of principal, plus (ii) monthly payments of accrued interest, in each case, in an amount equal to the amount set forth on Schedule 2.1.2(a)(iii), with the final payment, which shall be an amount equal to the entire remaining principal balance of the Closing Date Term Loan, any accrued and unpaid interest therein, and all other Obligations relating to the Closing Date Term Loans, being immediately due and payable on the Maturity Date, subject to any extension of the Maturity Date with respect to the Closing Date Term Loan pursuant to Section 2.1.2(c).”

- (IV) Effective as of the Amendment Effective Date, Section 2.1.2(b)(i) of the Loan Agreement is hereby amended and restated in its entirety as follows:

“(i) Subject to the terms and conditions of this Agreement (including, without limitation, the conditions set forth in Section 3.2) and in reliance upon the representations and warranties of Borrower contained herein, the Lender agrees to lend to Borrower, on the Second Amendment Effective Date, term loans in an aggregate principal amount not to exceed the IP Monetization Milestone Term Loan Amount (such term loans, the “IP Monetization Milestone Term Loans”; together with the Closing Date Term Loan, sometimes referred to individually as a “Term Loan” and together as the “Term Loans”). The date on such IP Monetization Milestone Term Loan is funded, the “IP Monetization Milestone Term Loan Effective Date”).”

- (V) Effective as of the Amendment Effective Date, Section 2.1.2(b)(iii) of the Loan Agreement is hereby amended and restated in its entirety as follows:

“(iii) Commencing with the month of February, 2015, Borrower shall, on the last day of business day of the applicable month, repay each IP Monetization Milestone Term Loan in (i) seventeen (17) equal installments of principal, plus (ii) monthly payments of accrued interest, in each case, in an amount equal to the amount set forth on Schedule 2.1.2(b)(iii), with the final payment, which shall be an amount equal to the entire remaining principal balance of each such IP Monetization Milestone Term Loan, any accrued and unpaid interest therein, and all other Obligations relating to the IP Monetization Milestone Term Loans, being immediately due and payable on the Maturity Date, subject to any extension of the Maturity Date with respect to such IP Monetization Milestone Term Loans pursuant to Section 2.1.2(c).”

- (VI) Effective as of the Amendment Effective Date, Section 2.4(b) of the Loan Agreement is hereby amended and restated as follows:

“(b) IP Monetization Milestone Fee. A fully earned, non-refundable fee equal to the product of 1.5% and \$4,000,000.00, payable on the Second Amendment Effective Date; and”

- (VII) Effective as of the Amendment Effective Date, Section 2.4 of the Loan Agreement is hereby amended by removing the period at the end of clause (c) thereof and adding “;

and” at the end of clause (c) thereof and adding the following clause (d) immediately after clause (c) thereof:

“(d) Management and Monitoring Fee. A fully earned, non-refundable annual management and monitoring fee of \$20,000 payable to the Lender, payable on the Second Amendment Effective Date and annually in advance on each anniversary thereof.”

3. Effect on Monetization Side Letter. For the avoidance of doubt, the parties hereby confirm and agree that all defined terms in the Loan Agreement that are included in the Monetization Side Letter (including, without limitation, the defined term “Early Repayment Option A Premium”) shall be deemed to refer to such defined terms after giving effect to this Amendment.

4. Effect on Warrant. Borrower hereby acknowledges and agrees that, concurrently with the funding of the IP Monetization Milestone Term Loans on the Second Amendment Effective Date, all of the restrictions upon the exercise of the Warrant pursuant to Section 9 thereof, and the issuance of the Warrant Stock thereunder, shall cease to be effective. On the Second Amendment Effective Date, Lender shall surrender to Borrower for cancellation the original warrant certificate representing the Warrant (the “**Original Warrant Certificate**”) and Borrower shall issue to Lender a new warrant certificate in the form of Exhibit A attached hereto, and thereafter shall deliver to Lender such other evidence of the vesting of the Warrant as may be reasonably requested by Lender from time to time. The parties agree that, upon issuance of such new warrant certificate by Borrower to Lender, the Original Warrant Certificate shall be deemed cancelled in its entirety and be of no further force and effect, whether or not it is delivered for cancellation. Failure to deliver such new warrant certificate or other evidence as specified above shall constitute an Event of Default pursuant to Section 8.11 of the Loan Agreement.

5. Conditions Precedent to Effectiveness. This Amendment shall not be effective until each of the following conditions precedent has been fulfilled prior to or concurrently herewith, each to the satisfaction of the Lender:

(i) This Amendment and any other documents or other deliverables or conditions required hereunder, including, without limitation, the amendment to the Monetization Side Letter, shall have been duly executed and/or delivered by the respective parties hereto and thereto.

(ii) The Lender shall have received payment of (a) an amendment and restructuring fee equal to \$20,000.00 and (b) the IP Monetization Milestone Fee equal to the product of 1.5% and \$4,000,000.00.

(iii) Prior to and immediately after giving effect to this Amendment, the representations and warranties herein and in the Loan Agreement, the other Loan Documents, the Monetization Side Letter and the Warrant Documents shall be true and correct in all material respects on and as of the date hereof, as though made on such date (except to the extent that (i) such representations and warranties relate solely to an earlier date, in which case such representations and warranties shall have been true and correct in all material respects as of such earlier date or (ii) such representations and warranties are qualified by materiality in the text thereof, in which case they shall be true and correct in all respects).

6. Severability. In case any provision of or obligation under this Amendment shall be invalid, illegal or unenforceable in any jurisdiction, the validity, legality and enforceability of the remaining provisions or obligations, or of such provision or obligation in any other jurisdiction, shall not in any way be affected or impaired thereby.

7. Integration. This Amendment, together with the other Loan Documents, the Monetization Side Letter and the Warrant Documents, incorporates all negotiations of the parties hereto with respect to the subject matter hereof and is the final expression and agreement of the parties hereto with respect to the subject matter hereof. Except as amended hereby (as applicable), the Loan Agreement,

the other Loan Documents, the Monetization Side Letter and the Warrant Documents remain unmodified and in full force and effect. All references to the Loan Agreement in each of the Loan Documents, the Monetization Side Letter and the Warrant Documents shall be deemed to be references to the Loan Agreement as amended hereby.

8. **Counterparts**. This Amendment may be executed in any number of counterparts, all of which taken together shall constitute one and the same instrument and any of the parties hereto may execute this Amendment by signing any such counterpart.

9. **Controlling Provisions**. In the event of any inconsistencies between the provisions of this Amendment and the provisions of any other Loan Document, the Monetization Side Letter or any Warrant Document, the provisions of this Amendment shall govern and prevail. Except as expressly modified by this Amendment, the Loan Documents, the Monetization Side Letter and the Warrant Documents shall not be modified and shall remain in full force and effect. This Amendment shall be deemed a Loan Document.

10. **Governing Law**. **THIS AGREEMENT SHALL BE GOVERNED BY, AND CONSTRUED IN ACCORDANCE WITH, THE LAW OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK. SECTION 11 OF THE LOAN AGREEMENT IS HEREBY INCORPORATED BY REFERENCE INTO THIS AGREEMENT *MUTATIS MUTANDIS* AND SHALL APPLY HERETO.**

11. **Reaffirmation of Obligations**. The Borrower hereby reaffirms its obligations under each Loan Document. The Borrower hereby further ratifies and reaffirms the validity and enforceability of all of the Liens heretofore granted, pursuant to and in connection with the Loan Agreement or any other Loan Document to the Initial Lender on behalf and for the benefit of the Lenders, as collateral security for the Obligations under the Loan Documents in accordance with their respective terms, and acknowledges that all of such Liens, and all collateral heretofore pledged as security for such Obligations, continues to be and remain collateral for such Obligations from and after the date hereof. The Borrower further acknowledges and affirms that notwithstanding the execution and delivery of the Amendment or any prior amendment, the obligations of the Borrower under the Loan Agreement and any other applicable security document are not impaired or affected and each such Loan Document continues in full force and effect and shall apply to the Obligations as amended hereby.

[SIGNATURE PAGE FOLLOWS]

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the parties have executed this Amendment as of the date set forth above.

BORROWER :

NETLIST, INC.

By: /s/ Gail Sasaki
Title: Chief Financial Officer

LENDER :

FORTRESS CREDIT OPPORTUNITIES I LP

By: /s/ Jason Meyer
Name: Jason Meyer
Title: Chief Administrative Officer

DRAWBRIDGE :

**DRAWBRIDGE SPECIAL OPPORTUNITIES FUND
LP**

By: Drawbridge Special Opportunities GP LLC, its
general partner

By: /s/ Jason Meyer
Name: Jason Meyer
Title: Chief Administrative Officer

Exhibit A

Form of Warrant Certificate

[see attached]

[Signature Page To Second Amendment to Loan and Security Agreement]

FIRST AMENDMENT TO MONETIZATION LETTER AGREEMENT

THIS FIRST AMENDMENT TO MONETIZATION LETTER AGREEMENT (this “**Amendment**”) is entered into as of February 17, 2015 (the “**Amendment Date**”), by and among Netlist, Inc., a Delaware corporation (“**Company**”), and Drawbridge Special Opportunities Fund LP, a Delaware limited partnership (“**Lender**”).

WITNESSETH:

WHEREAS, the Company and Lender have entered into that certain Monetization Letter Agreement dated as of July 18, 2013 (as may be further amended, restated, supplemented or otherwise modified from time to time, the “**Monetization Side Letter**”).

WHEREAS, the parties hereto desire to amend the Monetization Side Letter on the terms and subject to the conditions set forth herein.

NOW, THEREFORE, in consideration of the mutual agreements, provisions and covenants contained herein, the parties agree as follows:

1. **Defined Terms.** Capitalized terms used but not defined herein shall have the meanings ascribed to them in the Monetization Side Letter.

2. **Amendments.**

(I) Effective as of the Amendment Date, Section 2(a) of the Monetization Side Letter is hereby amended and restated in its entirety as follows:

(a) **General Net Revenue Share Commitment.** If neither the conditions for the Early Repayment Option A Net Revenue Share are satisfied (under the terms of the Loan Agreement) nor the conditions for the Early Repayment Option B Net Revenue Share are satisfied (as set forth in Section 1(b) above), Drawbridge will be entitled to, and Company shall pay to Drawbridge, a share of the Patent Monetization Net Revenues (as defined in Section 2(b)(i) below) that are recognized by Company (in accordance with its generally applicable accounting principles, consistently applied) during the seven (7) year period after the Effective Date, in the amounts and subject to the limitations set forth below (the “**General Net Revenue Share**”).

[*****] Patent Monetization Net Revenues		
<i>Patent Monetization Net Revenues</i>	<i>Netlist Share %</i>	<i>Drawbridge Share %</i>
US\$0 – 8.57 million	65%	35%
Above US\$8.57 million	100%	0%
Non-[*****] Monetization Net Revenues		
<i>Patent Monetization Net Revenues</i>	<i>Netlist Share %</i>	<i>Drawbridge Share %</i>
US\$0 - 10 million	65%	35%
US\$10 - 20 million	75%	25%
US\$20 - 30 million	80%	20%
Above US\$30 million	100%	0%

CONFIDENTIAL TREATMENT REQUEST ED, OMITTED PORTIONS ARE MARKED
WITH [****] AND HAVE BEEN FILED SEPARATELY WITH THE SEC.

CONFIDENTIAL TREATMENT REQUESTED, OMITTED PORTIONS ARE MARKED WITH [*****] AND HAVE BEEN FILED SEPARATELY WITH THE SEC.

Subject to the limitations set forth below, the General Net Revenue Share shall be paid (i) with respect to any Patent Monetization Net Revenues arising in connection with the [*****] (the “ [*****] Patent Monetization Net Revenues ”), as thirty-five percent (35%) of up to US\$8.57 million of such [*****] Patent Monetization Net Revenues (for a total payment to Drawbridge of up to US\$3 million of General Net Revenue Share), and (ii) with respect to all Patent Monetization Net Revenues other than [*****] Net Revenues (the “ Non- [*****] Patent Monetization Net Revenues ”), on each portion of such Non - [*****] Patent Monetization Net Revenues in accordance with the corresponding percentage for such portion of the Non- [*****] Patent Monetization Net Revenues such that Company shall pay thirty-five percent (35%) on the first US\$10 million of such Non - [*****] Patent Monetization Net Revenues, twenty-five percent (25%) on the second US\$10 million of such Non- [*****] Patent Monetization Net Revenues, twenty percent (20%) on the third US\$10 million of such Non- [*****] Patent Monetization Net Revenues, and no additional amounts for Non- [*****] Patent Monetization Net Revenues above US\$30 million (for a total payment to Drawbridge of up to US\$8 million of General Net Revenue Share). Notwithstanding the foregoing, or anything to the contrary herein, the total maximum General Net Revenue Share payable by the Company to Drawbridge hereunder (from all sources) shall be US\$9.5 million. By way of example and without limitation, if Company has paid Drawbridge US\$3 million in General Net Revenue Share from [*****] Patent Monetization Net Revenues, the maximum General Net Revenue Share payable by Company to Drawbridge from Non - [*****] Patent Monetization Net Revenues shall be reduced to US\$6.5 million.

(II) Effective as of the Amendment Date, Section 2(b)(ii) of the Monetization Side Letter is hereby amended and restated in its entirety as follows:

(ii) Notwithstanding anything to the contrary herein, “Patent Monetization Net Revenues” and “ [*****] ” do not include (A) consideration received by Company or its Subsidiaries with respect to intellectual property or assets of Company or its Subsidiaries other than the Company Patent Portfolio, (B) amounts received by Company or its Subsidiaries as debt, equity, or other financing that are not paid or granted to Company or its Subsidiaries in consideration of a grant of a license or covenant not sue, or other immunity with respect to the Company Patent Portfolio , or (C) any consideration received by Company or its Subsidiaries for non-exclusive licenses or other non-exclusive rights under the Company Patent Portfolio granted in the ordinary course of business in connection with the sale or provision of products or services of Company or its Subsidiaries or in connection with joint development or similar projects in support of the Company’s ordinary course business operations.

(III) Effective as of the Amendment Date, Section 2(b)(iv) of the Monetization Side Letter is hereby amended and restated in its entirety as follows:

(iv) “ Company Patent Portfolio ” means any and all patents and patent applications owned by Company or its Subsidiaries or exclusively licensed to Company

CONFIDENTIAL TREATMENT REQUEST ED, OMITTED PORTIONS ARE MARKED WITH [****] AND HAVE BEEN FILED SEPARATELY WITH THE SEC.

or its Subsidiaries (in a manner such that Company has standing to sue under those patents in its own name and retain the proceeds of such suit), whether owned, issuing, applied for, licensed, or acquired before or after the Effective Date, including the

NVvault Assets (as defined in Section 2(b)(v) below) together with (in each case if owned by or exclusively licensed to Company or its Subsidiaries) any and all (i) continuations, continuations in part, divisionals, reissues, or reexaminations of any of the foregoing, (ii) present or future United States patents claiming common priority in whole or in part with any of the foregoing, and (iii) all foreign patents and/or applications for patents that are, or in the future become, counterparts of, or claim priority in whole or in part to, any of the foregoing. Notwithstanding the foregoing, for the avoidance of doubt, “Company Patent Portfolio” shall in no event include (A) patents or patent applications of any third party that may consummate an Acquisition of Company, and (B) patents issued or applied for after the consummation of an Acquisition of the Company, (excluding, but only if there is no Revenue Share Termination pursuant to Section 3(a): (1) any patent issuing on a patent application that was included in the Company Patent Portfolio prior to the date of consummation of such Acquisition, (2) continuations, continuations in part, divisionals, reissues, or reexaminations of any patent or patent application that was included in the Company Patent Portfolio prior to the date of consummation of such Acquisition, (3) future United States patents claiming common priority in whole or in part with any patent or patent application included in the preceding (1) or (2) or that was included in the Company Patent Portfolio prior to the date of consummation of such Acquisition, and (4) all foreign patents and/or applications for patents that are, or in the future become, counterparts of, or claim priority in whole or in part to, any patent or patent application included in the preceding (1), (2) or (3) or that was included in the Company Patent Portfolio prior to the date of consummation of such Acquisition).

(IV) Effective as of the Amendment Date, Section 3(b) of the Monetization Side Letter is hereby amended and restated in its entirety as follows:

(b) Partial Sales. If, during the term of this Letter Agreement, Company or any of its Subsidiaries consummates a sale or divestiture of any of Company’s or its Subsidiaries’ business that includes any portion of the Company Patent Portfolio in a transaction that is not an Acquisition (other than standalone sales of patents and/or patent applications, which shall be addressed as set forth in Section 3(c)) (“Divestiture”), then the parties shall treat such Divestiture as an event leading to Patent Monetization Net Revenues and that portion of such Divestiture purchase price that is attributable to both (i) any portion of the Company Patent Portfolio that is divested, and (ii) any license granted by Company or any of its Subsidiaries to the acquirer of such business under the portion of the Company Patent Portfolio that is retained by Company or any such Subsidiary (but only to the extent such license extends beyond the operation, including manufacture and sales of products, of the divested business), shall constitute Patent Monetization Net Revenues for purposes of Section 1(a) or Section 2(a), as applicable, provided that in no event shall the aggregate Early Repayment Option A Net Revenue Share or the General Net Revenue Share, as applicable, on account of the aggregate Patent Monetization Net Revenues attributable to all such Divestitures exceed an amount equal to the total, aggregate amount of all Term Loans drawn by Company under the Loan Agreement prior to the date of consummation of such Acquisition. If the parties are unable to agree upon the portion of any purchase price in connection with such Divestiture that is attributable to the Company Patent Portfolio and any such license, then the parties shall submit the matter to a qualified, independent, third-party appraiser

mutually agreed upon by the parties (and the costs and expenses of which shall be borne equally by the parties) for valuation, and such appraiser's decision shall be final and binding on the parties.

3. **Severability**. In case any provision of or obligation under this Amendment shall be invalid, illegal or unenforceable in any jurisdiction, the validity, legality and enforceability of the remaining provisions or obligations, or of such provision or obligation in any other jurisdiction, shall not in any way be affected or impaired thereby.

4. **Integration**. This Amendment, together with the Loan Documents and the Warrant Documents, incorporates all negotiations of the parties hereto with respect to the subject matter hereof and is the final expression and agreement of the parties hereto with respect to the subject matter hereof. Except as amended hereby (as applicable), the Monetization Side Letter, the Loan Documents, and the Warrant Documents remain unmodified and in full force and effect. All references to the Monetization Side Letter in each of the Loan Documents and the Warrant Documents shall be deemed to be references to the Monetization Side Letter as amended hereby.

5. **Counterparts**. This Amendment may be executed in any number of counterparts, all of which taken together shall constitute one and the same instrument and any of the parties hereto may execute this Amendment by signing any such counterpart.

6. **Controlling Provisions**. In the event of any inconsistencies between the provisions of this Amendment and the provisions of any Loan Document or any Warrant Document, the provisions of this Amendment shall govern and prevail. Except as expressly modified by this Amendment, the Monetization Side Letter, the Loan Documents, and the Warrant Documents shall not be modified and shall remain in full force and effect.

7. **Governing Law**. **THIS AMENDMENT SHALL BE GOVERNED BY, AND CONSTRUED IN ACCORDANCE WITH, THE LAW OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK. SECTION 10 OF THE MONETIZATION SIDE LETTER IS HEREBY INCORPORATED BY REFERENCE INTO THIS AGREEMENT *MUTATIS MUTANDIS* AND SHALL APPLY HERETO.**

[Signature Page FollowS]

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the parties have executed this Amendment as of the date set forth above.

COMPANY :

NETLIST, INC.

By: /s/ Gail M. Sasaki
Name: Gail Sasaki
Title: Chief Financial Officer

LENDER :

**DRAWBRIDGE SPECIAL OPPORTUNITIES FUND
LP**

By : Drawbridge Special Opportunities GP LLC, its
general partner

By: /s/ Jason Meyer
Name: Jason Meyer
Title: Chief Administrative Officer

NEITHER THIS SECURITY NOR THE SECURITIES FOR WHICH THIS SECURITY IS EXERCISABLE HAVE BEEN REGISTERED WITH THE SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION OR THE SECURITIES COMMISSION OF ANY STATE IN RELIANCE UPON AN EXEMPTION FROM REGISTRATION UNDER THE SECURITIES ACT OF 1933, AS AMENDED (THE "SECURITIES ACT"), AND, ACCORDINGLY, MAY NOT BE OFFERED, SOLD, PLEDGED OR OTHERWISE TRANSFERRED EXCEPT PURSUANT TO AN EFFECTIVE REGISTRATION STATEMENT UNDER THE SECURITIES ACT OR IN FORM AND SUBSTANCE SATISFACTORY TO THE ISSUER, SUCH OFFER, SALE, PLEDGE OR OTHER TRANSFER IS EXEMPT FROM SUCH REGISTRATION.

NETLIST, INC.

STOCK PURCHASE WARRANT

Date of Issuance: July 18, 2013

Certificate No. W-2

FOR VALUE RECEIVED, Netlist, Inc., a Delaware corporation (the "Company"), hereby grants to Drawbridge Special Opportunities Fund LP, a Delaware limited partnership or its registered assigns (the "Registered Holder") the right (this "Warrant") to purchase from the Company 1,648,351 shares of Warrant Stock at a price per share of \$1.00 (as adjusted from time to time hereunder, the "Exercise Price"). Certain capitalized terms used herein are defined in Section 5. The amount and kind of securities obtainable pursuant to the rights granted hereunder and the purchase price for such securities are subject to adjustment pursuant to the provisions contained in this Warrant.

This Warrant is subject to the following provisions:

Section 1. Exercise of Warrant.

1A. Exercise Period. The Registered Holder may exercise, in whole or in part (but not as to a fractional share of Warrant Stock), the purchase rights represented by this Warrant at any time and from time to time after the Date of Issuance to and including the seventh (7th) anniversary thereof (the "Exercise Period").

1B. Exercise Procedure.

(i) This Warrant shall be deemed to have been exercised (in whole or in part) when the Company has received all of the following items (as the case may be from time to time, the "Exercise Time"):

(a) a completed Exercise Agreement, as described in Section 1C, executed by the Person exercising all or part of the purchase rights represented by this Warrant (the “Purchaser”);

(b) this Warrant (delivery of which shall be subject to the Company’s obligations with respect to delivery of a new Warrant as provided in Section 1B(iii));

(c) if this Warrant is not registered in the name of the Purchaser, an Assignment or Assignments in the form of Exhibit A attached hereto (each, an “Assignment”) evidencing the assignment of this Warrant to the Purchaser, in which case the Registered Holder shall have complied with the provisions set forth in Section 7; and

(d) wire transfer of immediately available funds or a check payable to the Company in an amount equal to the product of the Exercise Price multiplied by the number of shares of Warrant Stock being purchased upon such exercise (the “Aggregate Exercise Price”).

(ii) As an alternative to the exercise of this Warrant as provided in Section 1B(i), the holder of this Warrant may exchange all or part of the purchase rights represented by this Warrant by surrendering this Warrant to the Company, together with a written notice to the Company that the holder is exchanging the Warrant (or a portion thereof) for an aggregate number of shares of Warrant Stock specified in the notice, from which the Company shall withhold and not issue to the holder a number of shares of Warrant Stock with an aggregate Market Price equal to the Aggregate Exercise Price of the number of shares of Warrant Stock specified in such notice (and such withheld shares shall no longer be issuable under this Warrant).

(iii) The Company shall cause the Transfer Agent to deliver to the Purchaser, within five (5) Business Days after the date of each Exercise Time, certificates for shares of Warrant Stock purchased upon exercise of this Warrant; provided, that no failure or delay in such delivery shall affect the issuance of any Warrant Stock as provided in Section 1B(iv). Unless this Warrant has expired or all of the purchase rights represented hereby have been exercised, the Company shall prepare a new Warrant, substantially identical hereto, representing the rights formerly represented by this Warrant which have not expired or been exercised and shall, within such five (5) Business Day period, deliver such new Warrant to the Person designated for delivery in the Exercise Agreement.

(iv) The Warrant Stock issuable upon the exercise of this Warrant shall be deemed to have been issued to the Purchaser at the Exercise Time, and the Purchaser shall be deemed for all purposes to have become the record holder of such Warrant Stock at the Exercise Time.

(v) The issuance of certificates for shares of Warrant Stock upon exercise of this Warrant shall be made without charge to the Registered Holder or the Purchaser for any issuance tax in respect thereof or other cost incurred by the Company in connection with such exercise and the related issuance of shares of Warrant Stock. Each share of Warrant Stock

issuable upon exercise of this Warrant shall, upon payment of the Exercise Price therefor, be fully paid and nonassessable and free from all liens and charges with respect to the issuance thereof.

(vi) The Company shall not close its books against the transfer of this Warrant or of any share of Warrant Stock issued or issuable upon the exercise of this Warrant in any manner which interferes with the timely exercise of this Warrant. The Company shall from time to time take all such action as may be necessary to assure that the par value per share of the unissued Warrant Stock acquirable upon exercise of this Warrant is at all times equal to or less than the Exercise Price then in effect.

(vii) The Company shall assist and cooperate with any Registered Holder or Purchaser required to make any filings with, or obtain any approvals of, any Governmental Authority prior to or in connection with any exercise of this Warrant (including making any filings required to be made by the Company).

(viii) Notwithstanding any other provision hereof, if an exercise of any portion of this Warrant is to be made in connection with a registered public offering or the sale of the Company, the exercise of any portion of this Warrant may, at the election of the holder hereof, be conditioned upon the consummation of the public offering or the sale of the Company in which case such exercise shall not be deemed to be effective until the consummation of such transaction.

(ix) The Company shall at all times reserve and keep available out of its authorized but unissued shares of Warrant Stock solely for the purpose of issuance upon the exercise of the Warrants, such number of shares of Warrant Stock issuable upon the exercise of all outstanding Warrants. The Company shall take all such actions as may be necessary to assure that all such shares of Warrant Stock may be so issued without violation by the Company of any applicable law or governmental regulation or any requirements of the Financial Industry Regulatory Authority (FINRA), the National Association of Securities Dealers Automated Quotation (“NASDAQ”) or any domestic securities exchange upon which shares of Warrant Stock may be listed (except for official notice of issuance which shall be immediately delivered by the Company upon each such issuance). The Company shall not take any action which would cause the number of authorized but unissued shares of Warrant Stock to be less than the number of such shares required to be reserved hereunder for issuance upon exercise of the Warrants.

(x) The Company shall not take any action which would materially conflict with or frustrate the purpose of this Warrant or any adjustment or exercise thereof, including that the Company shall not adopt any rights plan or similar agreement unless the potential adverse effects of any such plan or agreement expressly exclude the Registered Holder, any Purchaser, their respective Affiliates and their respective ownership (beneficial or of record) of any securities acquirable pursuant to this Warrant.

1C. Exercise Agreement. Upon any exercise of this Warrant, the Exercise Agreement shall be substantially in the form of Exhibit B attached hereto, except that if any shares of Warrant Stock are not to be issued in the name of the Person in whose name this

Warrant is registered, the Exercise Agreement shall also state the name of the Person to whom the certificates for such shares of Warrant Stock are to be issued, and if the number of shares of Warrant Stock to be issued does not include all the shares of Warrant Stock purchasable hereunder, it shall also state the name of the Person(s) to whom a new Warrant(s) for the unexercised portion of the rights hereunder is to be delivered. Such Exercise Agreement shall be dated the actual date of execution thereof.

1D. Fractional Shares . If a fractional share of Warrant Stock would, but for the provisions of Section 1A, be issuable upon exercise of the rights represented by this Warrant, the Company shall, within five (5) Business Days after the date of the Exercise Time, deliver to the Purchaser a check payable to the Purchaser in lieu of such fractional share in an amount equal to the difference between the Market Price of such fractional share as of the date of the Exercise Time and the Exercise Price of such fractional share.

Section 2. Adjustment of Exercise Price and Number of Shares. The Exercise Price shall be subject to adjustment from time to time as provided in this Section 2, and the number of shares of Warrant Stock obtainable upon exercise of this Warrant shall be subject to adjustment from time to time as provided in this Section 2.

2A. Subdivision or Combination of Common Stock. If the Company at any time subdivides (by any stock split, stock dividend, recapitalization or otherwise) one or more classes of its outstanding shares of Common Stock into a greater number of shares, the Exercise Price in effect immediately prior to such subdivision shall be proportionately reduced and the number of shares of Warrant Stock obtainable upon exercise of this Warrant shall be proportionately increased. If the Company at any time combines (by reverse stock split or otherwise) one or more classes of its outstanding shares of Common Stock into a smaller number of shares, the Exercise Price in effect immediately prior to such combination shall be proportionately increased and the number of shares of Warrant Stock obtainable upon exercise of this Warrant shall be proportionately decreased.

2B. Reorganization, Reclassification, Consolidation, Merger or Sale. Any recapitalization, reorganization, reclassification, consolidation, merger, sale of all or substantially all of the Company's assets or other transaction, which in each case is effected in such a way that the holders of Common Stock are entitled to receive (either directly or upon subsequent liquidation) stock, securities or assets (including cash) with respect to or in exchange for Common Stock is referred to herein as "Organic Change." Prior to the consummation of any Organic Change, the Company shall make appropriate provision (in form and substance satisfactory to the Registered Holders of Warrants representing a majority of the shares of Warrant Stock obtainable upon exercise of such Warrants (the "Majority Holders") to insure that each of the Registered Holders of the Warrants shall thereafter have the right to acquire and receive, in lieu of or addition to (as the case may be) the shares of Warrant Stock immediately theretofore acquirable and receivable upon the exercise of such holder's Warrant, such shares of stock, securities or assets (including cash) as would have been issued or payable in such Organic Change (if the holder had exercised this Warrant immediately prior to such Organic Change) with respect to or in exchange for the number of shares of Warrant Stock immediately theretofore acquirable and receivable upon exercise of such holder's Warrant had such Organic Change not

taken place, including that if the holders of Common Stock are given any choice as to the securities or assets (including cash) to be received in such Organic Change, then the Registered Holders shall be given the same choice in respect thereof. Notwithstanding anything to the contrary, in the event of an Organic Change involving a Person whose common stock is not traded on a national securities exchange (a “ Non-Listed Company ”) in which all outstanding shares of Common Stock as of immediately prior to the Organic Change are converted into or exchanged or tendered for stock, securities or assets (other than cash) or the right to receive stock, securities or assets (other than cash) of such Non-Listed Company, the Company (or as applicable, the successor entity) and the purchaser entity shall, at the Registered Holder’s election, exercisable at any time prior to, concurrently with, or within 30 days after, the consummation of such Organic Change, purchase this Warrant (or any stock, securities or assets into which this Warrant or the Warrant Stock underlying this Warrant may have been converted or exchanged or for which any of them may have been tendered in such Organic Change) from the Registered Holder by paying to the Holder cash, in immediately available funds payable upon the consummation of such Organic Change (or within 10 days following notice of such election by the Registered Holder in the case of an election delivered after such consummation), in an amount equal to the value thereof reflected by the terms of such Organic Change. In the case of any Organic Change, the Company shall make appropriate provision (in form and substance satisfactory to the Majority Holders) with respect to such holders’ rights and interests to insure that the provisions of this Section 2 and Sections 2D and 4 shall thereafter be applicable to the Warrants (including, in the case of any such Organic Change in which the successor entity or purchasing entity is other than the Company, an immediate adjustment of the Exercise Price to the value for the Common Stock reflected by the terms of such Organic Change and a corresponding immediate adjustment in the number of shares of Warrant Stock acquirable and receivable upon exercise of the Warrants, if the value so reflected is less than the Exercise Price in effect immediately prior to such Organic Change). The Company shall not effect any Organic Change unless prior to the consummation thereof, the successor entity (if other than the Company) and the purchasing entity assume by written instrument (in form and substance satisfactory to the Majority Holders), the obligation to deliver to each such holder such shares of stock, securities or assets (including cash) as, in accordance with the foregoing provisions, such holder may be entitled to acquire.

2C. Notices.

(i) Promptly upon any adjustment of the Exercise Price, the Company shall give written notice thereof to the Registered Holder, setting forth in reasonable detail and certifying the calculation of such adjustment.

(ii) The Company shall give written notice to the Registered Holder at least twenty (20) days prior to the date on which the Company closes its books or takes a record (A) with respect to any dividend or distribution upon the Common Stock, (B) with respect to any *pro rata* subscription offer to holders of Common Stock or (C) for determining rights to vote with respect to any Organic Change, dissolution or liquidation.

(iii) The Company shall also give written notice to the Registered Holders at least twenty (20) days prior to the date on which any Organic Change, dissolution or liquidation shall take place.

2D. Pro Rata Distributions. During such time as this Warrant is outstanding, if the Company shall declare or make any dividend or other distribution of its assets (or rights to acquire its assets) to holders of shares of Common Stock, by way of return of capital or otherwise (including any distribution of cash, stock or other securities, property or options by way of a dividend, spin off, reclassification, corporate rearrangement, scheme of arrangement or other similar transaction) (a “Distribution”), at any time after the issuance of this Warrant, then, in each such case, the Holder shall be entitled to participate in such Distribution to the same extent that the Registered Holder would have participated therein if the Registered Holder had held the number of shares of Common Stock acquirable upon complete exercise of this Warrant (without regard to any limitations on exercise hereof) immediately before the date of which a record is taken for such Distribution, or, if no such record is taken, the date as of which the record holders of shares of Common Stock are to be determined for the participation in such Distribution.

Section 3. Liquidating Dividends. If the Company declares or pays a dividend upon the Common Stock payable otherwise than in cash out of earnings or earned surplus (determined in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, consistently applied) except for a stock dividend payable in shares of Common Stock (a “Liquidating Dividend”), then the Company shall pay to the Registered Holder of this Warrant at the time of payment thereof the Liquidating Dividend which would have been paid to such Registered Holder on the Warrant Stock had this Warrant been fully exercised immediately prior to the date on which a record is taken for such Liquidating Dividend, or, if no record is taken, the date as of which the record holders of Common Stock entitled to such dividends are to be determined.

Section 4. Purchase Rights. If at any time the Company grants, issues or sells any Options, Convertible Securities or rights to purchase stock, warrants, securities or other property *pro rata* to the record holders of any class of Common Stock (the “Purchase Rights”), then the Registered holder of this Warrant shall be entitled to acquire, upon the terms applicable to such Purchase Rights, the aggregate Purchase Rights which such holder could have acquired if such holder had held the number of shares of Warrant Stock acquirable upon complete exercise of this Warrant immediately before the date on which a record is taken for the grant, issuance or sale of such Purchase Rights, or, if no such record is taken, the date as of which the record holders of Common Stock are to be determined for the grant, issue or sale of such Purchase Rights.

Section 5. Definitions. The following terms have meanings set forth below:

“Affiliate” means, with respect to any Person, each other Person that owns or controls directly or indirectly the Person, any Person that controls or is controlled by or is under common control with the Person, and each of that Person’s senior executive officers, directors, partners and, for any Person that is a limited liability company, that Person’s managers and members.

“ Business Day ” means any day that is not a Saturday, Sunday or a day on which banks located in the State of New York are authorized or obligated to close.

“ Common Stock ” means, collectively, the Company’s Common Stock and any capital stock of any class of the Company hereafter authorized which is not limited to a fixed sum or percentage of par or stated value in respect to the rights of the holders thereof to participate in dividends or in the distribution of assets upon any liquidation, dissolution or winding up of the Company.

“ Convertible Securities ” means any stock or securities (directly or indirectly) convertible into or exchangeable for Common Stock.

“ Exchange Act ” means the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, and the rules and regulations promulgated thereunder.

“ Governmental Authority ” means any nation or government, any state or other political subdivision thereof, any agency, authority, instrumentality, regulatory body, court, central bank or other entity exercising executive, legislative, judicial, taxing, regulatory or administrative functions of or pertaining to government, any securities exchange and any self-regulatory organization.

“ Loan Agreement ” means that certain Loan and Security Agreement, dated as of date hereof, by and between DBD Credit Funding LLC, a Delaware limited liability company and the Company (as amended, restated supplemented, or otherwise modified from time to time).

“ Market Price ” means as to any security the volume weighted average (rounded to the nearest cent) of the closing prices of such security’s sales on all domestic securities exchanges on which such security may at the time be listed, or, if there have been no sales on any such exchange on any day, the volume weighted average of the highest bid and lowest asked prices on all such exchanges at the end of such day, or, if on any day such security is not so listed, the volume weighted average of the highest bid and lowest asked prices on such day in the domestic over-the-counter market as reported by Pink OTC Markets, Inc., or any similar successor organization, in each such case averaged over a period of ten (10) days consisting of the day as of which “Market Price” is being determined and the nine (9) consecutive Business Days prior to such day; provided that if such security is listed on any domestic securities exchange or quoted in a domestic over-the-counter market the term “Business Days” as used in this sentence means Business Days on which such exchange is open for trading. If at any time such security is not listed on any domestic securities exchange or quoted in the domestic over-the-counter market, the “Market Price” shall be the fair value thereof determined jointly by the Company and the Majority Holders (without applying any marketability, minority or other discounts) ; provided that if such parties are unable to reach agreement within a reasonable period of time, such fair value shall be determined (without applying any marketability, minority or other discounts) by an appraiser jointly selected by the Company and the Majority Holders. The determination of such appraiser shall be final and binding on the Company and the Registered Holders of the Warrants, and the fees and expenses of such appraiser shall be paid by the Company.

“Options” means any rights or options to subscribe for or purchase Common Stock or Convertible Securities.

“Person” means any individual, sole proprietorship, partnership, limited liability company, joint venture, company, trust, unincorporated organization, association, corporation, institution, public benefit corporation, firm, joint stock company, estate, entity or government agency.

“Subsidiary” means, as to any Person, a corporation, partnership, limited liability company or other entity of which shares of stock or other ownership interests having ordinary voting power (other than stock or such other ownership interests having such power only by reason of the happening of a contingency) to elect a majority of the board of directors or other managers of such corporation, partnership or other entity are at the time owned, or the management of which is otherwise controlled, directly or indirectly through one or more intermediaries, or both, by such Person.

“Transfer Agent” means Computershare Trust Company, N.A., the current transfer agent of the Company, with a mailing address of 330 N. Brand Blvd., Ste. 701, Glendale, CA 91203-2149 and a facsimile number of, and any successor transfer agent of the Company.

“Warrant Stock” means the Company’s Common Stock, par value \$0.001 per share; provided that if there is a change such that the securities issuable upon exercise of the Warrants are issued by an entity other than the Company or there is a change in the type or class of securities so issuable, then the term “Warrant Stock” shall mean one share of the security issuable upon exercise of the Warrants if such security is issuable in shares, or shall mean the smallest unit in which such security is issuable if such security is not issuable in shares.

Section 6. No Voting Rights; Limitations of Liability. This Warrant shall not entitle the holder hereof to any voting rights or other rights as a stockholder of the Company. No provision hereof, in the absence of affirmative action by the Registered Holder to purchase Warrant Stock, and no enumeration herein of the rights or privileges of the Registered Holder shall give rise to any liability of such holder for the Exercise Price of Warrant Stock acquirable by exercise hereof or as a stockholder of the Company.

Section 7. Warrant Transferable. Subject to compliance with applicable securities laws and the transfer conditions referred to in the legend endorsed hereon, this Warrant and all rights hereunder are transferable, in whole or in part, without charge to the Registered Holder, upon surrender of this Warrant with a properly executed Assignment (in the form of Exhibit A attached hereto) at the principal office of the Company.

Section 8. Warrant Exchangeable for Different Denominations. This Warrant is exchangeable, upon the surrender hereof by the Registered Holder at the principal office of the Company, for new Warrants of like tenor representing in the aggregate the purchase rights hereunder, and each of such new Warrants shall represent such portion of such rights as is designated by the Registered Holder at the time of such surrender. The date the Company initially issues this Warrant shall be deemed to be the “Date of Issuance” hereof regardless of the

number of times new certificates representing the unexpired and unexercised rights formerly represented by this Warrant shall be issued. All Warrants representing portions of the rights hereunder are referred to herein as the “Warrants.”

Section 9. [RESERVED].

Section 10. Replacement. Upon receipt of evidence reasonably satisfactory to the Company (an affidavit of the Registered Holder shall be satisfactory) of the ownership and the loss, theft, destruction or mutilation of any certificate evidencing this Warrant, and in the case of any such loss, theft or destruction, upon receipt of indemnity reasonably satisfactory to the Company, or, in the case of any such mutilation upon surrender of such certificate, the Company shall (at its expense) execute and deliver in lieu of such certificate a new certificate of like kind representing the same rights represented by such lost, stolen, destroyed or mutilated certificate and dated the date of such lost, stolen, destroyed or mutilated certificate.

Section 11. Notices. Except as otherwise expressly provided herein, all notices, demands or other communications referred to in this Warrant shall be in writing and shall be deemed to have been given (i) when delivered personally to the recipient, (ii) when sent to the recipient by confirmed electronic mail or facsimile if delivered prior to 5:00 p.m. local time of the recipient on a Business Day or otherwise on the next Business Day, (iii) one business day after it is sent to the recipient by reputable overnight courier service (charges prepaid) or (iv) three Business Days after it is mailed to the recipient by first class mail, return receipt requested, and shall be addressed (a) to the Company, at its principal executive offices and (b) to the Registered Holder of this Warrant, to Drawbridge Special Opportunities Fund LP, 1345 Avenue of the Americas, 46th Floor, New York, New York 10105, Attention: James K. Noble, III - General Counsel, Telephone: (212) 798-6100, Telecopier: (646) 224-8716, Email: dbsoloanops@fortress.com, with a copy (which shall not constitute notice) to Kirkland & Ellis LLP, 333 South Hope Street, Los Angeles, California 90071, Attention: Hamed Meshki, Telephone: (213) 680-8360, Telecopier: (213) 808-8145, Email: hamed.meshki@kirkland.com.

Section 12. Investment Representations. By accepting this Warrant from the Company, Drawbridge Special Opportunities Fund LP represents and warrants to the Company that it (a) is an “accredited investor” as such term is defined in Regulation D promulgated under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended (the “Act”), (b) it is acquiring this Warrant with the present intention of holding this Warrant for purposes of investment and not with a view to the public resale or distribution within the meaning of the Act, and (c) understands that this Warrant and the securities issuable upon exercise hereof have not been registered under the Act in reliance upon a specific exemption therefrom, which exemption depends upon, among other things, the bona fide nature of Drawbridge Special Opportunities Fund LP’s investment intent as expressed herein.

Section 13. Amendment and Waiver. Except as otherwise provided herein, the provisions of the Warrants may be amended and the Company may take any action herein prohibited, or omit to perform any act herein required to be performed by it, only if the Company has obtained the written consent of the Majority Holders.

Section 14. Descriptive Headings; Governing Law. The descriptive headings of the several Sections and paragraphs of this Warrant are inserted for convenience only and do not constitute a part of this Warrant. The corporation laws of the State of New York shall govern all issues concerning the relative rights of the Company and its stockholders. All other questions concerning the construction, validity, enforcement and interpretation of this Warrant shall be governed by the internal law of the State of New York, without giving effect to any choice of law or conflict of law provision or rule (whether of the State of New York or any other jurisdictions) that would cause the application of the laws of any jurisdictions other than the State of New York.

* * * *

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the Company has caused this Warrant to be signed and attested by its duly authorized officers and to be dated the Date of Issuance hereof.

NETLIST, INC.

By: /s/ Gail Sasaki

Name: Gail Sasaki

Title: CFO

[Signature Page - Netlist Warrant]

ASSIGNMENT

FOR VALUE RECEIVED, _____ hereby
sells, assigns and transfers all of the rights of the undersigned under the attached Warrant
(Certificate No. W-_____) with respect to the number of shares of the Warrant Stock covered
thereby set forth below, unto:

<u>Names of Assignee</u>	<u>Address</u>	<u>No. of Shares</u>
--------------------------	----------------	----------------------

[Assignor]

By: _____
Name: _____
Title: _____

EXERCISE AGREEMENT

To:

Dated:

The undersigned, pursuant to the provisions set forth in the attached Warrant (Certificate No. W-____), hereby agrees to subscribe for the purchase of _____ shares of the Warrant Stock covered by such Warrant and makes payment herewith in full therefor at the price per share provided by such Warrant.

Check one box :

- I am attaching a cashier's, personal or certified check, or have arranged for a wire transfer of immediately available funds to the Company, in an amount equal to the Aggregate Exercise Price.
- In lieu of paying cash, I have elected to receive such lesser number of shares of Common Stock as determined pursuant to Section 1B(ii) of the attached Warrant .

By: _____
Name: _____
Title: _____

**CERTIFICATION PURSUANT TO RULE 13A-14(A) AND RULE 15D-14(A) OF THE SECURITIES
EXCHANGE
ACT AS ADOPTED PURSUANT TO SECTION 302 OF THE SARBANES-OXLEY ACT OF 2002**

I, Chun K. Hong, certify that:

1. I have reviewed this quarterly report on Form 10-Q of Netlist, Inc., a Delaware corporation (the "Registrant");
2. Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report;
3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the Registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;
4. The Registrant's other certifying officer and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) and internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f)) for the Registrant and we have:
 - a) Designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the Registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;
 - b) Designed such internal control over financial reporting, or caused such internal control over financial reporting to be designed under our supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles;
 - c) Evaluated the effectiveness of the Registrant's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and
 - d) Disclosed in this report any change in the Registrant's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the Registrant's most recent fiscal quarter (the Registrant's fourth fiscal quarter in the case of an annual report) that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the Registrant's internal control over financial reporting; and
5. The Registrant's other certifying officer and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the Registrant's auditors and the audit committee of the Registrant's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent functions):
 - a) All significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the Registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and
 - b) Any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the Registrant's internal control over financial reporting.

May 12, 2015

/s/ Chun K. Hong

Chun K. Hong

President, Chief Executive Officer and Chairman of the Board
(Principal Executive Officer)

**CERTIFICATION PURSUANT TO RULE 13A-14(A) AND RULE 15D-14(A) OF THE SECURITIES
EXCHANGE
ACT AS ADOPTED PURSUANT TO SECTION 302 OF THE SARBANES-OXLEY ACT OF 2002**

I, Gail M. Sasaki, certify that:

1. I have reviewed this quarterly report on Form 10-Q of Netlist, Inc., a Delaware corporation (the “Registrant”);
2. Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report;
3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the Registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;
4. The Registrant’s other certifying officer and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) and internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f) for the Registrant and we have:
 - a) Designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the Registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;
 - b) Designed such internal control over financial reporting, or caused such internal control over financial reporting to be designed under our supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles;
 - c) Evaluated the effectiveness of the Registrant’s disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and
 - d) Disclosed in this report any change in the Registrant’s internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the Registrant’s most recent fiscal quarter (the Registrant’s fourth fiscal quarter in the case of an annual report) that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the Registrant’s internal control over financial reporting; and
5. The Registrant’s other certifying officer and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the Registrant’s auditors and the audit committee of the Registrant’s board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent functions):
 - a) All significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the Registrant’s ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and
 - b) Any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the Registrant’s internal control over financial reporting.

May 12, 2015

/s/ Gail M. Sasaki

Gail M. Sasaki
Vice President and Chief Financial Officer
(Principal Financial Officer)

**CERTIFICATIONS PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. SECTION 1350
AS ADOPTED PURSUANT TO SECTION 906 OF THE SARBANES-OXLEY ACT OF 2002**

In connection with the Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q of Netlist, Inc., a Delaware corporation (“Netlist”) for the quarter ended March 28, 2015 (the “Report”), Chun K. Hong, president, chief executive officer and chairman of the board of Netlist, and Gail M. Sasaki, vice president and chief financial officer of Netlist, each hereby certifies, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, that, to his or her knowledge:

(1) the Report fully complies with the requirements of Section 13(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934; and

(2) the information contained in the Report fairly presents, in all material respects, the financial condition and results of operations of Netlist, Inc.

May 12, 2015

/s/ Chun K. Hong
Chun K. Hong
President, Chief Executive Officer and Chairman of the Board
(Principal Executive Officer)

May 12, 2015

/s/ Gail M. Sasaki
Gail M. Sasaki
Vice President and Chief Financial Officer
(Principal Financial Officer)
